
Dutch Parliamentary Election Study, 1998

Kees Aarts, Henk van der Kolk, and Marlies Kamp

ICPSR 2836

DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDY, 1998

(ICPSR 2836)

Principal Investigators

Kees Aarts, Henk van der Kolk, and Marlies Kamp
University of Twente

First ICPSR Version
December 1999

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Aarts, Kees, Henk van der Kolk, and Marlies Kamp. DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDY, 1998 [Computer file]. ICPSR version. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: NIWI-Steinmetz Archive/Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON) [producers], 1999. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: NIWI-Steinmetz Archive/Koeln, Germany: Zentralarchiv fuer Empirische Sozialforschung/Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributors], 1999.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Kees Aarts, Henk van der Kolk, and Marlies Kamp
DUTCH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION STUDY, 1998 (ICPSR 2836)

SUMMARY: This survey focuses on the Netherlands Second Chamber parliamentary election of May 6, 1998. Part 1, Main Study, contains data from the first wave of interviews conducted before the election (March 30-May 5, 1998) and after the election (May 11-July 4, 1998), and a self-completion questionnaire given to respondents at the end of the second wave. Topics addressed during Wave 1 included: the respondent's interest in politics; the most important problems facing the nation; government policy satisfaction; attitudes toward voting; perception of public opinion poll results; political issues such as euthanasia, income differences, asylum seekers, European unification, ethnic minorities, and European Union (EU) membership; sympathy shown by political parties and politicians; political knowledge of leaders and coalitions; social and political goals; and the presence of a cultural community. Topics covered during Wave 2 included respondent's interest level in the campaign; electoral behavior; coalition preference; views on the importance of issues including nuclear plants, traffic, financial deficit, crime, health care, and the environment; satisfaction with democracy in the Netherlands; attention paid to regional differences; faith in prospective premiers; external and internal political efficacy; political cynicism, social isolation; acceptance of political decisions; civic competence and political participation; and social class images. Items in the self-completion questionnaire focused on trust in political institutions, including the police, parliament, and judges; media consumption; whether the respondent had been approached by a political party; whether he/she had tried to convince others to vote; and the demographic characteristics of those with whom the respondent discussed matters of importance. Background information on Part 1 respondents includes age, sex, political party, political orientation, electoral participation history, marital status, employment status, household income, religion, education, household composition, and demographic characteristics of parents and household members. Part 2, Nonresponse Study, Stimulus-Effect Study, and Corresponding Parts of Main Study, contains data from interviews conducted with respondents unwilling to participate in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study (Nonresponse Study) and data from face-to-face or telephone interviews conducted with a random sample of respondents (Stimulus-Effect Study). Topics addressed in these studies concerned neighborhood characteristics, including degree of urbanization, income level, social class, and education; interest in politics; and voting intention. Background information

on Part 2 respondents includes age, sex, electoral participation history, and household composition.

NOTE: (1) The data are provided as SPSS portable files. (2) The codebook identifies 957 variables for Part 1 and 47 variables for Part 2, but with the inclusion of the Steinmetz Archive study number (V0001), these totals increase by 1. (3) This collection has not been processed by ICPSR staff. ICPSR is distributing the data and documentation for this collection in essentially the same form in which they were received. When appropriate, hardcopy documentation has been converted to machine-readable form and variables have been recoded to ensure respondents' anonymity. (4) The codebook is provided as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided through the ICPSR Website on the Internet.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 2 data files + machine-readable documentation (PDF)

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: CONCHK.PR

Part 1: Main Study
Cases: 2,101
Variables: 958

Part 2: Nonresponse Study,
Stimulus-Effect Study,
and Corresponding Parts
of Main Study
Cases: 5,658
Variables: 48

Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998

Documentation

Kees Aarts
Henk van der Kolk
Marlies Kamp

NIWI/Steinmetz Archive
Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON)
Enschede, May 1999

ISBN: 9036513200

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

All manuscripts utilizing the data documented in this codebook should identify the original collectors of the data. All users are urged to include some adaptation of the following statement in their publication (the brackets indicate items which can be inserted or deleted as appropriate):

The data [and tabulations] utilized in this [publication] were originally collected for the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 by Kees Aarts and Henk van der Kolk on behalf of the Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON). This study has been made possible by grants from Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdomrelations (BZK), Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), the Social and Cultural Planning Office (SCP), the University of Amsterdam and the Department of Public Administration and Public Policy of the University of Twente. The original collectors of the data do not bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations published here. The data are distributed by Steinmetz Archive, Amsterdam, the Netherlands and by the ICPSR, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States of America and the Zentral Archiv in Cologne, Germany.

In order to provide the funding agencies with essential information about the use of the data that have been collected with their assistance, each user of the data is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript to the distributor of the data:

Niwi/Steinmetz Archive
Postbus 95110
1090 HC Amsterdam
The Netherlands
email: info@niwi.knaw.nl
<http://www.niwi.knaw.nl>
phone: +31204628600
fax: +31206658013

Aarts, Kees

Dutch Parliamentary election study 1998; an enterprise of the Dutch political science community/ Kees Aarts, Henk van der Kolk, Marlies Kamp - Amsterdam, NIWI/Steinmetz Archive; Enschede: Dutch Electoral Research Foundation (SKON), Steinmetz Archive codebook P1415 and P1416,
With ref.

ISBN: 9036513200

Subject headings: parliamentary elections; The Netherlands; codebook

© Stichting Kiezersonderzoek Nederland 1999

CONTENTS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION	10
1.1 INTRODUCTION	10
1.2 FUNDING	10
1.3 ORGANIZATION	10
1.4 GLOBAL STUDY DESIGN AND FIELDWORK	11
1.5 THE QUESTIONNAIRES	12
1.6 ADDED VARIABLES	13
1.7 DATA PROCESSING AND CLEANING	13
1.8 PRIVACY PROTECTION MEASURES	14
1.9 DATA FILES	14
2. SAMPLING INFORMATION, RESPONSE AND DESIGN.....	16
2.1 SAMPLING: PERSONS INSTEAD OF ADDRESSES	16
2.1.1 <i>The selection of municipalities</i>	16
2.1.2 <i>The selection of individuals</i>	19
2.2 ROLLING CROSS-SECTION DESIGN	20
2.3 RESPONSE AND NON-RESPONSE: THE MAIN STUDY	22
2.3.1 <i>Measures to reduce non-response</i>	23
2.3.2 <i>Response rates of the first wave and the second wave</i>	23
2.3.3 <i>Self-completion questionnaire</i>	24
2.3.4 <i>Quality of the sample of the main study</i>	25
2.3.5 <i>Willingness to participate in the planned panelstudy</i>	26
2.4 NON-RESPONSE PROJECT	27
2.5 STIMULUS-EFFECT PROJECT	28
3. DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES.....	31
3.1 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST	31
3.1.1 <i>First wave</i>	31
3.1.2 <i>Second wave</i>	44
3.1.3 <i>Self completion questionnaire</i>	52
3.1.4 <i>Special file</i>	58
3.2 GUIDE TO THE DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES	60
3.3 DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES OF THE MAIN STUDY: FIRST WAVE.....	62
3.3.1 <i>Interview identification</i>	62
3.3.2 <i>Contextual Variables</i>	63
3.3.3 <i>Weights</i>	73
3.3.4 <i>Political interest and communication</i>	74
3.3.5 <i>Most important national problem</i>	81
3.3.6 <i>Party adherence</i>	82
3.3.7 <i>Party membership</i>	91
3.3.8 <i>Government policy satisfaction</i>	97
3.3.9 <i>Vote intention Second Chamber 1994</i>	99
3.3.10 <i>General attitude towards (not) voting</i>	107
3.3.11 <i>Perception of poll results</i>	122

3.3.12	<i>Political (position) issues - euthanasia</i>	129
3.3.13	<i>Political (position) issues – income differences</i>	136
3.3.14	<i>Political (position) issues – asylum seekers</i>	140
3.3.15	<i>Political (position) issues – European unification</i>	147
3.3.16	<i>Political (position) issues – ethnic minorities</i>	154
3.3.17	<i>Political knowledge – membership of the EU</i>	161
3.3.18	<i>Left-right self-rating</i>	165
3.3.19	<i>Previous voting behavior</i>	166
3.3.20	<i>Sympathy scores for political parties</i>	169
3.3.21	<i>Sympathy scores for politicians</i>	180
3.3.22	<i>Political knowledge – photo questions</i>	189
3.3.23	<i>Political knowledge – largest party</i>	199
3.3.24	<i>Political knowledge – composition of coalition</i>	201
3.3.25	<i>Coalition preference before elections</i>	208
3.3.26	<i>Social and political goals</i>	216
3.3.27	<i>Political and cultural community</i>	233
3.3.28	<i>Composition of household – presence of persons</i>	237
3.3.29	<i>Composition of household – sex</i>	240
3.3.30	<i>Composition of household – age</i>	252
3.3.31	<i>Composition of household – household income</i>	259
3.3.32	<i>Background characteristics of respondent – social and economic variables</i>	262
3.3.33	<i>Background characteristics of respondent – religion</i>	275
3.3.34	<i>Background characteristics of respondent – formative period</i>	281
3.3.35	<i>Social class</i>	287
3.3.36	<i>Background characteristics of partner – social and economic variables</i>	288
3.3.37	<i>Background characteristics of partner – religion</i>	303
3.3.38	<i>Background characteristics of head of household – social and economic variables</i>	311
3.3.39	<i>Background characteristics of father – social and economic variables</i>	328
3.3.40	<i>Background characteristics of mother – social and economic variables</i>	339
3.3.41	<i>Interview information</i>	350
3.3.42	<i>Text variables first wave</i>	356
3.3.43	<i>Order variables first wave</i>	364
3.4	DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES OF THE MAIN STUDY: SECOND WAVE	365
3.4.1	<i>Interest and activities in campaign</i>	365
3.4.2	<i>Voting behavior Second Chamber 1998</i>	368
3.4.3	<i>Coalition preference after elections</i>	393
3.4.4	<i>(Post)materialist values</i>	395
3.4.5	<i>Importance of issues</i>	397
3.4.6	<i>Political parties importance of issues – unemployment</i>	412
3.4.7	<i>Political parties importance of issues – cleaner environment</i>	419
3.4.8	<i>Political parties importance of issues – fighting crime</i>	426

3.4.9	<i>Political parties importance of issues – refugees</i>	433
3.4.10	<i>Political parties importance of issues – health care</i>	440
3.4.11	<i>Social participation</i>	447
3.4.12	<i>Political (position) issues – social benefits</i>	453
3.4.13	<i>Political (position) issues – nuclear plants</i>	460
3.4.14	<i>Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy</i>	467
3.4.15	<i>Regional differences</i>	476
3.4.16	<i>Foreigners</i>	477
3.4.17	<i>Faith in prospective premiers</i>	479
3.4.18	<i>Left-right</i>	483
3.4.19	<i>External political efficacy</i>	495
3.4.20	<i>Internal political efficacy</i>	498
3.4.21	<i>Political cynicism</i>	500
3.4.22	<i>Social isolation</i>	502
3.4.23	<i>Bases of accepting political decisions</i>	509
3.4.24	<i>Vote probability</i>	518
3.4.25	<i>Civic competence and civic political participation</i>	529
3.4.26	<i>Confessional attitude</i>	534
3.4.27	<i>Social class</i>	540
3.4.28	<i>Right-wing orientations</i>	541
3.4.29	<i>Interview characteristics</i>	549
3.4.30	<i>Text variables second wave</i>	556
3.4.31	<i>Order variables second wave</i>	558
3.5	DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES OF THE MAIN STUDY: SELF-COMPLETION	
	QUESTIONNAIRE	560
3.5.1	<i>Number of relevant others mentioned</i>	560
3.5.2	<i>Relevant others – age, sex and marital status</i>	561
3.5.3	<i>Relevant others – relationship to respondent</i>	565
3.5.4	<i>Relevant others – religious denomination</i>	574
3.5.5	<i>Relevant others – employment status</i>	576
3.5.6	<i>Relevant others – frequency of contact</i>	577
3.5.7	<i>Relevant others – political variables</i>	579
3.5.8	<i>Frequency contact with others</i>	592
3.5.9	<i>Trust in political institutions</i>	600
3.5.10	<i>Media consumption</i>	604
3.5.11	<i>Media consumption – radio</i>	613
3.5.12	<i>Media consumption – newspapers</i>	618
3.5.13	<i>Media consumption – magazines</i>	621
3.5.14	<i>Political television commercials</i>	625
3.5.15	<i>Internet</i>	632
3.5.16	<i>Approached by a political party</i>	633
3.5.17	<i>Political interest</i>	647
3.5.18	<i>Reading (inter)national news</i>	649
3.5.19	<i>Convincing others to vote for party</i>	653
3.5.20	<i>Completion information</i>	654
3.5.21	<i>Text variables self-completion questionnaire</i>	655

3.6	DOCUMENTATION OF VARIABLES OF NON-RESPONSE AND STIMULUS EFFECT PROJECT	656
3.6.1	<i>Interview identification</i>	656
3.6.2	<i>Contextual variables</i>	657
3.6.3	<i>Political variables (first wave equivalents)</i>	668
3.6.4	<i>Background characteristics</i>	672
3.6.5	<i>Interview information</i>	675
3.6.6	<i>Political variables (second wave equivalent)</i>	676
3.6.7	<i>Reasons for not intending to vote</i>	679
3.6.8	<i>Non-response information</i>	683
3.6.9	<i>Text variables CATI interview</i>	689
4.	ADDED VARIABLES AND CODING SCHEMES.....	690
4.1	ADDED INDEXES.....	690
4.1.1	<i>The construction of scale scores</i>	690
4.1.2	<i>A list of scales added to the data file</i>	690
4.2	CODED VARIABLES/CODING SCHEMES.....	695
4.2.1	<i>Most important national problems</i>	695
4.2.2	<i>Reasons for not voting</i>	702
4.2.3	<i>Motivation for party choice</i>	704
4.2.4	<i>Occupation</i>	708
4.2.5	<i>Education</i>	710
4.3	WEIGHTS	711
4.3.1	<i>Statistics Netherlands weight (V0025)</i>	711
4.3.2	<i>Simple post-stratification weight (V0026)</i>	711
4.3.3	<i>Rolling cross-section post-stratification weight (V0027)</i>	712
4.4	OTHER ADDED VARIABLES	712
4.4.1	<i>Party adherence</i>	712
4.4.2	<i>Household characteristics</i>	713
4.4.3	<i>Data from Geo-marktprofiel (zip-code related variables)</i>	714
4.5	RANDOMIZATION.....	715
5.	QUESTIONNAIRES AND INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS	716
5.1	FIRST AND SECOND WAVE.....	716
5.1.1	<i>Questionnaire wave 1 (Dutch)</i>	717
5.1.2	<i>Showcards wave 1 (Dutch)</i>	793
5.1.3	<i>Questionnaire wave 2 (Dutch)</i>	798
5.1.4	<i>Showcards wave 2 (Dutch)</i>	845
5.2	INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS	849
5.3	SELF-COMPLETION QUESTIONNAIRE.....	867
5.3.1	<i>Self-completion questionnaire (Dutch)</i>	867
5.4	NON-RESPONSE QUESTIONNAIRE	882
5.4.1	<i>Non-response questionnaire (Dutch)</i>	882
5.5	STIMULUS EFFECT PROJECT.....	885
5.5.1	<i>Stimulus-effect questionnaire (Dutch)</i>	885

6. PREVIOUS ELECTION STUDIES AND COMPARABILITY OF QUESTIONS.....	889
6.1 OVERVIEW OF DPES STUDIES 1971-1998	889
6.2 COMPARABILITY OF QUESTIONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTION STUDIES	891
6.3 COMPARABILITY WITH CSES QUESTIONS	923
7. OUTCOME OF THE 1998 PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS	931

Tables

Table 1: The Global Design of the DPES 1998.....	12
Table 2: The structure of the data files.....	15
Table 3: Actual number of municipalities and the number of selected municipalities.....	17
Table 4: Participation of municipalities.....	18
Table 5: Original sample and gross sample.....	20
Table 6: The number of realized interviews each week.....	20
Table 7: Rolling cross section within strata (first wave).....	22
Table 8: Response rates first wave.....	24
Table 9: Response rates second wave (V0515 and V1313).....	24
Table 10: Response rates of the self-completion questionnaire.....	25
Table 11: Response within strata (first wave).....	26
Table 12: Response and non-response in the non-response project.....	27
Table 13: Main study and stimulus effect study compared.....	29
Table 14: Response within strata (stimulus effect study).....	29
Table 15: Response rates of the Stimulus effect study.....	30

1. General Information

1.1 Introduction

This codebook provides information about the organization and design of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 (DPES 1998) and about the contents of the associated data files. The DPES 1998 is the ninth in a series of national election studies.¹ Previous studies have been conducted around the parliamentary elections of 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989 and 1994.² In 1998 Parliament had served its full term and elections were held on May 6 1998.

The codebook consists of seven parts. In this first part general aspects of the DPES 1998 are described. In the second part information about the sample, the response and the design of the study is presented. Part 3 contains information about the variables in the data file. In part 4 information about the added variables is listed as well as the coding schemes used for the 'open-ended' questions. In part 5 the (Dutch) questionnaires and showcards that were used in the interviews are documented. This part also contains the text of the self-completion questionnaire, the non-response questionnaire, the questionnaire of the Stimulus-effect project and a list of instructions given to the interviewers. An overall comparison of questions with previous Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies and with CSES questions (see below) is presented in part 6. Finally information about the outcome of the 1998 parliamentary elections is presented in part 7. Additional information can be found on the internet: <http://www.bsk.utwente.nl/skon>.

1.2 Funding

The Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 has been made possible by grants from the Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdomrelations (BZK), the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS), the Social and Cultural Planning Office (SCP), the University of Amsterdam and the Department of Public Administration and Public Policy of Twente. Computing and printing facilities were contributed by the University of Twente.

1.3 Organization

¹ In addition to the election study documented in this codebook, SKON also conducted together with Statistics Netherlands (CBS) a new, third wave of interviews for the 1994-1998 panel study. This panel has already been interviewed twice in the first and the second wave of 1994 by CBS. The fieldwork of this panel study was conducted by CBS. The documentation of the panel study is presented in a separate codebook and can be obtained from Steinmetz Archive Amsterdam together with the panel data file.

² A direct predecessor of the DPES was conducted after the 1967 parliamentary election. Unfortunately, a large part of the original data of the 1967 study is lost.

The Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 was conducted by a team at the University of Twente under the auspices of the Dutch Electoral Research Foundation SKON (Stichting KiezersOnderzoek Nederland). The team consisted of Kees Aarts, Henk van der Kolk (study directors), Marlies Kamp (assistant study director) and Jacques Thomassen (Chair of SKON), all associated with the University of Twente (UT). This team designed the study and the questionnaires under the auspices of the board of SKON. SKON is the formal successor of the interuniversity workgroups that were responsible for the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies in the 1971-1986 period. In 1998 the board of SKON consisted of: Jacques Thomassen (University of Twente, Chair), Cees van der Eijk (University of Amsterdam), Irwin Ph.D. (University of Leiden), Kees Aarts (University of Twente), Paul Dekker (SCP) and Hans Anker.

The fieldwork of the DPES was conducted by the Institute of Applied Social Research (ITS) at the University of Nijmegen (supervisors: Harm Hartman, Jan Bilo and Henk Verhoeven). The face to face interviews were held by interviewers of the ITS using notebook computers (Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing: CAPI).

1.4 Global Study Design and Fieldwork

The DPES 1998 consists of 5 major parts: the first wave of interviews, the second wave of interviews, a self-completion questionnaire (these three parts are together called 'the main study'), a non-response project, and a Stimulus-effect project. In addition to these five parts, a third wave of interviews with respondents who participated in the second wave will be conducted after the next parliamentary elections (planned for 2002). The documentation of this panelstudy will eventually be presented in a separate codebook.

Parallel to the DPES 1998 a third wave of interviews was held with respondents participating in the second wave of the DPES 1994. This study was conducted by the Central Bureau of Statistics. The documentation of this panelstudy will also be presented in a separate codebook.

The first wave of interviews was conducted before the election of May 6 1998. This wave started on March 30 and ended on May 5, one day before the parliamentary elections. 2,101 respondents were interviewed during this first wave of interviews. In the first wave a rolling cross-section design was used in order to enhance the possibilities for studying the campaign. It is discussed more extensively in section 2.2. The second wave of interviews started on May 11 and ended on July 4. In this second wave 1,814 respondents were re-interviewed. The remaining 287 respondents participating in the first wave refused to be re-interviewed or could not be contacted again. Hundred and forty-one of these, however, could be contacted by telephone in order to ascertain their actual voting behavior. At the end of the second wave a self-completion questionnaire was handed over to the respondents. They were asked to fill in the questionnaire and return it to the fieldwork organization. The drop off questionnaire was returned by


1,199 persons.

During the first wave of interviews the CATI-center of the fieldwork organization tried to contact all 2,106 non-respondents in the so called non-response project. Non-respondents were urged once more to grant an interview (with the option of a different interviewer). As many as possible of those refusing to be interviewed face to face were asked why they would not cooperate and were asked questions on political interest, efficacy and voting behavior. These questions were identical to the questions asked to respondents of the first wave. These questions are referred to as CATI-questions. A total of 926 initial non-respondents were interviewed this way.

Besides the main study and the non-response project a stimulus-effect project was conducted. This project aims at facilitating the study of interview participation effects. A random sample of persons not included in the main sample was contacted by telephone and asked about their willingness to grant a face to face interview and their voting behavior. They were also asked some questions about political interest and political efficacy in order to allow comparisons between the main study, non-response project and the stimulus-effect project.

The global design of the DPES 1998 is summarized in table 1.

Table 1: The Global Design of the DPES 1998



1.5 The questionnaires

The questionnaires used in the first and second wave can be divided into three parts. The first part is the so-called fixed part. This part contains topics that are in principle included in all DPESs. This part includes variables which have been part of the questionnaire for several years (see part 6), (e.g. party choice, party adherence, issues orientations and candidate evaluations). The second part is the variable part. This part contains questions proposed by the study directors and scholars in the field. Mostly these questions are part of specific research

projects. In 1998 17 scholars proposed questions for the variable part. Several proposals were selected for inclusion. The third part consists of a variety of social and demographic characteristics.

In several sets of questions, the items have been asked in a randomized order. By randomizing the order of items for every respondent, the impact of the order of items on answers can be controlled. The documentation of randomization procedures is explained in part 3 of this codebook.

The questionnaire used in the second wave contains questions which are part of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES). These questions have been asked in a large number of other countries which enables an international comparison of some attitudes and behavior. An extensive comparison of CSES questions and the Dutch questions used in the questionnaire is presented in part 6 of this codebook.

1.6 Added variables

The dataset of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 has been enriched with various extra variables. These variables do not replace any of the original information, but they have been added to the data file for convenience:

- (1) coded verbatim answers. The original text of the answers (in Dutch) is contained in the data file.
- (2) indexes. Information about scales and coding schemes are documented in part 4 of this codebook.
- (3) zipcode related variables. Although the zipcode itself is not presented in the public data file for reasons of privacy protection, some information about neighborhoods has been added to the data file.
- (4) interviewer related variables. Both identification code, sex and age of the interviewer have been added to the data file.

1.7 Data processing and cleaning

Data processing and data cleaning have been performed by the ITS and the study directors. The coding of the most important national problems, motivation for not voting, motivation for party choice, Dutch politicians, education, degree of urbanization and occupation was performed by ITS as well, on the basis of coding schemes provided by the study directors. The information on response and non-response was also provided by ITS.

Consistency checks have been performed and incorrect data entries have been corrected when it was possible to establish unequivocally that the interviewer or the coder had made a mistake in recording or coding the answers. If it was obvious that the interviewer had made such a mistake and the correct code could not be established without doubt, the incorrect codes were replaced by missing data codes. Consistency checks were performed by Roald Geerligs and Marieke van Genugten.

1.8 Privacy protection measures

Several variables in the main study have been recoded to a *missing value* in the public datafile in order to protect the privacy of individual respondents. These variables are available for scientific purposes, but only after a motivated request, which should be directed to SKON. SKON retains the right to refuse such requests.

The variables recoded to a missing value are:

- V0011 0/Municipality
- V0012 0/Zip code
- V0568 I/39b Respondents current occupation (text)
- V0570 I/39k Respondents former occupation (text)
- V0572 I/43b Partners current occupation (text)
- V0574 I/43k Partners former occupation (text)
- V0576 I/46b Head of households current occupation (text)
- V0578 I/46k Head of households former occupation (text)
- V0580 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (text)
- V0582 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (text)

The measures just described prevent easy identification of (some of) the individual respondents. However, they do not guarantee that individual respondents in the public datafile of the main study and/or the special study cannot be identified. *It is understood that everyone who uses the data of the DPES98 main study and/or special study, will refrain from efforts to identify individual respondents, from using any information they might obtain about individual respondents for other than strictly scientific purposes, and from disclosing any identifying information about individual respondents.* In case of doubt, the user of the data is referred to SKON. The data of DPES 98 have been collected in compliance with VOI-gedragscode personenregistraties - gedragscode voor houders van personenregistraties ten behoeve van sociaal-wetenschappelijk opdrachtonderzoek en statistiek, Vereniging van Onderzoeks Instituten, Amsterdam 1991.

1.9 Data files

The Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 is available as a set of two, related public documentation files. These documentation file can be obtained from Steinmetz Archive in Amsterdam (the Netherlands), ICPSR, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States of America and the Zentral Archiv in Cologne, Germany. The structure of the data files is summarized in table 2:

Table 2: The structure of the data files

→ variables

	Type of interview record (V0003):	age, sex, neighb. charact.	Intende d voting behavior	Pol. interest, political attitudes	Actual voting behavior	1st wave face-to-face questions	2nd wave face-to-face questions
Main study	N = 1199 N = 615 N = 141 N = 146						
Nonresponse Study	N = 926 N = 1180			missing			missing
Stimulus Effect study	N = 712 N = 739						

The first file contains the data collected in the first wave, the second wave as well as the self-completion questionnaire from all respondents participating in the first wave. This data-file is referred to as the 'main study'. The type of interview record (V0003) indicates who participated in which interviews. This file is numbered P1415 in the Steinmetz Archive Amsterdam.

The second file contains information from the non-response project, the stimulus effect study as well as the relevant parts from the main file. The file is referred to as the special file. This file is numbered P1416 in the Steinmetz Archive Amsterdam.

Both files are available as SPSS file, with 2101 cases and 957 variables (main study; including some variables not included in the public datafile) and 5658 cases with 47 variables (additional study; including some variables not included in the public datafile). The files are fully labeled and contain missing value definitions. Additional information can be obtained from Steinmetz Archive in Amsterdam, or at <http://www.bsk.utwente.nl/skon>.

2. Sampling Information, Response and Design

2.1 Sampling: persons instead of addresses

The Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 was based on a sample of persons, rather than addresses. The DPES has from 1981 onward employed the mail delivery addresses in the Netherlands as a sampling frame (van der Eijk, Niemöller & Eggen 1981). A number of considerations resulted in a change of the sampling frame for the 1998 election study. (1) The strong interest in the nature of non-response in the Dutch election studies led us to reconsider what had become standard practice. Using the mail-delivery register and selecting the person to be interviewed in the field, made it practically impossible to collect any personal data whatsoever about the non-respondents. The electoral or population registers are much more attractive from this point of view, since these registers include some personal characteristics which can be used in analyses of the response and non-response, such as age and gender. (2) The registers also provide the precise names and addresses of the persons, which means that the introductory letter can directly address the person to be interviewed – selection in the field is no longer needed. This was regarded as a benefit for the interviewers, who would be enabled to address the right person directly by telephone when he or she was not found home. The personal introductory letter was also expected to raise the response just because it would be personal, instead of anonymous. (3) The population and electoral registers are the (theoretically) right sampling frames for a study into electoral behavior. The main disadvantages of using the population or electoral registers are that drawing the sample would become more expensive, that the cooperation of the municipalities had to be secured, and that it might take much time.

2.1.1 The selection of municipalities

Sampling consisted of a two stage procedure. In the first stage municipalities were selected. The desired net sample size had been set at 2,000. Because the Dutch population was at that time 15,559,889 this meant that 1 out of 7,780 persons had to be interviewed.³ To keep travel time and costs within acceptable limits, the minimum number of addresses to be sampled for each municipality (i.e. the net cluster size) was set to 1% of the net sample size (i.e. 20 interviews). The relatively large cluster size helped to keep the sampling procedure manageable within the short time available. The associated loss in precision of estimation compared with a cluster size of 10, will generally not exceed 1% point (Hartman 1998). All this meant that municipalities with at least $20 * 7780 (= 155,599)$ inhabitants were self-selective. Only 8 municipalities were self-selective (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, Den Haag, Utrecht, Eindhoven, Groningen, Tilburg,

³ The number of persons eligible to vote, which was the theoretical population, was not available. This number, however, is highly correlated with the number of inhabitants.

Breda). The number of persons to be interviewed in these municipalities was determined by the number of inhabitants ($\# \text{ inhabitants} / 7780 = \# \text{ persons to be interviewed}$).

The total number of persons to be interviewed in the self-selective municipalities was 346. Therefore a total of $(2,000 - 346 =) 1,654$ persons had to be interviewed in non-self-selective municipalities. Since the cluster size was set at 20, $(1654/20 \approx) 83$ additional municipalities had to be selected. This was done in a stratification procedure. The strata were determined by *region* and *degree of urbanization*. Four regions were defined: *North* (Groningen, Friesland, Drenthe), *East* (Overijssel, Flevoland, Gelderland), *West* (Utrecht, Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland, Zeeland) and *South* (Noord-Brabant, Limburg). Urbanization consists of five categories: very strongly urbanized, strongly urbanized, urbanized, weakly urbanized, not urbanized.⁴ For all municipalities the number of inhabitants was determined. All municipalities were then ordered using the strata and the number of inhabitants. The cumulative number of inhabitants was determined for this list in order to control for the size of municipalities. A step procedure was then used to determine which municipality was to be included in the sample. This resulted in the additional selection of 83 municipalities. The results of the first step in the sampling procedure are summarized in Table 3:

Table 3: Actual number of municipalities and the number of selected municipalities

# municipalities (1 January 1996)	<i>Region</i>				
# municipalities selected					
<i>Urbanization</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>West</i>	<i>South</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>1 Highest</i>	1 1	0	11 8	0	12 9
<i>2</i>	1 1	7 5	33 12	8 6	49 24
<i>3</i>	8 2	17 5	43 8	20 6	88 21
<i>4</i>	17 2	53 7	71 6	44 6	185 21
<i>5 Lowest</i>	63 4	60 4	60 4	55 4	238 16
<i>Total</i>	90 10	137 21	218 38	127 22	572 91

Because some municipalities might decide not to cooperate, an additional smaller sample of 35 municipalities was selected in exactly the same way as the larger sample, providing possibilities for replacement within a cell (sample II). It should be noted that in some cells of the table, replacement is not possible (for example the highly urbanized municipalities in the northern region) or only possible to a very limited extent. It was decided that if municipalities would not

⁴ This variable is based upon the average number persons living on a square kilometer.

cooperate, and replacement was not possible, the mail-delivery register would be used for that municipality.

In January 1998, all (91 from sample I + 35 from sample II =) 126 municipalities were asked to cooperate. Of the 91 selected municipalities all 8 self selective municipalities decided to cooperate. Of the remaining 83 municipalities, two municipalities decided first to ask their citizens whether they objected to providing their addresses to the ITS. If a citizen did not object his address was provided to the ITS. Twenty four municipalities refused to cooperate or could otherwise not be included in the sample. Five other municipalities did not refuse, but did not deliver the addresses in time. Of these 29 municipalities, 20 could be replaced from the smaller sample of 35 municipalities by municipalities in the same stratum. A major problem was the selection of level-4-urbanization municipalities in the South, which could not be solved in time. Instead, 2 additional level-5-urbanization municipalities in the same region from sample II were included to replace two of the level-4-urbanization municipalities. In 5 municipalities it was decided to use mail-delivery points. For two refusing municipalities no alternatives were found, it was decided to slightly increase the number of desired interviews in municipalities in the same, or in adjacent cells.

Table 4: Participation of municipalities

# municipalities selected # municipalities from original sample I # municipalities replaced from sample II # municipalities mail delivery points	<i>Region</i>				
	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>West</i>	<i>South</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Urbanization</i>					
<i>1 Highest</i>	1	0	8	0	9
	1		7		8
	0		1		1
	0		0		0
<i>2</i>	1	5	12	6	24
	0	4	9	5	18
	0	1	2	1	4
	1	0	1	0	2
<i>3</i>	2	5	8	6	21
	2	4	6	3	15
	0	2	2	0	4
	0	0	0	3	3
<i>4</i>	2	7	6	6	21
	1	4	3	1	9
	1	2	3	2	8
	0	0	0	3	0
<i>5 Lowest</i>	4	4	4	4	16
	4	2	2	4	12
	0	2	1	2	5
	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	10	21	38	22	91
	8	14	27	14	62
	1	7	9	5	22
	1	0	1	5	5

2.1.2 The selection of individuals

In order to select individuals, cooperating municipalities were asked to draw a sample from the population register. First they would have to select persons belonging to the target population (eligible voters) and then to order them by age or zip code. Considering the municipal sample size of (at least) 20 and an estimated response rate of 50%, a step number was used for drawing a sample. In municipalities for which no replacement could be found a sample was drawn from the mail-delivery registers. At the door the household member who was eligible to vote on May 6 and who would be the first to have her or his birthday was selected for an interview.

The original sample consists of 4564 units, including 4331 individuals and 233 addresses. Some of the addresses were not inhabited or did not include enfranchised voters. The difference between the original sample and the gross sample (the sample used as a basis for the non-response percentage) consists mainly of persons not approached by an interviewer (see table 5). Because the size of non-response is unknown in advance, the field work organization, had to

estimate the number of non-respondents. Based on this estimate sample units were issued to the interviewers. The interviewers were monitored continuously as the rolling cross section design required so (refer to section 2.2.). In order to keep costs within limits, to keep as close as possible to both the stratification scheme and the rolling cross section design, interviewers *exceeding* the target set for their stratification cell, were told not to use the rest of the issued addresses. Therefore, these addresses were not approached at all, although they were included in the original sample.

Table 5: Original sample and gross sample

Original number of sample units		4564
- not eligible to vote	62	
- died	14	
- jail/hospital/institution	13	
- address uninhabited/ does not exist	37	
- not approached	231	
Total not included in the sample		<u>357</u>
Gross sample		4207

This means that the gross sample consists of 4207 eligible voters.

2.2 Rolling Cross-Section Design

In preparing the 1998 study, it was decided to enhance the possibilities for studying the campaign. Instead of opting for the 1981 design with a two-wave pre-election panel (which was discarded because of the costs, the lack of time available for preparation, and the relatively low amount of extra information to be obtained), a variant of a rolling cross-sectional design was selected.

The group of interviewers for DPES 1998 was well distributed over the various cells of the stratification table. At the beginning of the fieldwork, every interviewer received his or her list with individuals to be approached. The interviewers were instructed to aim at a uniform distribution of their interviews over a period of five weeks. For every week in which they realized at least 5 interviews, they would receive a financial bonus. Of course, since the number of individuals on their list was limited, in the end the bonus would be larger when the interviewers would also set a maximum to the number of successful interviews per week. New lists with names and addresses of individuals were only given if all persons on the original list had been approached and the number of successful interviews was still below the required number in that cell of the stratification table.

Table 6: The number of realized interviews each week

Number of realized interviews	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18
0	19	6	4	4	6
1	4	1	2	1	1
2	4	6	5	4	8
3	5	6	11	7	12
4	15	18	18	24	20
5	35	35	42	29	19
6	9	8	10	12	10
7		9	2	8	10
8		1		4	5
9	1	1		1	3
10	2	2		1	
11	1	2			1
12		1	1		
Total	95	95	95	95	95
Total # interviews	356	446	413	450	436

Explanation: of the 95 interviewers participating in the survey, 19 have not interviewed a single person in the first week of the survey.

Table 7 relates the two stratification variables to the rolling cross section design. The table indicates that every week is a good representation of the strata.

Table 7: Rolling cross section within strata (first wave)

week 14 week 15 week 16 week 17 week 18	Region				
Urbanization	North	East	West	South	Total
1 (Highest)	5		65		70
	4		63		67
	6		54		60
	5		48		53
	8		59		67
2	5	17	33	25	80
	5	24	51	30	110
	5	22	55	31	113
	5	28	63	32	128
	6	24	50	34	114
3	15	26	30	24	95
	14	30	40	31	115
	8	30	33	24	95
	4	34	40	24	102
	4	17	47	29	97
4	8	19	29	8	64
	13	30	31	8	82
	8	30	28	14	78
	10	24	30	13	77
	11	16	26	20	73
5 (Lowest)	10	10	5	22	47
	21	9	13	29	72
	9	27	15	26	67
	22	19	17	32	90
	16	24	14	31	85
Total	43	72	162	79	356
	57	93	198	98	446
	36	87	185	95	413
	46	105	198	101	450
	45	81	196	114	436

2.3 Response and non-response: the main study

In the 1980s, the response rate of the DPES has fallen dramatically from 69.3% (1981) to 46.1% (1989). The 1994 study showed stabilization (47.5%). The main

reason for selecting the population registers as our sample frame, rather than the mail delivery register, was the problem of non-response in the DPES. The personalized approach of respondents associated with this sample frame was expected to reduce non-response. Also, information about age and sex of both respondents and non-respondents (in addition to address information) would help to analyze non-response. Finally, a sample of persons would facilitate a closer study of non-response, which is one of the major components of DPES 1998.

2.3.1 Measures to reduce non-response

To increase the response rate several measures were taken. A number of measures were respondent related. First a sample of persons was taken from the municipal registers of eligible voters, instead of using the mail-delivery point which was used in previous studies. As a result, the respondents could be contacted personally by means of a letter of introduction. This letter announced that an interviewer would come along to interview the respondent and contained some information about the character of the survey. Together with this introduction letter a lottery ticket (1/5 of a ticket for state lotteries which costs 5 Dfl) was sent to the respondents in order to convince them to participate in the survey. Initial non-response was contacted by telephone whenever possible, and urged once more to grant the interview, if necessary to another interviewer (see below). At the end of the second interview a second lottery ticket was handed over to the respondent to reward their participation and to encourage them to fill in the self-completion questionnaire and to send it back.

A second set of measures were interviewer related. The fieldwork organization has its own corps of interviewers and they were intensively instructed in March 1998, both orally and in writing at different locations in the Netherlands. At each of those meetings one of the study directors was present to inform the interviewers about goals, backgrounds and the design of the study, the structure of the questionnaires and specific aspects of the study. The interviewers received a bonus if they managed to interview 5 persons a week. For a strict monitoring process, the interviewers were required to send the data to ITS every day (by modem). This provided ITS with insight in the interviewers schedules and in the response. Interviewers in the "difficult" urbanized West were promised extra bonuses for a minimum response rate. All interviewers also received a lottery ticket.

2.3.2 Response rates of the first wave and the second wave

The following tables contain information about the response and the non-response for the first and second wave of the study. This information is contained in variable V1310 of the special file. Additional information can be found in V1312 of the special file.

Table 8: Response rates first wave

			%
Gross sample	4207		100,0
Response first wave	2101		49,9
Non-response:			
- never cooperates	285		
- no interest	952		
- inconvenience/visitors	112		
- privacy	75		
- lack of efficacy	62		
- Useless	47		
- illness/language barrier/handicapped	173		
- no contact	395		
- other	5		
Total non-response	2106		50,1

Panel attrition between the first and second wave shows the following characteristics:

Table 9: Response rates second wave (V0515 and V1313)

			%	
Sample (participants 1st wave)	2101		100	These figures are based upon V0515 (reasons for not intending to participate in second wave) and V1313 (contacted by telephone (CATI) after non-response second wave).
response second wave	1814		86,3	
Non-response second wave:				
- refusal	112			
- illness	15			
- language	2			
- occupied	5			
- no contact/holiday etc	101			
- unknown	52			
Total	287		13,7	

non-response second wave).

After a complete cleaning and checking of the dataset, two respondents were found who did not participate in the second wave, but who nevertheless seem to have answered CATI questions and also returned the self-completion questionnaire. After consultation of the original data, it was made sure this strange occurrence was not due to cleaning of the dataset. The data from these two respondents got lost somewhere between the actual interview and the construction of the preliminary dataset by the ITS. The two respondents were coded 13 in variable V0003.

2.3.3 Self-completion questionnaire

After the interviews held in the second wave a self-completion questionnaire was handed over to the respondents. The self-completion questionnaire contained questions on the social network of the respondents and the frequency of watching tv, listening to the radio and the frequency of reading newspapers. A self-completion questionnaire was used because questions on social network are difficult to answer in a face to face interview. The self-completion questionnaire was handed over to the respondents of which 1754 promised to fill in the questionnaire and return it to the ITS in the postage paid envelope. Eventually 1199 respondents returned the questionnaire.

Table 10: Response rates of the self-completion questionnaire

		%
Sample (participants 2nd wave)	1814	100,0
response	1199	66,1
Non-response	615	33,9

In addition two respondents coded as panel attrition in the second wave filled in the self-completion questionnaire (see section 2.3.2.). Therefore most variables between V0950 and V1206 (self-completion part of the main study) contain 1201 relevant answers.

2.3.4 Quality of the sample of the main study

We can compare the sample with some known population characteristics in order to assess the quality of the samples. The first table contains the number of inhabitants, the number of sample units in the gross sample, the sample units to be interviewed and the number (and percentage) of completed interviews (first wave) in each of the strata.

Table 11: Response within strata (first wave)

# inhabitants (dec. 1997) # units in gross sample # units to be interviewed # interviews (1st wave)	Region				
Urbanization	North	East	West	South	Total
1 (Highest)	168,754	0	2,572,645	0	2,741,399
	51		658		709
	22		330		352
	28		289		317
2	88,722	710,011	1,873,212	1,006,817	3,678,762
	55	215	505	266	1041
	12	91	241	129	473
	26	115	252	152	545
3	298,634	851,263	1,219,189	847,918	3,217,004
	87	277	368	276	1008
	38	109	157	109	413
	45	137	190	132	504
4	398,953	1,023,902	945,011	953,255	3,321,121
	94	226	286	123	729
	51	132	121	123	427
	51	116	144	63	374
5 (Lowest)	678,058	638,035	653,268	632,242	2,601,603
	194	141	123	262	720
	87	82	84	81	334
	87	70	64	140	361
Total	1,633,121	3,223,211	7,263,325	3,444,232	15,559,889
	481	859	1940	927	4207
	210	414	934	442	2000
	237	438	938	487	2101

he general level of response was higher than the 2000 aimed at. The column totals, indicating the spread over regions are roughly comparable to the overall response. The rows, however, deviate considerably from the desired numbers. Moreover, some numbers in the individual cells indicate that there is a substantial difference between the number of desired and the number of actual interviews. The number of successful interviews in the most urbanized cities in the West, for example, is below target.

2.3.5 Willingness to participate in the planned panelstudy

All respondents participating in the second wave were asked to participate in a third wave to be held after the next elections for the second chamber. Of the 1814, 1731 persons expressed their willingness to participate in this panel.

2.4 Non-response project

One of the components of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998 is a comprehensive study to reveal the nature of non-response and to gain insight in the characteristics of non-respondents. During the first wave of interviews sample units were asked to participate in the DPES 1998. If a person did not want to cooperate, could not be contacted, or was unable to participate, he or she was contacted by the CATI-center of ITS. The non-respondent was then asked (again) why he or she was not willing to participate in the survey. Another effort was made to convince the non-respondent to participate in the survey. In a few cases this effort was successful. If the respondent was not willing to participate in a personal interview a few short questions were asked by telephone. These questions correspond with questions in the first or second wave of interviews and refer for example to political interest, education and intended voting behavior. Unfortunately some respondents had no telephone or had a secret telephone number. Therefore not all non-respondents could be contacted for the non-response project. Not all of those reached by telephone were willing to participate in a short interview by telephone. In the end 926 non-respondents were willing to cooperate in the non-response project.

Table 12: Response and non-response in the non-response project

		%
Initial number of non-respondents	2106	100%
Non-response	926	44%
- refusal (no reason mentioned)	498	
- no time	2	
- never cooperates	3	
- no interest	18	
- privacy	3	
- lack of efficacy	2	
- inconvenience	6	
- illness/language barrier/handicapped	49	
- no contact/ secret telephone number	529	
- other ⁵	70	
Total	1180	56%

The causes of non-response reported in Table 12 are based on reports by interviewers. In this study a comparison can be made between the causes of non-response as indicated by the interviewers in the field and the causes of non-response as indicated by the CATI team. The relevant information is contained in the special file.

⁵ A few of the 'other' respondents indicated their willingness to be interviewed in a face-to-face interview. Therefore, their interview was terminated. However, due to lack of time, the respondents were never again approached by an interviewer.

2.5 Stimulus-effect project

The fifth component of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies of 1998 was a stimulus-effect project. This project was conducted in order to study the effect of face-to-face interviews upon political interest and (reported) turnout. There is a persistent gap between actual turnout in Dutch parliamentary elections and the reported turnout in the DPES. One of the possible causes of this gap, apart from selective survey response and an inclination towards socially desirable answers, might be the fact that respondents have been interviewed before the elections about political topics, which might have led to greater levels of measured interest or turnout. This was studied using a quasi-experimental design.

The *stimulus sample* in this experiment is the DPES sample. Of course the stimulus dispensed is not identical for all units in the stimulus sample. The strongest stimulus is probably the face-to-face interview. A weaker stimulus is the telephone interview with non-respondents. These two stimuli are not assigned randomly to the sample units, but are at least partly a consequence of individual characteristics.

The *control sample* was approached only *after* the elections, so that the stimulus of being approached and interviewed is not dispensed upon the sample units before the elections. The control, or non-stimulus sample was drawn at random from the sampling units not drawn into the wave 1 gross sample (the stimulus sample). In order to have an identical response group in both samples, units in the non-stimulus sample were approached in almost the same way we approached units in the wave 1 gross sample. They received a letter announcing that an interviewer would visit them in order to make an appointment for a long face-to-face interview. In order to keep the costs within limits the respondent was then approached by the CATI-team. The CATI-interviewers first asked whether an appointment could be made. After this, people who agreed to be interviewed were told they were included in the sample, but might not be approached again. This was done in order to avoid annoyance among those respondents agreeing to be interviewed in a face-to-face interview. Irrespective of whether the respondent agreed to a face-to-face interview, a small number of questions, almost identical to the questions in the non-response project, was then asked.⁶ As a result, like the original sample, the control group can be divided into three parts: those willing to grant a face-to-face interview who answered the CATI-questions, those not willing to grant a face-to-face interview who answered the CATI-questions, and a non-response group.

Voting behavior is of course the central variable in this experiment. Therefore, it is necessary to know the actual voting behavior of all units in both the stimulus sample and the control sample. However, we do not know the voting behavior of respondents refusing to participate in the first wave and respondents refusing to participate in the second wave. It was decided to approach the latter group by

⁶ Since the control group was interviewed after the elections, the vote intention questions were replaced by voting behavior questions.

telephone. This enables a comparison between the stimulus group and the control group. This comparison is summarized in table 13.

Table 13: Main study and stimulus effect study compared.

v0003	Main study and non-response group	v1314	Stimulus study: v0003 >= 21
11.	1st wave, 2nd wave and Mail	1	Willing to participate in a face to face interview
12.	1st wave, 2nd wave		
13.	1st wave, voting behavior known		
14.	1st wave, voting behavior unknown		
15.	non-response project	2	Refusing to participate in face to face interview
16.	no data/no contact	other	no data/no contact

The variables of the stimulus-effect project are connected to comparable variables in the main study and the non-response project by using identical variable names and variable numbers. The variables are presented in part 3 of this codebook.

Table 14: Response within strata (stimulus effect study)

# units in gross sample # interviews (1st wave)	Region				
Urbanization	North	East	West	South	Total
1 (Highest)	17		225		242
	8		95		103
2	20	78	150	95	343
	10	39	69	43	161
3	28	102	129	94	353
	12	48	59	55	174
4	37	83	97	46	263
	21	41	49	25	136
5 (Lowest)	64	56	42	88	250
	39	36	22	41	138
Total	166	319	323	643	1451
	90	164	294	164	712

Table 15: Response rates of the Stimulus effect study

			%
Original number of sample units		1508	
Outside population		57	
Gross sample		1451	100,0%
Initial response		712	49,1%
Non-response:			
- refusal	268		
- no interest	32		
- inconvenience/visitors	49		
- privacy	8		
- lack of efficacy	1		
- illness/language barrier/handicapped	24		
- no contact	351	(85 sent back postcard)	
- other	6		
Total		739	51,9%

In addition to the 712 persons interviewed by the CATI team, all respondents who could not be contacted by telephone (initial non-respondents) were sent a postcard with a small number of questions. 85 persons (5,9 percent of the gross sample) returned the card. V0003 contains a code for those sending back a postcard.

3. Documentation of variables

3.1 Variable description list

3.1.1 First wave

Interview identification

V0001 0/ Study number
V0002 0/ Respondent identification number
V0003 0/ Type of interview record

Contextual variables

V0010 0/ Region
V0011 0/ Municipality
V0012 0/ Zip code
V0013 0/ Degree of urbanization
V0014 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - welfare
V0015 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class A
V0016 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B1
V0017 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B2
V0018 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class C
V0019 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class D
V0020 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education low
V0021 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education middle
V0022 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education high

Weights

V0025 0/ Weight 1: sex, age, marital status, region, urbanization, electoral behavior (CBS)
V0026 0/ Weight 2: region, urbanization (stratification variables)
V0027 0/ Weight 3: region, urbanization, time of interview first wave (rolling cross section)

Political interest and communication

V0030 I/2 Reads about national news?
V0031 I/3 Talks about national news?
V0032 I/4 Reads about foreign news?
V0033 I/5 Interested in politics?
V0034 I/index Political interest score q2-5
V0035 I/6 Daily newspaper - first answer
V0036 I/6 Daily newspaper - second answer
V0037 I/6 Daily newspaper - third answer
V0038 I/6 Daily newspaper - fourth answer
V0039 I/6 Daily newspaper - fifth answer
V0040 I/7 Frequency watching NOS TV newscast
V0041 I/8 Frequency watching RTL4 TV newscast

V0042 I/9 Frequency watching NOVA - Den Haag Vandaag TV broadcast

Most important national problem

V0045 I/10 Most important national problem - first answer
V0046 I/10 Most important national problem - second answer
V0047 I/10 Most important national problem - third answer
V0048 I/10 Most important national problem - fourth answer
V0049 I/10 Most important national problem - fifth answer

Party adherence

V0050 I/11a Respondent is (not) adherent to a party
V0051 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - first party
V0052 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - second party
V0053 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - third party
V0054 I/11b2 Party respondent is most attracted to
V0055 I/11c Respondent is (not) convinced adherent
V0056 I/11d Respondent is not an adherent and (not) attracted to a party
V0057 I/11e Party respondent is attracted to
V0058 I/index Strength of party adherence q11
V0059 I/index Direction of party adherence q11

Party membership

V0060 I/12a Respondent is (not) member of a party
V0061 I/12b Party respondent is member of
V0062 I/12c Respondent has (never) been member of a party
V0063 I/12d Party respondent was member of - first party
V0064 I/12d Party respondent was member of - second party
V0065 I/12e Respondent (never) considered to become member of a party
V0066 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of – first party
V0067 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of – second party
V0068 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of – third party

Government policy satisfaction

V0070 I/13a Effect government policy on economic situation
V0071 I/13b Effect government policy on employment
V0072 I/13c Effect government policy on respondents finances
V0073 I/14 General satisfaction with government
V0074 I/index Policy satisfaction score q13ab-q14

Vote intention Second Chamber 1994

V0075 I/15a Intends to vote in 1998 parliamentary elections?
V0076 I/2a Vote intention 1998 parliamentary elections
V0077 I/2b Does hesitating respondent consider to vote for party?
V0078 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for – first party
V0079 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for – second party

- V0080 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for – third party
- V0081 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for – fourth party
- V0082 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for – fifth party
- V0083 I/2d Vote intention if non-voter is obliged to vote

General attitude towards (not)voting

- V0085 I/16a Voting is a duty to society
- V0086 I/16b Voting costs me a great deal of time and effort
- V0087 I/16c Voting supports the democratic system
- V0088 I/16d Voting does not help to exert political influence
- V0089 I/16e Voting is a way of declaring ones political conviction
- V0090 I/16f Voting does not avail me anything
- V0091 I/16g Voting is a way of supporting a political party
- V0092 I/16h If one does not vote, one loses the right to criticize
- V0093 I/16i It makes no sense to vote when all goes well
- V0094 I/17a Willingness to exert oneself in order to vote
- V0095 I/17b Importance of a high turnout
- V0096 I/17c Turnout and individual electoral behavior - first priority
- V0097 I/17d Turnout and individual electoral behavior - second priority
- V0098 I/17e Turnout and individual electoral behavior - third priority
- V0099 I/17f Turnout and individual electoral behavior - fourth priority

Perception of poll results

- V0100 I/18a Frequency of encountering poll results
- V0101 I/18b Perceived accuracy of poll results
- V0102 I/18c Present poll result CDA compared to 1994 election results
- V0103 I/18d Present poll result PvdA compared to 1994 election results
- V0104 I/18e Present poll result VVD compared to 1994 election results
- V0105 I/18f Present poll result D66 compared to 1994 election results
- V0106 I/18g Which party will be the largest in 1998 elections?

Political (position) issues - euthanasia

- V0110 I/19a Euthanasia - position of CDA
- V0111 I/19b Euthanasia - position of PvdA
- V0112 I/19c Euthanasia - position of VVD
- V0113 I/19d Euthanasia - position of D66
- V0114 I/19e Euthanasia - position of GroenLinks
- V0115 I/19f Euthanasia - position of GPV
- V0116 I/19g Euthanasia - position of respondent

Political (position) issues - income differences

- V0117 I/20a Income differences - position of CDA
- V0118 I/20b Income differences - position of PvdA
- V0119 I/20c Income differences - position of VVD
- V0120 I/20d Income differences - position of D66
- V0121 I/20e Income differences - position of GroenLinks

- V0122 I/20f Income differences - position of GPV
V0123 I/20g Income differences - position of respondent

Political (position) issues - asylum seekers

- V0124 I/21a Asylum seekers - position of CDA
V0125 I/21b Asylum seekers - position of PvdA
V0126 I/21c Asylum seekers - position of VVD
V0127 I/21d Asylum seekers - position of D66
V0128 I/21e Asylum seekers - position of GroenLinks
V0129 I/21f Asylum seekers - position of GPV
V0130 I/21g Asylum seekers - position of respondent

Political (position) issues - European unification

- V0131 I/22a European unification - position of CDA
V0132 I/22b European unification - position of PvdA
V0133 I/22c European unification - position of VVD
V0134 I/22d European unification - position of D66
V0135 I/22e European unification - position of GroenLinks
V0136 I/22f European unification - position of GPV
V0137 I/22g European unification - position of respondent

Political (position) issues - ethnic minorities

- V0138 I/23a Ethnic minorities - position of CDA
V0139 I/23b Ethnic minorities - position of PvdA
V0140 I/23c Ethnic minorities - position of VVD
V0141 I/23d Ethnic minorities - position of D66
V0142 I/23e Ethnic minorities - position of GroenLinks
V0143 I/23f Ethnic minorities - position of GPV
V0144 I/23g Ethnic minorities - position of respondent

Political knowledge - membership of the EU

- V0150 I/24 Is Germany member of the European Union?
V0151 I/24 Is United States member of the European Union?
V0152 I/24 Is France member of the European Union?
V0153 I/24 Is Italy member of the European Union?
V0154 I/24 Is Spain member of the European Union?
V0155 I/24 Is Poland member of the European Union?
V0156 I/24 Is Lithuania member of the European Union?
V0157 I/24 Is Sweden member of the European Union?
V0158 I/24 Is Norway member of the European Union?
V0159 I/24 Is Turkey member of the European Union?

Left-right self-rating

- V0160 I/25 Left-right self-rating (11 point scale)

Previous voting behavior

- V0165 I/26a Did (not) vote in 1994 parliamentary elections
- V0166 I/26b Party voted for in 1994 parliamentary elections
- V0167 I/27a Did (not) vote in most recent municipal elections
- V0168 I/27b Party voted for in most recent municipal elections
- V0169 I/27c Reason for not voting in most recent municipal elections

Sympathy scores for political parties

- V0170 I/28a Sympathy score PvdA
- V0171 I/28b Sympathy score VVD
- V0172 I/28c Sympathy score D66
- V0173 I/28d Sympathy score GroenLinks
- V0174 I/28e Sympathy score CDA
- V0175 I/28f Sympathy score SGP
- V0176 I/28g Sympathy score GPV
- V0177 I/28h Sympathy score RPF
- V0178 I/28i Sympathy score Centrumdemocraten
- V0179 I/28j Sympathy score SP
- V0180 I/28k Sympathy score AOV

Sympathy scores for politicians

- V0181 I/29a Sympathy score Wim Kok (PvdA)
- V0182 I/29b Sympathy score Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (CDA)
- V0183 I/29c Sympathy score Frits Bolkestein (VVD)
- V0184 I/29d Sympathy score Els Borst (D66)
- V0185 I/29e Sympathy score Paul Rosenmöller (GroenLinks)
- V0186 I/29f Sympathy score Gert Schutte (GPV)
- V0187 I/29g Sympathy score Jan Marijnissen (SP)
- V0188 I/29h Sympathy score Hans Janmaat (Centrumdemocraten)
- V0189 I/29i Sympathy score Thom de Graaf (D66)

Political knowledge - photo questions

- V0190 I/30.1.1 Photo A - Jacques Wallage
- V0191 I/30.1.2 Party of Wallage (PvdA)
- V0192 I/30.1.3 Political function Wallage
- V0193 I/30.2.1 Photo B - Thom de Graaf
- V0194 I/30.2.2 Party of de Graaf (D66)
- V0195 I/30.2.3 Political function of de Graaf
- V0196 I/30.3.1 Photo C - Annemarie Jorritsma
- V0197 I/30.3.2 Party of Jorritsma (VVD)
- V0198 I/30.3.3 Political function of Jorritsma
- V0199 I/30.4.1 Photo D - Piet Bukman
- V0200 I/30.4.2 Party of Bukman (CDA)
- V0201 I/30.4.3 Political function of Bukman
- V0202 I/index Political knowledge score 1 (0-12) q30
- V0203 I/index Political knowledge score 2 (0-4) q30

Political knowledge - largest party

- V0205 I/31a Which party is the larger: PvdA or VVD?
- V0206 I/31b Which party is the larger: D66 or GroenLinks?
- V0207 I/31c Which party is the larger: CDA or PvdA?
- V0208 I/31d Which party is the larger: VVD or D66?

Political knowledge - composition of coalition

- V0210 I/31e Is PvdA member of present coalition?
- V0211 I/31e Is CDA member of present coalition?
- V0212 I/31e Is VVD member of present coalition?
- V0213 I/31e Is D66 member of present coalition?
- V0214 I/31e Is GroenLinks member of present coalition?
- V0215 I/31e Is SGP member of present coalition?
- V0216 I/31e Is GPV member of present coalition?
- V0217 I/31e Is RPF member of present coalition?
- V0218 I/31e Is CD member of present coalition?
- V0219 I/31e Is Unie 55+ member of present coalition?
- V0220 I/31e Is AOV member of present coalition?
- V0221 I/31e Is SP member of present coalition?
- V0222 I/31e Is Senioren 2000 member of present coalition?
- V0223 I/31e Other party which is member of present coalition
- V0224 I/index Correct perception composition present coalition q31

Coalition preference before elections

- V0230 I/31f Is PvdA preferred in new government coalition?
- V0231 I/31f Is CDA preferred in new government coalition?
- V0232 I/31f Is VVD preferred in new government coalition?
- V0233 I/31f Is D66 preferred in new government coalition?
- V0234 I/31f Is GroenLinks preferred in new government coalition?
- V0235 I/31f Is SGP preferred in new government coalition?
- V0236 I/31f Is GPV preferred in new government coalition?
- V0237 I/31f Is RPF preferred in new government coalition?
- V0238 I/31f Is CD preferred in new government coalition?
- V0239 I/31f Is Unie 55+ preferred in new government coalition?
- V0240 I/31f Is AOV preferred in new government coalition?
- V0241 I/31f Is SP preferred in new government coalition?
- V0242 I/31f Is Senioren 2000 preferred in new government coalition
- V0243 I/31f Other party preferred in new government coalition
- V0244 I/31g Does it matter which parties are member of the coalition?

Social and political goals

- V0250 I/32a Goals 1 - securing a high-paying job
- V0251 I/32b Goals 2 - working hard and saving for the future
- V0252 I/32c Goals 3 - maintaining high economic growth
- V0253 I/32d Goals 4 - personal freedom
- V0254 I/32e Goals 5 - being open-minded to new ideas

- V0255 I/32f Goals 6 - freedom of speech
- V0256 I/32g Goals 7 - seeking personal fulfillment
- V0257 I/32h Goals 8 - giving people more say in government decisions
- V0258 I/32i Goals 9 - increasing benefits for the disadvantaged
- V0259 I/32j Goals 10 - improving environment and quality of life
- V0260 I/32k Goals 11 - active citizen participation in local politics
- V0261 I/32l Goals 12 - replacing parties by strong leaders
- V0262 I/32m Goals 13 - preserving traditional morals and values
- V0263 I/32n Goals 14 - respect for authorities
- V0264 I/32o Goals 15 - providing for strong defense forces even in present situation
- V0265 I/32p Goals 16 - limiting room for dissenters
- V0266 I/32q Goals 17 - stimulating loyalty and patriotism

Political and cultural community

- V0270 I/33a All having Dutch ancestors are entitled to Dutch nationality
- V0271 I/33b All working & living in the Netherlands are entitled to Dutch nationality
- V0272 I/33c Solidarity among inhabitants of country arises through the centuries
- V0273 I/33d Cultural differences within a country do not obstruct mutual solidarity

Composition of household - presence of persons

- V0280 I/37 Number of household members
- V0281 I/37 Composition of household
- V0282 I/37 Is partner present in household?
- V0283 I/37 Number of (foster-, step-)children in household
- V0284 I/37 Number of (foster-, step-)parents (in law) in household
- V0285 I/37 Number of (great)grandparents in household
- V0286 I/37 Number of (step-)brothers and sisters (in law) in household
- V0287 I/37 Number of residents, boarders and others in household

Composition of household - sex

- V0288 I/37 Sex of respondent
- V0289 I/37 Sex of partner
- V0290 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)parent (in law) #1
- V0291 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)parent (in law) #2
- V0292 I/37 Sex of (great)grandparent #1
- V0293 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #1
- V0294 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #2
- V0295 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #3
- V0296 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #4
- V0297 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #5
- V0298 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #6
- V0299 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #7

V0300 I/37 Sex of (foster-, step-)child #8
 V0301 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #1
 V0302 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #2
 V0303 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #3
 V0304 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #4
 V0305 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #5
 V0306 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #6
 V0307 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #7
 V0308 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #1
 V0309 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #2
 V0310 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #3
 V0311 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #4
 V0312 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #5
 V0313 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #6
 V0314 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #7
 V0315 I/37 Sex of resident, boarder, other #8

Composition of household - age

V0316 I/37 Age of respondent
 V0317 I/37 Age of partner
 V0318 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)parent (in law) #1
 V0319 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)parent (in law) #2
 V0320 I/37 Age of (great)grandparent #1
 V0321 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #1
 V0322 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #2
 V0323 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #3
 V0324 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #4
 V0325 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #5
 V0326 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #6
 V0327 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #7
 V0328 I/37 Age of (foster-, step-)child #8
 V0329 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #1
 V0330 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #2
 V0331 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #3
 V0332 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #4
 V0333 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #5
 V0334 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #6
 V0335 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #7
 V0336 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #1
 V0337 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #2
 V0338 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #3
 V0339 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #4
 V0340 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #5
 V0341 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #6
 V0342 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #7
 V0343 I/37 Age of resident, boarder, other #8

Composition of household - household income

V0344	I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 1
V0345	I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 2
V0346	I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 3
V0347	I/37 Main breadwinner in household
V0348	I/51 Net annual income of respondents household

Background characteristics of respondent - social and economic variables

V0350	I/1b Date of birth of respondent (yyyymmdd)
V0351	I/1c Marital status of respondent
V0352	I/38a Highest education (completed) of respondent
V0353	I/38bc Education respondent started afterwards
V0354	I/39a Current occupational status of respondent
V0355	I/39aw Sector in which respondent is currently employed
V0356	I/39ah Reason respondent does not work
V0357	I/39anw Reason respondent never worked
V0358	I/39b Respondents current occupation (sbc92)
V0359	I/39b Respondents current occupation (u&s92)
V0360	I/39b Respondents current occupation (isei92)
V0361	I/39b Respondents current occupation (egp92)
V0362	I/39b Respondents current occupation (isco88)
V0363	I/39ef Number of employees supervised by respondent
V0364	I/39gh Number of persons employed by self-employed respondent
V0365	I/39i Number of hours respondent works per week
V0366	I/39j Reason why respondent is not full-time working
V0367	I/39k Respondents former occupation (sbc92)
V0368	I/39k Respondents former occupation (u&s92)
V0369	I/39k Respondents former occupation (isei92)
V0370	I/39k Respondents former occupation (egp92)
V0371	I/39k Respondents former occupation (isco88)
V0372	I/39mn Number of employees respondent supervised in former occupation
V0373	I/39o Number of hours respondent worked per week
V0374	I/39p Former job respondent ended in which year?
V0375	I/39q Respondent willing to work regularly?

Background characteristics of respondent - religion

V0376	I/40a Type of belief in God
V0377	I/40b Religious denomination of respondent
V0378	I/40c Dutch Reformed denomination of respondent
V0379	I/40d Calvinist denomination of respondent
V0380	I/40ba Other Christian denomination of respondent
V0381	I/40ba Other religious denomination of respondent
V0382	I/40e Respondents attendance of religious services
V0385	I/40f Denomination in which respondent was raised - first answer

V0386 I/40f Denomination in which respondent was raised - second answer

Background characteristics of respondent - formative period

V0387 I/34a Discussed politics at home when adolescent
V0388 I/34b Respondent had (no) father during adolescence
V0389 I/34c Respondent had (no) mother during adolescence
V0390 I/35b Party preference of father during adolescence - first
V0391 I/35b Party preference of father during adolescence - second
V0392 I/36b Party preference of mother during adolescence - first
V0393 I/36b Party preference of mother during adolescence - second

Social class

V0394 I/41 Social class - self image

Background characteristics of partner - social and economic variables

V0400 I/42a Highest education (completed) of partner
V0401 I/42bc Education partner started afterwards
V0402 I/43a Current occupational status of partner
V0403 I/43aw Sector in which partner is currently employed
V0404 I/43ah Reason partner does not work
V0405 I/43anw Reason partner never worked
V0406 I/43b Partners current occupation (sbc92)
V0407 I/43b Partners current occupation (u&s92)
V0408 I/43b Partners current occupation (isei92)
V0409 I/43b Partners current occupation (egp92)
V0410 I/43b Partners current occupation (isco88)
V0411 I/43ef Number of employees supervised by partner
V0412 I/43gh Number of persons employed by self-employed partner
V0413 I/43i Number of hours partner works per week
V0414 I/43j Reason why partner is not full-time working
V0415 I/43k Partners former occupation (sbc92)
V0416 I/43k Partners former occupation (u&s92)
V0417 I/43k Partners former occupation (isei92)
V0418 I/43k Partners former occupation (egp92)
V0419 I/43k Partners former occupation (isco88)
V0420 I/43mn Number of employees partner supervised in former occupation
V0421 I/43o Number of hours partner worked per week
V0422 I/43p Former job partner ended in which year?
V0423 I/43q Partner willing to work regularly?

Background characteristics of partner - religion

V0424 I/44a Religious denomination of partner
V0425 I/44b1 Dutch Reformed denomination of partner
V0426 I/44b2 Calvinist denomination of partner
V0427 I/44b3 Other Christian denomination of partner

V0428 I/44b4 Other religious denomination of partner
V0429 I/44d Partners attendance of religious services
V0430 I/44e Denomination in which partner was raised - first answer
V0431 I/44e Denomination in which partner was raised - second answer

Background characteristics of head of household - social and economic variables

V0435 I/45a Highest education (completed) of head of household
V0436 I/45bc Education head of household started afterwards
V0437 I/46a Current occupational status of head of household
V0438 I/46aw Sector in which head of household is currently employed
V0439 I/46ah Reason head of household does not work
V0440 I/46b Head of households current occupation (sbc92)
V0441 I/46b Head of households current occupation (u&s92)
V0442 I/46b Head of households current occupation (isei92)
V0443 I/46b Head of households current occupation (egp92)
V0444 I/46b Head of households current occupation (isco88)
V0445 I/46egf Number of employees supervised by head of household
V0446 I/46gh Number of persons employed by self-employed head of household

V0447 I/46i Number of hours head of household works per week
V0448 I/46j Reason why head of household is not full-time working
V0449 I/46k Head of households former occupation (sbc92)
V0450 I/46k Head of households former occupation (u&s92)
V0451 I/46k Head of households former occupation (isei92)
V0452 I/46k Head of households former occupation (egp92)
V0453 I/46k Head of households former occupation (isco88)
V0454 I/46mn Number of employees supervised by head of household in former job

V0455 I/46o Number of hours head of household worked per week
V0456 I/46p Former job head of household ended in which year?
V0457 I/46q Head of household willing to have work regularly?

Background characteristics of father - social and economic variables

V0460 I/47 Highest education (completed) of father
V0461 I/48a Occupational status of father during adolescence
V0462 I/48aw Sector in which father was employed
V0463 I/48ah Reason father did not work
V0464 I/48an Reason father had never worked
V0465 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (sbc92)
V0466 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (u&s92)
V0467 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (isei92)
V0468 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (egp92)
V0469 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (isco88)
V0470 I/48ef Number of employees supervised by father
V0471 I/48gh Number of persons employed by self-employed father

V0472 I/48i Number of hours father spent on work during adolescence
V0473 I/48j Reason why father was not full-time working

Background characteristics of mother - social and economic variables

V0480 I/v49 Highest education (completed) of mother
V0481 I/50a Occupational status of mother during adolescence
V0482 I/50aw Sector in which mother was employed
V0483 I/50ah Reason mother did not work
V0484 I/50an Reason mother had never worked
V0485 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (sbc92)
V0486 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (u&s92)
V0487 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (isei92)
V0488 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (egp92)
V0489 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (isco88)
V0490 I/50ef Number of persons supervised by mother
V0491 I/50gh Number of persons employed by self-employed mother
V0492 I/50i Number of hours mother spent on work during adolescence
V0493 I/50j Reason why mother was not full-time working

Interview information

V0500 0/ Interviewer Wave 1 identification number
V0501 0/ Interviewer wave 1 characteristic - age
V0502 0/ Interviewer wave 1 characteristic - sex
V0503 0/ Week of interview Wave 1
V0504 0/ Date of interview Wave 1 (yyyymmdd)
V0505 0/ Duration of interview Wave 1 (mmm)
V0506 I/53a Any person present at first interview?
V0507 I/53c Present at first interview - children under 6 years
V0508 I/53d Present at first interview - children 6 years and older
V0509 I/53e Present at first interview - spouse or partner
V0510 I/53f Present at first interview - other relatives
V0511 I/53g Present at first interview - other adults
V0512 I/54a Disturbing influences first interview - first coding
V0513 I/54b Disturbing influences first interview - second coding
V0514 I/52a Willingness to participate in next wave
V0515 I/52b Not willing to participate - reason

Text variables first wave

V0550 I/10 Most important national problem - first answer (text)
V0551 I/10 Most important national problem - second answer (text)
V0552 I/10 Most important national problem - third answer (text)
V0553 I/10 Most important national problem - fourth answer (text)
V0554 I/10 Most important national problem - fifth answer (text)
V0555 I/27c Reason for not voting in most recent municipal elections (text)
V0556 I/30.1.1 Photo A - other name (text)
V0557 I/30.1.2 Party – other (text)

V0558 I/30.1.3 Political function - other (text)
 V0559 I/30.2.1 photo C - other name (text)
 V0560 I/30.2.2 Party - other (text)
 V0561 I/30.2.3 Political function - other (text)
 V0562 I/30.3.1 Photo C - other name (text)
 V0563 I/30.3.2 Party - other (text)
 V0564 I/30.3.3 Political function - other (text)
 V0565 I/30.4.1 Photo D - other name (text)
 V0566 I/30.4.2 Party - other (text)
 V0567 I/30.4.3 Political function - other (text)
 V0568 I/39b Respondents current occupation (text)
 V0569 I/39cd Branch of industry of respondents current occupation (text)
 V0570 I/39k Respondents former occupation (text)
 V0571 I/39l Branch of industry of respondents former occupation (text)
 V0572 I/43b Partners current occupation (text)
 V0573 I/43cd Branch of industry of partners current occupation (text)
 V0574 I/43k Partners former occupation (text)
 V0575 I/43l Branch of industry of partners former occupation (text)
 V0576 I/46b Head of households current occupation (text)
 V0577 I/46cd Branch of industry of head of households current occupation (text)
 V0578 I/46k Head of households former occupation (text)
 V0579 I/46l Branch of industry head of households former occupation (text)
 V0580 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (text)
 V0582 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (text)
 V0584 I/52b Not willing to participate - reason (text)
 V0585 I/54b Disturbing influences first interview (text)

Order variables first wave

V0590 I/16 Order of statements about (not) voting
 V0591 I/18 Order of questions about poll results
 V0592 I/28 Order of questions about party sympathy
 V0593 I/29 Order of questions about sympathy of politicians
 V0594 I/32 Order of statements about the goals society should aim at

3.1.2 Second wave

Interest and activities in campaign

- V0600 II/2 Read campaign news in newspaper?
- V0601 II/3a Has seen electoral debates?
- V0602 II/3b Has seen electoral debate on eve of elections?
- V0603 II/3a Influenced by electoral debate on eve of elections?
- V0604 II/4 Campaign activity - displayed window posters
- V0605 II/4 Campaign activity - tried to convince others
- V0606 II/4 Campaign activity - contributed money to party
- V0607 II/4 Campaign activity - attended election rallies

Voting behavior Second Chamber 1998

- V0610 II/5 Did (not) vote in 1998 parliamentary elections
- V0611 II/6 Party voted for in 1998 parliamentary elections
- V0612 II/7 Party choice - first reason
- V0613 II/7 Party choice - second reason
- V0614 II/7 Party choice - third reason
- V0615 II/7 Party choice - fourth reason
- V0616 II/8 Party choice - when decided
- V0617 II/9a Did (not) cast preferential vote
- V0618 II/9b Reason for preferential vote - first reason
- V0619 II/9b Reason for preferential vote - second reason
- V0620 II/9c Did respondent authorize someone to vote by proxy?
- V0621 II/9d Person authorized to vote by proxy
- V0622 II/9e Has been authorized by someone to vote by proxy
- V0623 II/9f Person who authorized respondent to vote by proxy
- V0624 II/9g What time did you vote?
- V0625 II/10a Previous voting behavior of respondent
- V0626 II/11 Party previously voted for - first answer
- V0627 II/11 Party previously voted for - second answer
- V0628 II/11 Party previously voted for - third answer
- V0629 II/11 Party previously voted for - fourth answer
- V0630 II/11 Party previously voted for - fifth answer
- V0631 II/12a Considered not to vote
- V0632 II/12b Did hesitate about party choice
- V0633 II/13 First party considered as alternative choice
- V0634 II/13 Second party considered as alternative choice
- V0635 II/13 Third party considered as alternative choice
- V0636 II/13 Fourth party considered as alternative choice
- V0637 II/13 Fifth party considered as alternative choice
- V0638 II/14 Did not vote - first reason
- V0639 II/14 Did not vote - second reason
- V0640 II/14 Did not vote - third reason
- V0641 II/15 Did not vote - when decided
- V0642 II/16 Preferred party of non-voters

Coalition preference after elections

- V0650 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - D66
- V0651 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - PvdA
- V0652 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - CDA
- V0653 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - VVD
- V0654 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - GroenLinks

(Post)materialist values

- V0655 II/18a (Post-)materialist priorities - first choice
- V0656 II/18b (Post-)materialist priorities - second choice
- V0657 II/18c (Post-)materialist priorities - third choice
- V0658 II/18d (Post-)materialist priorities - fourth choice

Importance of issues

- V0660 II/19a Importance - income differences
- V0661 II/19b Importance - integration ethnic minorities
- V0662 II/19c Importance - nuclear plants
- V0663 II/19d Importance - heavy traffic on highways
- V0664 II/19e Importance - environmental pollution
- V0665 II/19f Importance - unemployment
- V0666 II/19g Importance - euthanasia
- V0667 II/19h Importance - European Union
- V0668 II/19i Importance - financial deficit of the state
- V0669 II/19j Importance - level social benefits
- V0670 II/19k Importance - securing good provision for old age
- V0671 II/19l Importance - misusing social benefits
- V0672 II/19m Importance - seeking for asylum
- V0673 II/19n Importance - crime
- V0674 II/19o Importance - health care

Political parties importance of issues - unemployment

- V0680 II/20a Importance unemployment - CDA
- V0681 II/20b Importance unemployment - PvdA
- V0682 II/20c Importance unemployment - VVD
- V0683 II/20d Importance unemployment - D66
- V0684 II/20e Importance unemployment - GroenLinks
- V0685 II/20f Importance unemployment - GPV
- V0686 II/20g Importance unemployment - party voted for (II/6)

Political parties importance of issues - cleaner environment

- V0687 II/21a Importance cleaner environment - CDA
- V0688 II/21b Importance cleaner environment - PvdA
- V0689 II/21c Importance cleaner environment - VVD
- V0690 II/21d Importance cleaner environment - D66
- V0691 II/21e Importance cleaner environment - GroenLinks
- V0692 II/21f Importance cleaner environment - GPV

V0693 II/21g Importance environment - party voted for (II/6)

Political parties importance of issues - fighting crime

V0694 II/22a Importance fighting crime - CDA
V0695 II/22b Importance fighting crime - PvdA
V0696 II/22c Importance fighting crime - VVD
V0697 II/22d Importance fighting crime - D66
V0698 II/22e Importance fighting crime - GroenLinks
V0699 II/22f Importance fighting crime - GPV
V0700 II/22g Importance fighting crime - party voted for (II/6)

Political parties importance of issues - refugees

V0701 II/23a Importance refugees - CDA
V0702 II/23b Importance refugees - PvdA
V0703 II/23c Importance refugees - VVD
V0704 II/23d Importance refugees - D66
V0705 II/23e Importance refugees - GroenLinks
V0706 II/23f Importance refugees - GPV
V0707 II/23g Importance refugees - party voted for (II/6)

Political parties importance of issues - health care

V0708 II/24a Importance health care - CDA
V0709 II/24b Importance health care - PvdA
V0710 II/24c Importance health care - VVD
V0711 II/24d Importance health care - D66
V0712 II/24e Importance health care - GroenLinks
V0713 II/24f Importance health care - GPV
V0714 II/24g Importance health care - party voted for (II/6)

Social participation

V0720 II/25 How active: environmental organization
V0721 II/25 How active: traffic organization
V0722 II/25 How active: housing organization
V0723 II/25 How active: third world or human rights
V0724 II/25 How active: union
V0725 II/25 How active: professional organization
V0726 II/25 How active: neighborhood organization
V0727 II/25 How active: womens organization
V0728 II/25 How active: sports organization
V0729 II/25b Other members of household member of union?

Political (position) issues - social benefits

V0730 II/28a Social benefits - position of CDA
V0731 II/28b Social benefits - position of PvdA
V0732 II/28c Social benefits - position of VVD
V0733 II/28d Social benefits - position of D66

- V0734 II/28e Social benefits - position of GroenLinks
- V0735 II/28f Social benefits - position of GPV
- V0736 II/28g Social benefits - position of respondent

Political (position) issues - nuclear plants

- V0737 II/27a Nuclear plants - position of CDA
- V0738 II/27b Nuclear plants - position of PvdA
- V0739 II/27c Nuclear plants - position of VVD
- V0740 II/27d Nuclear plants - position of D66
- V0741 II/27e Nuclear plants - position of GroenLinks
- V0742 II/27f Nuclear plants - position of GPV
- V0743 II/27g Nuclear plants - position of respondent

Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy

- V0745 II/29a Satisfaction with democracy in the Netherlands
- V0746 II/29b Fairness of elections in the Netherlands
- V0747 II/29c Reason unfairness elections in the Netherlands
- V0748 II/29d Parties (not) interested in opinions of people
- V0749 II/29e Parties necessary for functioning of democracy
- V0750 II/29f Evaluation of Dutch economy
- V0751 II/29ghi Dutch economy compared to 12 months ago
- V0752 II/29j Members of Dutch parliament are aware of opinions of ordinary people
- V0753 II/29k Did you have contact with member of parliament?
- V0754 II/29l How much does it matter which party one votes for?
- V0755 II/29m How much does it matter who is in charge?
- V0756 II/29n Are people open about their political opinion?

Regional differences

- V0757 II/30a Not enough attention paid to regional differences in composition parliament
- V0758 II/30b Every region/city should have representative

Foreigners

- V0759 II/31a Enfranchise foreigners in municipal elections
- V0760 II/31b Enfranchise foreigners in parliamentary elections

Faith in prospective premiers

- V0765 II/32 Faith in Jaap de Hoop Scheffer as prime minister
- V0766 II/32 Faith in Wim Kok as prime minister
- V0767 II/32 Faith in Frits Bolkestein as prime minister
- V0768 II/32 Faith in Els Borst as prime minister

Left-right

- V0770 II/33 Left-right self-rating (10 point scale)
- V0775 II/34a Left-right rating of PvdA (10 point scale)

- V0776 II/34b Left-right rating of VVD (10 point scale)
- V0777 II/34c Left-right rating of D66 (10 point scale)
- V0778 II/34d Left-right rating of GroenLinks(10 point scale)
- V0779 II/34e Left-right rating of CDA (10 point scale)
- V0780 II/34f Left-right rating of SGP (10 point scale)
- V0781 II/34g Left-right rating of GPV (10 point scale)
- V0782 II/34h Left-right rating of RPF (10 point scale)
- V0783 II/34i Left-right rating of Centrumdemocraten (10 point scale)
- V0784 II/34j Left-right rating of SP (10 point scale)
- V0785 II/34k Left-right rating of AOV/Unie 55+ (10 point scale)

External political efficacy

- V0790 II/35a MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me
- V0791 II/35b Parties only interested in my vote, not in my opinion
- V0792 II/35c People like me have no influence on politics
- V0793 II/35d So many people vote, my vote does not matter
- V0794 II/35e MPs quickly lose contact with citizens
- V0795 II/index External political efficacy score q35

Internal political efficacy

- V0796 II/36a Consider myself qualified for politics
- V0797 II/36b Well informed about political problems
- V0798 II/36c Politics sometimes too complicated
- V0799 II/index Internal political efficacy score q36

Political cynicism

- V0800 II/37a Politicians promise more than they can deliver
- V0801 II/37b Ministers primarily self-interested
- V0802 II/37c Friends more important than abilities to become MP
- V0803 II/index Political cynicism score q37

Social isolation

- V0804 II/38 Can one trust other people?
- V0805 II/39a When you have problems, you are on your own
- V0806 II/39b Nobody has special interest in you
- V0807 II/39c There is always someone you can ask for help
- V0808 II/39d Even closest family not interested
- V0809 II/39e I often feel abandoned
- V0810 II/39f I do not know whom to ask for help, besides my family

Bases of accepting political decisions

- V0815 II/41a Acceptance political decisions - legality
- V0816 II/41b Acceptance political decisions - tradition
- V0817 II/41c No acceptance political decisions - self-interest
- V0818 II/41d No acceptance political decisions - principles
- V0819 II/41e No acceptance political decisions - feelings

- V0820 II/41f Action when political decisions are illegal
- V0821 II/41g Action when political decisions are against self-interest
- V0822 II/41h Action when political decisions are against principles
- V0823 II/41i Action when political decisions are against feelings

Vote probability

- V0830 II/43a Probability of future vote for PvdA
- V0831 II/43b Probability of future vote for VVD
- V0832 II/43c Probability of future vote for D66
- V0833 II/43d Probability of future vote for GroenLinks
- V0834 II/43e Probability of future vote for CDA
- V0835 II/43f Probability of future vote for SGP
- V0836 II/43g Probability of future vote for GPV
- V0837 II/43h Probability of future vote for RPF
- V0838 II/43i Probability of future vote for Centrumdemocraten
- V0839 II/43j Probability of future vote for SP
- V0840 II/43k Probability of future vote for AOV/Unie 55+

Civic competence and civic political participation

- V0842 II/44a Chance acting against unjust national bill
- V0845 II/44b Did (not) contact cabinet minister
- V0846 II/44b Did (not) contact member of parliament
- V0847 II/44b Did (not) sign a petition
- V0848 II/44b Did (not) try to activate interest group
- V0849 II/44b Did (not) try to activate radio or TV
- V0850 II/44b Did (not) try to activate political party
- V0851 II/44b Did (not) contact mayor or alderman
- V0852 II/44b Did (not) contact municipal councilor
- V0853 II/44b Did (not) join civic action group
- V0854 II/44b Did (not) join demonstration
- V0855 II/44b Did (not) try to activate newspaper
- V0856 II/44b Did (not) lodge a complaint
- V0857 II/44b Did (not) contact department official
- V0858 II/index Civic participation score q44b

Confessional attitude

- V0860 II/45ab Should there also be confessional parties?
- V0861 II/45c Should there also be confessional unions?
- V0862 II/45de Should there also be confessional schools?
- V0863 II/45fg Should there also be confessional radio and tv?
- V0864 II/46 Religion is a good guide in politics
- V0865 II/index Confessional attitude score q45-q46

Social class

- V0870 II/47 Social class - self image

Right-wing orientations

V0875	II/48a An important job is to teach children patriotism
V0876	II/48b Our social provisions are not meant for foreigners
V0877	II/48c Every Dutchman should observe our national symbols
V0878	II/48d Foreigners are a threat to our culture
V0879	II/48e Youngsters should learn self-control and determination
V0880	II/48f Foreigners abuse social provisions more than Dutchmen
V0881	II/48g Children should learn obedience and respect for authorities
V0882	II/48h Differences between ethnic groups are natural

Interview characteristics

V0883	II/v49 Reason to participate in this survey
V0885	II/ Interviewer Wave 2 identification number
V0886	0/ Interviewer wave 2 characteristic - age
V0887	0/ Interviewer wave 2 characteristic - sex
V0888	II/ Date of second interview (yyyymmdd)
V0889	II/ Duration of second interview (mmm)
V0890	II/51a Any person present at second interview?
V0891	II/51c Present at second interview - children under 6 years
V0892	II/51d Present at second interview - children 6 years and older
V0893	II/51e Present at second interview - spouse or partner
V0894	II/51f Present at second interview - other relatives
V0895	II/51g Present at second interview - other adults
V0896	II/52b Disturbing influences second interview - first coding
V0897	II/52b Disturbing influences second interview - second coding
V0898	II/v50a1 Accepted lottery ticket
V0899	II/v50a1 Promised to fill in self-completion questionnaire
V0900	II/50b Willingness to participate in next wave
V0901	II/50c Reason for not willing to participate in next wave

Text variables second wave

V0905	II/7 Party choice - reason (text)
V0906	II/9b Other reason for preferential vote (text)
V0907	II/14a Did not vote - first reason (text)
V0908	II/14b Did not vote - second reason (text)
V0909	II/14c Did not vote - third reason (text)
V0910	II/14d Did not vote - fourth reason (text)
V0911	II/14e Did not vote - fifth reason (text)
V0912	II/29c Reason elections in the Netherlands are unfair (text)
V0913	II/50c Reason for not willing to participate in next wave (text)
V0914	II/52b Disturbing influences second interview (text)

Order variables second wave

V0915	II/19 Order of questions about the importance of issues
V0916	II/20-24 Order of questions about the importance parties attach to issues

V0917 II/30 Order of questions about territorial representation
V0918 II/32 Order of questions about prospective prime ministers
V0919 II/35 Order of questions about external efficacy
V0920 II/36 Order of questions about internal efficacy
V0921 II/37 Order of questions about cynicism
V0922 II/39 Order of questions about political trust
V0923 II/41 Order of questions about legitimacy
V0924 II/43 Order of questions about probability future vote
V0925 II/48 Order of questions about right wing-extremism and xenophobia

3.1.3 Self completion questionnaire

Number of relevant others mentioned

V0950 S/1 Number of relevant persons mentioned

Relevant others - age, sex and marital status

V0951 S/2 Age first relevant person
V0952 S/2 Age second relevant person
V0953 S/2 Age third relevant person
V0954 S/3 Sex first relevant person
V0955 S/3 Sex second relevant person
V0956 S/3 Sex third relevant person
V0957 S/4 Marital status first relevant person
V0958 S/4 Marital status second relevant person
V0959 S/4 Marital status third relevant person

Relevant others - relationship to respondent

V0960 S/5 First relevant person is - member of family
V0961 S/5 First relevant person is - colleague
V0962 S/5 First relevant person is - member of same club
V0963 S/5 First relevant person is - member of same church
V0964 S/5 First relevant person is - neighbor
V0965 S/5 First relevant person is - friend
V0966 S/5 First relevant person is - other
V0967 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of family
V0968 S/5 Second relevant person is - colleague
V0969 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of same club
V0970 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of same church
V0971 S/5 Second relevant person is - neighbor
V0972 S/5 Second relevant person is - friend
V0973 S/5 Second relevant person is - other
V0974 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of family
V0975 S/5 Third relevant person is - colleague
V0976 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of same club
V0977 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of same church
V0978 S/5 Third relevant person is - neighbor
V0979 S/5 Third relevant person is - friend
V0980 S/5 Third relevant person is - other

Relevant others - religious denomination

V0981 S/6 Religious denomination of first relevant person
V0982 S/6 Religious denomination of second relevant person
V0983 S/6 Religious denomination of third relevant person

Relevant others - employment status

V0984 S/7 Employment status of first relevant person
V0985 S/7 Employment status of second relevant person

V0986 S/7 Employment status of third relevant person

Relevant others - frequency of contact

V0987 S/9 How often do you speak with first relevant person
V0988 S/9 How often do you speak with second relevant person
V0989 S/9 How often do you speak with third relevant person

Relevant others - political variables

V0990 S/10 Political interest of first relevant person
V0991 S/10 Political interest of second relevant person
V0992 S/10 Political interest of third relevant person
V0993 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with first relevant person
V0994 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with second relevant person
V0995 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with third relevant person
V0996 S/12 Political agreement with first relevant person
V0997 S/12 Political agreement with second relevant person
V0998 S/12 Political agreement with third relevant person
V0999 S/13 Left-right rating of first relevant person (11 point scale)
V1000 S/13 Left-right rating of second relevant person (11 point scale)
V1001 S/13 Left-right rating of third relevant person (11 point scale)
V1002 S/14 Left right self-rating (11 point scale)
V1003 S/15 Party first relevant person voted for
V1004 S/15 Party second relevant person voted for
V1005 S/15 Party third relevant person voted for

Frequency contact with others

V1010 S/16 Frequency contact with - family
V1011 S/16 Frequency contact with - friends
V1012 S/16 Frequency contact with - neighbors
V1013 S/16 Frequency contact with - colleagues
V1014 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - family
V1015 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - friends
V1016 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - neighbors
V1017 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - colleagues

Trust in political institutions

V1020 S/18 Trust in churches
V1021 S/18 Trust in army
V1022 S/18 Trust in judges
V1023 S/18 Trust in press
V1024 S/18 Trust in police
V1025 S/18 Trust in parliament
V1026 S/18 Trust in administration
V1027 S/18 Trust in big companies
V1028 S/18 Trust in European Union
V1029 S/18 Trust in NATO

Media consumption - television

V1030	S/19 Frequency watching - Netwerk
V1031	S/19 Frequency watching - NOS 8 uur journaal (Ned.1)
V1032	S/19 Frequency watching - NOS 6 uur journaal (Ned.2)
V1033	S/19 Frequency watching - 2 Vandaag (Ned.2)
V1034	S/19 Frequency watching - Jeugdjournaal (Ned.3)
V1035	S/19 Frequency watching - Barend & Witteman (Ned.3)
V1036	S/19 Frequency watching - Lingo (Ned.3)
V1037	S/19 Frequency watching - Achter het Nieuws (ceased to exist 20/09/92)
V1038	S/19 Frequency watching - NOS 10 uur journaal (Ned.3)
V1039	S/19 Frequency watching - NOVA-Den Haag Vandaag (Ned.3)
V1040	S/19 Frequency watching - RTL4-journaal (RTL4)
V1041	S/19 Frequency watching - 5 Uur Show (RTL4)
V1042	S/19 Frequency watching - The Bold and the Beautiful (RTL4)
V1043	S/19 Frequency watching - Goede Tijden Slechte Tijden (RTL4)
V1044	S/19 Frequency watching - RTL5-journaal (RTL5)
V1045	S/19 Frequency watching - Vijf in het Land (RTL5)
V1046	S/19 Frequency watching - Hart van Nederland (SBS6)
V1047	S/19 Frequency watching - Actienieuws (SBS6)
V1048	S/19 Frequency watching - Goudkust (SBS6)
V1049	S/19 Frequency watching - Onderweg naar Morgen (Veronica)

Media consumption - radio

V1055	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 1
V1056	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 2
V1057	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 3
V1058	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 4
V1059	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 5
V1060	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 10 Gold
V1061	S/20 Frequency listening to - Veronica
V1062	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 538
V1063	S/20 Frequency listening to - Sky Radio
V1064	S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio Klassiek
V1065	S/20 Frequency listening to - Regional network
V1066	S/20 Frequency listening to - other
V1067	S/20 Other radiostation respondent listens to

Media consumption - newspapers

V1070	S/21 Frequency reading - Telegraaf
V1071	S/21 Frequency reading - Algemeen Dagblad
V1072	S/21 Frequency reading - NRC Handelsblad
V1073	S/21 Frequency reading - Volkskrant
V1074	S/21 Frequency reading - Trouw
V1075	S/21 Frequency reading - Parool

V1076 S/21 Frequency reading - Regional newspaper

Media consumption - magazines

V1080 S/22 Frequency reading - De Groene Amsterdammer
V1081 S/22 Frequency reading - Elsevier
V1082 S/22 Frequency reading - Vrij Nederland
V1083 S/22 Frequency reading - Story
V1084 S/22 Frequency reading - Weekend
V1085 S/22 Frequency reading - Prive,
V1086 S/22 Frequency reading - Panorama
V1087 S/22 Frequency reading - Viva
V1088 S/22 Frequency reading - Margriet
V1089 S/22 Frequency reading - Libelle
V1090 S/22 Frequency reading - Other

Political television commercials

V1095 S/23 Seen television commercial of PvdA
V1096 S/23 Seen television commercial of CDA
V1097 S/23 Seen television commercial of VVD
V1098 S/23 Seen television commercial of D66
V1099 S/23 Seen television commercial of GroenLinks
V1100 S/23 Seen television commercial of SP
V1101 S/23 Seen television commercial of other party
V1105 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of PVDA
V1106 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of CDA
V1107 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of VVD
V1108 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of D66
V1109 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of GroenLinks
V1110 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of SP
V1111 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of other party

Internet

V1115 S/25 Used internet to find information about electoral campaign

Approached by a political party

V1120 S/26 Approached by mail by PvdA
V1121 S/26 Approached on the street by PvdA
V1122 S/26 Approached in another way by PvdA
V1123 S/26 Approached by mail by CDA
V1124 S/26 Approached on the street by CDA
V1125 S/26 Approached in another way by CDA
V1126 S/26 Approached by mail by VVD
V1127 S/26 Approached on the street by VVD
V1128 S/26 Approached in another way by VVD
V1129 S/26 Approached by mail by D66
V1130 S/26 Approached on the street by D66

V1131 S/26 Approached in another way by D66
 V1132 S/26 Approached by mail by GroenLinks
 V1133 S/26 Approached on the street by GroenLinks
 V1134 S/26 Approached in another way by GroenLinks
 V1135 S/26 Approached by mail by SGP
 V1136 S/26 Approached on the street by SGP
 V1137 S/26 Approached in another way by SGP
 V1138 S/26 Approached by mail by GPV
 V1139 S/26 Approached on the street by GPV
 V1140 S/26 Approached in another way by GPV
 V1141 S/26 Approached by mail by RPF
 V1142 S/26 Approached on the street by RPF
 V1143 S/26 Approached in another way by RPF
 V1144 S/26 Approached by mail by Centrumdemocraten
 V1145 S/26 Approached on the street by Centrumdemocraten
 V1146 S/26 Approached in another way by Centrumdemocraten
 V1147 S/26 Approached by mail by UNIE 55+
 V1148 S/26 Approached on the street by UNIE 55+
 V1149 S/26 Approached in another way by UNIE 55+
 V1150 S/26 Approached by mail by AOV
 V1151 S/26 Approached on the street by AOV
 V1152 S/26 Approached in another way by AOV
 V1153 S/26 Approached by mail by SP
 V1154 S/26 Approached on the street by SP
 V1155 S/26 Approached in another way by SP
 V1156 S/26 Approached by mail by Senioren 2000
 V1157 S/26 Approached on the street by Senioren 2000
 V1158 S/26 Approached in another way by Senioren 2000
 V1159 S/26 Approached by mail by other party
 V1160 S/26 Approached on the street by other party
 V1161 S/26 Approached in another way by other party

Political interest

V1164 S/v27 Interested in politics?
 V1165 S/28 Political interest - municipality
 V1166 S/28 Political interest - neighborhood
 V1167 S/28 Political interest - province
 V1168 S/28 Political interest - Europe
 V1169 S/28 Political interest - rest of the world

Reading (inter)national news

V1170 S/29 Reads national news in national newspaper
 V1171 S/29 Reads national news in regional/local newspaper
 V1172 S/29 Reads national news in weekly magazine
 V1173 S/29 Reads national news in monthly magazine
 V1174 S/29 Reads foreign news in national newspaper

V1175 S/29 Reads foreign news in regional/local newspaper
V1176 S/29 Reads foreign news in weekly magazine
V1177 S/29 Reads foreign news in monthly magazine

Convincing others to vote for party

V1180 S/30 Did you recommend acquaintance to vote for party?
V1181 S/31 Did acquaintance recommend you to vote for party?
V1182 S/32 Friends generally have same party preference

Completion information

V1185 S/33 What day did you fill in this questionnaire? (yyyymmdd)
V1186 S/34 What time did you fill in this questionnaire? (hhmm)
V1187 S/35 Duration of filling in questionnaire (mmm)
V1188 S/ Date of receipt of the questionnaire (yyyymmdd)

Text variables self-completion questionnaire

V1200 S/8 Profession of first relevant person (text)
V1201 S/8 Profession of second relevant person (text)
V1202 S/8 Profession of third relevant person (text)
V1203 S/20 Frequency listening to other - namely (text)
V1204 S/22 Frequency reading other - namely (text)
V1205 S/23 Seen television commercial of other party - namely (text)
V1206 S/26 Approached by other party, namely (text)

3.1.4 Special file

Interview identification

V0001 0/ Study number
V0002 0/ Respondent identification number
V0003 0/ Type of interview record

Contextual variables

V0010 0/ Region
V0011 0/ Municipality
V0012 0/ Zip code
V0013 0/ Degree of urbanization
V0014 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - welfare
V0015 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class A
V0016 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B1
V0017 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B2
V0018 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class C
V0019 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class D
V0020 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education low
V0021 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education middle
V0022 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education high

Political variables first wave equivalent

V0033 INH/ Interested in politics?
V0075 IN/ Intends to vote in 1998 parliamentary elections?
V0076 IN/11 Vote intention 1998 parliamentary elections
V0167 INH/ Did (not) vote in most recent municipal elections

Background characteristics

V0280 INH/4b Number of household members including respondent
V0281 INH/4c Type of household composition
V0288 0/ Sex of respondent
V0316 Age of respondent at time of interview
V0350 0/ Date of birth of respondent (yyyymmdd)
V0352 INH/ Highest education (completed) of respondent

Interview information

V0504 0/ Date of computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) (yyyymmdd)
V0516 0/ Time start of CATI interview (hh:mm:ss)
V0517 0/ Time end of CATI interview (hh:mm:ss)

Political variables second wave equivalent

V0610 IIH/ Did (not) vote in 1998 parliamentary elections
V0611 IIH/ Party voted for in 1998 parliamentary elections
V0798 INH/ Politics sometimes too complicated
V0790 INH/ MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me

Reasons for not intending to vote

V1300 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - first
V1301 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - second
V1302 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - third
V1303 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fourth

Non-response information

V1310 I/ Reason for not willing to participate in first wave (first wave)
V1311 N/0 Reason for not willing to participate in non-response study
V1312 N/1 Reason for not willing to participate in first wave (non-response study)
V1313 II/ Reason for not willing to participate in second wave despite promise
V1314 H/ Willingness to participate in a National Survey on Politics
V1315 H/ Reason for not willing to participate in Hawthorne study

Text variables CATI interview

V1316 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - first (text)
V1317 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - second (text)
V1318 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - third (text)
V1319 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fourth (text)
V1320 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fifth (text)

3.2 Guide to the documentation of variables

All variables are documented in the same format. Several types of information are distinguished. In the example each type is represented by means of a small printed number. Because none of the variables contained all types of information we added empty cells and information not referring to this specific variable.

V0602¹	II/3b Has seen electoral debate on eve of elections?²			
	Did you watch the debate of the party leaders on the eve of the election, entirely or for the greater part? ³			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V601, code 3).⁴</i>			
	1. yes, all of it ⁵	326	15.5	33.9
	2. yes, parts of it	337	16.0	35.1
	3. no, not at all	298	14.2	31.0
990.	MS ⁶	0	0	MD
991.	NS ⁷	0	0	MD
992.	HS ⁸	0	0	MD
995.	no second answer ⁹	0	0	MD
996.	PA ¹⁰	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK ¹¹	2	.1	MD
998.	NA ¹²	0	0	MD
999.	INAP ¹³	851	40.5	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

- 1 *Variable name.* The variable name in this example is V0602. A unique variable name has been assigned to each of the variables in the data file.
- 2 *Variable label.* In this case, V0602 has the label 'II/3b Has seen electoral debate on eve of elections?'. The first number (II) indicates in which wave the question is asked, in this case the question is asked in the second wave. If the question was asked in the first wave a I was used. A question contained in the self-completion questionnaire is indicated by an S. If the question was asked in the non-response project an N is printed. For the stimulus response project the letter H (Hawthorne) is used. In the special file sometimes combinations of numbers and letters were used (i.e.: IHN/ indicating that the question was asked in the first wave, the stimulus-effect study and the non-response study). The number after the slash is used to identify the question by means of which the data for the documented variable were obtained. In this case, the question number is 3b. This number refers to the Dutch questionnaires printed in this codebook. In some cases (indices and questions asked in more than one questionnaire) this second number was omitted. The text in the label gives a first indication of the contents of the question.
- 3 *Translation of original Dutch question text.* The original Dutch question text is

- documented in part 5 of this codebook.
- 4 *Additional information*. Information about the variable or reference to the place where such information can be found. In this case, the reader is informed about the specific routing used.
 - 5 *Value labels*. Value used to store information in the data file.
 - 6 *MS (Main study)*. This value label is only used in the special file. It refers to a missing code because the question was not asked in the main study.
 - 7 *NS (Non-response study)*. This value label is only used in the special file. It refers to a missing code because the question was not asked in the non-response study.
 - 8 *HS (Hawthorne study)*. This value label is only used in the special file. It refers to a missing code because the question was not asked in the stimulus effect study.
 - 9 *No second answer*. Some open ended questions were coded into separate variables. A respondent receiving one coding was assigned a 995 code on the remaining variables referring to this question.
 - 10 *PA (Panel attrition)*. The respondents of the first wave of interviews who were not willing to participate in the second wave of interviews received the code 996 for questions asked in the second wave. Respondents not returning the self completion questionnaire received code 996 for questions asked in this part of the main study.
 - 11 *DK (Don't know)*. All respondents who answered don't know received the code 997. In some cases the code don't know was printed on the screen of the computer. In this case don't know received a separate code.
 - 12 *NA (No answer)*. If a respondent refused to give an answer or if no answer was recorded by the interviewer code 998 was assigned.
 - 13 *INAP (Inapplicable routing)*. Code 999 indicates inapplicable variable as a result of questionnaire routing. The meaning of it is explained on top of the table.

3.3 Documentation of variables of the main study: first wave

3.3.1 Interview identification

V0001 0/ Study number

The Steinmetz study number of the special file is P1415

V0002 0/ Respondent identification number

Respondent identification number ranges from 10003 to 61503.

V0003 0/ Type of interview record

Refer to 2.3.2 and 2.3.3 for additional info about this variable

11.	sample 1/w1-w2-S: self-completion (I)	1199	57.1	57.1
12.	sample 1/w1-w2: second wave (I)	615	29.3	29.3
13.	sample 1/w1-C: interviewed CATI (I)	143	6.8	6.8
14.	sample 1/w1-N: first wave (I)	144	6.9	6.9
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.2 Contextual Variables

V0010 0/ Region

North (Groningen, Friesland, Drenthe)
East (Overijssel, Flevoland, Gelderland)
West (Utrecht, Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland, Zeeland)
South (Noord-Brabant, Limburg).

1.	North	237	11.3	11.3
2.	East	438	20.8	20.8
3.	South	487	23.2	23.2
4.	West	939	44.7	44.7
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0011 0/ Municipality

This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

V0012 0/ Zip code

This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

V0013 0/ Degree of urbanization

This variable gives an indication of the degree of urbanization of the municipality the respondent lives in. This variable was designed by Statistics Netherlands.

<i>Code</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Description</i>		
1	<i>very strongly urban</i>	<i>more than 2,500 addresses per square kilometer</i>		
2	<i>strongly urban</i>	<i>1,500 - 2,499 addresses per square kilometer</i>		
3	<i>mildly urban</i>	<i>1,000 - 1,499 addresses per square kilometer</i>		
4	<i>hardly urban</i>	<i>500 - 999 addresses per square kilometer</i>		
5	<i>not urban</i>	<i>1 - 499 addresses per square kilometer</i>		
1.	very strongly urban	317	15.1	15.1
2.	strongly urban	545	25.9	25.9
3.	mildly urban	504	24.0	24.0
4.	hardly urban	374	17.8	17.8
5.	not urban	361	17.2	17.2
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0014

0/ Neighborhood characteristic - welfare

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable.

	Income	Housing prices
W1	<i>At least 2x modal</i>	<i>High</i>
W2	<i>1x-2x modal</i>	<i>above average</i>
W3	<i>1x modal</i>	<i>average</i>
W4	<i>below modal</i>	<i>low</i>
W5	<i>low (unemployed)</i>	<i>low</i>
W6	<i>both high and low</i>	<i>both low and high</i>

Level of welfare in this zip-code area.

1.	W1 – high	137	6.5	6.6
2.	W2 – above average	602	28.7	28.9
3.	W3 – average	860	40.9	41.2
4.	W4 – low	299	14.2	14.3
5.	W5 – minimum	82	3.9	3.9
6.	W6 – heterogeneous	105	5.0	5.0
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0015

0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class A

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable.

Income	Education (lowest level)	Housing ownership	Social Class
above modal	University/higher vocational	-	
above modal	higher level secondary	yes	
unknown	university	-	A
above modal	Higher level secondary/middle vocational	no	
modal	University	-	
unknown	higher vocational	-	B1
above modal	Unknown/ lower vocational/no education	-	
modal	higher level secondary/higher vocational	-	
below modal	higher vocational/university	-	
unknown	higher level secondary/middle vocational	-	B2
unknown	unknown	yes	
modal	Lower vocational	-	
below modal	higher level secondary	-	
unknown	unknown/lower vocational/middle secondary	no	C
below modal	Lower vocational	-	
unknown	primary school	-	D

The percentage of households in social class A in this zip-code area as compared to the national mean.

1	extremely low	0.00-0.49	less than half the mean percentage
2	very low	0.50-0.66	
3	Low	0.67-0.79	
4	less than average	0.80-0.89	
5	Average	0.90-1.09	(about) the mean percentage
6	more than average	1.10-1.24	
7	High	1.25-1.49	
8	very high	1.50-1.99	
9	extremely high	2.00- >	more than 2 times the mean percentage

1.	extremely low	463	22.0	22.8
2.	very low	198	9.4	9.8
3.	low	160	7.6	7.9
4.	less than average	119	5.7	5.9
5.	average	231	11.0	11.4
6.	more than average	149	7.1	7.3
7.	high	205	9.8	10.1
8.	very high	320	15.2	15.8
9.	extremely high	184	8.8	9.1
998.	NA	72	3.4	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0016 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B1

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class B1 as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	255	12.1	12.2
2.	very low	177	8.4	8.4
3.	low	186	8.9	8.9
4.	less than average	143	6.8	6.8
5.	average	329	15.7	15.7
6.	more than average	284	13.5	13.5
7.	high	502	23.9	24.0
8.	very high	218	10.4	10.4
9.	extremely high	2	.1	.1
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0017 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B2

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class B2 as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

2.	very low	17	.8	.8
3.	low	23	1.1	1.1
4.	less than average	733	34.9	35.0
5.	average	915	43.6	43.7
6.	more than average	172	8.2	8.2
7.	high	128	6.1	6.1
8.	very high	71	3.4	3.4
9.	extremely high	37	1.8	1.8
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0018 0/Neighborhood characteristic - social class C

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class C as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	96	4.6	4.6
2.	very low	158	7.5	7.5
3.	low	253	12.0	12.1
4.	less than average	236	11.2	11.3
5.	average	527	25.1	25.2
6.	more than average	406	19.3	19.4
7.	high	417	19.8	19.9
8.	very high	2	.1	.1
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0019 0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class D

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class D as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	604	28.7	29.7
2.	very low	235	11.2	11.6
3.	low	165	7.9	8.1
4.	less than average	119	5.7	5.9
5.	average	163	7.8	8.0
6.	more than average	97	4.6	4.8
7.	high	195	9.3	9.6
8.	very high	266	12.7	13.1
9.	extremely high	188	8.9	9.3
998.	NA	69	3.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0020**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education low**

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

Level of education
 Low *Lower vocational or no education at all*
 Middle *Higher than lower level vocational - Middle level vocational*
 High *Higher than middle level vocational*

The percentage of persons with a low level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	292	13.9	14.0
2.	very low	224	10.7	10.7
3.	low	222	10.6	10.6
4.	less than average	190	9.0	9.1
5.	average	373	17.8	17.9
6.	more than average	269	12.8	12.9
7.	high	299	14.2	14.3
8.	very high	216	10.3	10.3
9.	extremely high	2	.1	.1
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0021**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education middle**

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0020 for information about the construction of levels of education. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

The percentage of persons with a middle level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	23	1.1	1.1
2.	very low	33	1.6	1.6
3.	low	119	5.7	5.7
4.	less than average	266	12.7	12.7
5.	average	1042	49.6	49.7
6.	more than average	502	23.9	24.0
7.	high	105	5.0	5.0
8.	very high	6	.3	.3
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

2101 100.0 100.0

V0022**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education high**

Refer to 4.4.3 below for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0020 for information about the construction of levels of education. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

The percentage of persons with a high level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	237	11.3	11.3
2.	very low	303	14.4	14.5
3.	low	279	13.3	13.3
4.	less than average	165	7.9	7.9
5.	average	319	15.2	15.2
6.	more than average	202	9.6	9.6
7.	high	272	12.9	13.0
8.	very high	227	10.8	10.8
9.	extremely high	92	4.4	4.4
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.3 Weights

V0025 **0/ Weight 1: sex, age, marital status, region, urbanization, electoral behavior (CBS)**
Refer to 4.3.1 for an explanation of this weight.

V0026 **0/ Weight 2: region, urbanization (stratification variables)**
Refer to 4.3.2 for an explanation of this weight

V0027 **0/ Weight 3: region, urbanization, time of interview first wave (rolling cross section)**
Refer to 4.3.3 for an explanation of this weight.

3.3.4 Political interest and communication

V0030 I/2 Reads about national news?

First I want to ask you a few questions about the news in Dutch newspapers.

When there is domestic news in the newspapers, for example news about governmental problems, how often do you read such news?

Showcard 1 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	(nearly) always	525	25.0	25.0
2.	often	333	15.8	15.8
3.	now and then	713	33.9	33.9
4.	seldom or never	361	17.2	17.2
5.	does not read paper	169	8.0	8.0
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0031 I/3 Talks about national news?

When there is a discussion in a group about such problems in our country, do you generally join the conversation, do you listen with interest, do you not listen or are you not interested?

1.	joins conversation	1019	48.5	48.5
2.	listens with interest	842	40.1	40.1
3.	does not listen/not interested	238	11.3	11.3
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0032 I/4 Reads about foreign news?

When there is foreign news in the newspaper, for example about tensions or discussions between different countries, how often do you read such news?

Showcard 1 used, listing response alternatives.

1.	(nearly) always	374	17.8	17.8
2.	often	437	20.8	20.8
3.	now and then	754	35.9	35.9
4.	seldom or never	355	16.9	16.9
5.	does not read paper	180	8.6	8.6
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0033 I/5 Interested in politics?

Are you very interested in political topics, fairly interested or not interested?

1.	very interested	199	9.5	9.5
2.	fairly interested	1355	64.5	64.6
3.	not interested	543	25.8	25.9
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0034 I/ index Political interest score q2-5

Constructed from V0030 TO V0033. Refer to 4.1.1 below for the construction of the index. The H-value of this index is .64

0.	low	204	9.7	9.7
1.		964	45.9	45.9
2.		485	23.1	23.1
3.		330	15.7	15.7
4.	high	118	5.6	5.6
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0035 I/6 Daily newspaper - first answer

Which newspaper or newspapers do you read (almost) daily?

1.	Telegraaf	325	15.5	18.4
2.	Volkskrant	172	8.2	9.7
3.	Trouw	43	2.0	2.4
4.	NRC Handelsblad	82	3.9	4.6
5.	Parool	37	1.8	2.1
6.	Algemeen Dagblad	177	8.4	10.0
7.	Regional paper	901	42.9	51.0
8.	Nederlands/Reformatorisch Dagblad	23	1.1	1.3
9.	Financieel Dagblad	4	.2	.2
10.	Foreign newspaper	2	.1	.1
993.	no daily newspaper	309	14.7	MD
994.	uncodable	4	.2	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0036 I/6 Daily newspaper - second answer

Refer to V0035 for complete question text

1.	Telegraaf	41	2.0	10.3
2.	Volkskrant	31	1.5	7.8
3.	Trouw	5	.2	1.3
4.	NRC Handelsblad	34	1.6	8.5
5.	Parool	11	.5	2.8
6.	Algemeen Dagblad	35	1.7	8.8
7.	Regional paper	220	10.5	55.3
8.	Nederlands/Reformatorisch Dagblad	9	.4	2.3
9.	Financieel Dagblad	9	.4	2.3
10.	Foreign newspaper	3	.1	.8
993.	no daily newspaper	309	14.7	MD
995.	no other newspaper	1372	65.3	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0037 I/6 Daily newspaper - third answer
Refer to V0035 for complete question text

1.	Telegraaf	5	.2	8.1
2.	Volkskrant	4	.2	6.5
3.	Trouw	9	.4	14.5
4.	NRC Handelsblad	5	.2	8.1
5.	Parool	5	.2	8.1
6.	Algemeen Dagblad	4	.2	6.5
7.	Regional paper	26	1.2	41.9
8.	Nederlands/Reformatorisch Dagblad	1	.0	1.6
9.	Financieel Dagblad	3	.1	4.8
993.	no daily newspaper	309	14.7	MD
995.	no other newspaper	1708	81.3	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0038 I/6 Daily newspaper - fourth answer
Refer to V0035 for complete question text

4.	NRC Handelsblad	2	.1	22.2
5.	Parool	1	.0	11.1
6.	Algemeen Dagblad	2	.1	22.2
7.	Regional paper	2	.1	22.2
9.	Financieel Dagblad	1	.0	11.1
10.	Foreign newspaper	1	.0	11.1
993.	no daily newspaper	309	14.7	MD
995.	no other newspaper	1761	83.8	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0039 I/6 Daily newspaper - fifth answer
Refer to V0035 for complete question text

4.	NRC Handelsblad	1	.0	20.0
5.	Parool	1	.0	20.0
6.	Algemeen Dagblad	2	.1	40.0
7.	Regional paper	1	.0	20.0
993.	no daily newspaper	309	14.7	MD
995.	no other newspaper	1765	84.0	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD

998. NA

<u>6</u>	<u>.3</u>	<u>MD</u>
2101	100.0	100.0

V0040**I/7 Frequency watching NOS TV newscast**

Could you indicate on this card how often you generally watch the NOS television newscast?

Showcard 2 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	(almost) daily	1261	60.0	60.7
2.	3-4 times per week	336	16.0	16.2
3.	1-2 times per week	266	12.7	12.8
4.	less than once a week	215	10.2	10.3
993.	does not own tv set	23	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0041**I/8 Frequency watching RTL4 TV newscast**

Could you indicate on this card how often you generally watch the RTL-4 television newscast?

Showcard 2 used, listing response alternatives

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0040, code 993)

1.	(almost) daily	656	31.2	31.6
2.	3-4 times per week	363	17.3	17.5
3.	1-2 times per week	421	20.0	20.3
4.	less than once a week	634	30.2	30.6
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	23	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0042**I/9 Frequency watching NOVA - Den Haag Vandaag TV broadcast**

Could you indicate on this card how many times per week you generally watch the current affairs program NOVA- Den Haag Vandaag?

Showcard 2 used, listing response alternatives

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0040, code 993)

1.	(almost) daily	261	12.4	12.6
2.	3-4 times per week	260	12.4	12.5
3.	1-2 times per week	500	23.8	24.1
4.	less than once a week	1057	50.3	50.9
999.	INAP	23	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.5 Most important national problem

- V0045 I/10 Most important national problem - first answer**
And now I would like to ask you, what do you think are the most important problems in our country?
Refer to 4.2.1 for a description of the codes and the frequencies
- V0046 I/10 Most important national problem - second answer**
Refer to V0045 for complete question text and to 4.2.1 for a description of the codes and frequencies
- V0047 I/10 Most important national problem - third answer**
Refer to V0045 for complete question text and to 4.2.1 for a description of the codes and frequencies
- V0048 I/10 Most important national problem - fourth answer**
Refer to V0045 for complete question text and to 4.2.1 for a description of the codes and frequencies
- V0049 I/10 Most important national problem - fifth answer**
Refer to V0045 for complete question text and to 4.2.1 for a description of the codes and frequencies

3.3.6 Party adherence

V0050 I/11a Respondent is (not) adherent to a party

Many people think of themselves as adherent to a particular political party, but there are also people who do not think of themselves as an adherent to a political party. Do you think of yourself as an adherent or not as an adherent to a political party?

1.	adherent	585	27.8	27.9
2.	not adherent	1514	72.1	72.1
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0051 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - first party
To which party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, codes 2-998)

1.	PVDA	172	8.2	29.5
2.	CDA	163	7.8	28.0
3.	VVD	119	5.7	20.4
4.	D66	27	1.3	4.6
5.	GroenLinks	23	1.1	3.9
6.	SGP	16	.8	2.7
7.	GPV	14	.7	2.4
8.	RPF	20	1.0	3.4
9.	Centrumdemocraten	4	.2	.7
10.	Unie 55+	2	.1	.3
11.	AOV	1	.0	.2
12.	SP	19	.9	3.3
30.	local party	3	.1	.5
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1516	72.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0052 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - second party
Refer to V0051 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, codes 2-998)

1.	PVDA	3	.1	15.8
2.	CDA	1	.0	5.3
3.	VVD	1	.0	5.3
4.	D66	2	.1	10.5
5.	GroenLinks	5	.2	26.3
8.	RPF	3	.1	15.8
11.	AOV	1	.0	5.3
12.	SP	3	.1	15.8
995.	no other party mentioned	564	26.8	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1516	72.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0053 I/11b1 Party respondent is adherent to - third party
Refer to V0051 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, codes 2-998)

4.	D66	2	.1	33.3
7.	GPV	1	.0	16.7
12.	SP	2	.1	33.3
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	16.7
995.	no other party mentioned	577	27.5	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1516	72.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0054

I/11b2 Party respondent is most attracted to

Which of these parties you feel most attracted to?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, codes 2-998 or V0052, codes 995-997)

1.	PVDA	7	.3	38.9
2.	CDA	2	.1	11.1
3.	VVD	1	.0	5.6
4.	D66	2	.1	11.1
5.	GroenLinks	1	.0	5.6
8.	RPF	1	.0	5.6
11.	AOV	1	.0	5.6
12.	SP	3	.1	16.7
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	2082	99.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0055**I/11c Respondent is (not) convinced adherent**

Would you call yourself a very convinced adherent to this party, a convinced adherent or do you consider yourself not to be an adherent?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, codes 2, 997; V0051, codes 997, 998)

1.	very convinced adherent	74	3.5	12.6
2.	convinced adherent	346	16.5	59.1
3.	not convinced adherent	165	7.9	28.2
999.	INAP	1516	72.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0056 I/11d Respondent is not an adherent and (not) attracted to a party

Is there a party to which you feel more attracted than to other parties?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, code 1)

1.	attracted	930	44.3	61.4
2.	not attracted	585	27.8	38.6
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	585	27.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0057 I/11e Party respondent is attracted to
Which party is that?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0050, code1; V0056, codes 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	257	12.2	28.2
2.	CDA	134	6.4	14.7
3.	VVD	214	10.2	23.5
4.	D66	90	4.3	9.9
5.	GroenLinks	101	4.8	11.1
6.	SGP	7	.3	.8
7.	GPV	8	.4	.9
8.	RPF	20	1.0	2.2
9.	Centrumdemocraten	2	.1	.2
10.	Unie 55+	3	.1	.3
11.	AOV	9	.4	1.0
12.	SP	53	2.5	5.8
19.	CPN	1	.0	.1
21.	PSP	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	3	.1	.3
30.	local party	7	.3	.8
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	.1
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.1
994.	uncodable	14	.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1171	55.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0058**I/index Strength of party adherence q11**

Strength of party adherence

Constructed from V0050 TO V0057. Refer to 4.4.1 below for the construction of the index

0.	neither adherent. nor attracted	585	27.8	28.1
1.	DK whether attracted	1	.0	.0
2.	attracted. not adherent	910	43.3	43.8
4.	adherent. not convinced	165	7.9	7.9
6.	adherent. convinced	344	16.4	16.5
7.	adherent. very convinced	74	3.5	3.6
991.	NA1 (adherent. DK party)	2	.1	MD
992.	NA2 (attracted. DK party)	18	.9	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0059**I/index Direction of party adherence q11**

Direction of party adherence

Constructed from V0050 TO V0057. Refer to 4.4.1 below for the construction of this variable.

1.	PVDA	428	20.4	28.6
2.	CDA	296	14.1	19.8
3.	VVD	333	15.8	22.3
4.	D66	118	5.6	7.9
5.	GroenLinks	123	5.9	8.2
6.	SGP	23	1.1	1.5
7.	GPV	22	1.0	1.5
8.	RPF	41	2.0	2.7
9.	Centrumdemocraten	6	.3	.4
10.	Unie 55+	4	.2	.3
11.	AOV	11	.5	.7
12.	SP	73	3.5	4.9
19.	CPN	1	.0	.1
21.	PSP	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	3	.1	.2
30.	local party	10	.5	.7
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	.1
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.1
994.	uncodable	14	.7	MD
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	586	27.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.7 Party membership

V0060 I/12a Respondent is (not) member of a party

Are you a member of a party or not?

1.	member	91	4.3	4.3
2.	not a member	2010	95.7	95.7
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0061 I/12b Party respondent is member of

Of which party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 2)

1.	PVDA	12	.6	13.2
2.	CDA	34	1.6	37.4
3.	VVD	9	.4	9.9
4.	D66	2	.1	2.2
5.	GroenLinks	6	.3	6.6
6.	SGP	4	.2	4.4
7.	GPV	7	.3	7.7
8.	RPF	5	.2	5.5
11.	AOV	1	.0	1.1
12.	SP	4	.2	4.4
30.	local party	7	.3	7.7
999.	INAP	2010	95.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0062 I/12c Respondent has (never) been member of a party
Have you ever been a member of a political party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1)

1.	yes	153	7.3	7.6
2.	no	1857	88.4	92.4
999.	INAP	91	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0063 I/12d Party respondent was member of - first party
Of which party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 2)

1.	PVDA	40	1.9	26.7
2.	CDA	30	1.4	20.0
3.	VVD	24	1.1	16.0
4.	D66	7	.3	4.7
5.	GroenLinks	2	.1	1.3
6.	SGP	1	.0	.7
7.	GPV	2	.1	1.3
11.	AOV	1	.0	.7
12.	SP	1	.0	.7
15.	KVP/RKSP	11	.5	7.3
16.	CHU	2	.1	1.3
17.	ARP	7	.3	4.7
18.	SDAP	2	.1	1.3
19.	CPN	6	.3	4.0
20.	PPR	2	.1	1.3
21.	PSP	11	.5	7.3
22.	BoerenPartij	1	.0	.7
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1948	92.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0064 I/12d Party respondent was member of - second party
Refer to V0063 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 2)

3.	VVD	1	.0	33.3
19.	CPN	1	.0	33.3
21.	PSP	1	.0	33.3
995.	no other party mentioned	148	7.0	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1948	92.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0065

I/12e Respondent (never) considered to become member of a party

Have you ever considered to become a member of a political party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 1)

1.	yes	168	8.0	9.0
2.	no	1689	80.4	91.0
999.	INAP	244	11.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0066 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of - first party

Of which party?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 1; V0064, code 2)

1.	PVDA	47	2.2	28.5
2.	CDA	35	1.7	21.2
3.	VVD	28	1.3	17.0
4.	D66	19	.9	11.5
5.	GroenLinks	10	.5	6.1
7.	GPV	1	.0	.6
8.	RPF	7	.3	4.2
12.	SP	8	.4	4.8
13.	Centrumpartij	1	.0	.6
18.	SDAP	1	.0	.6
19.	CPN	3	.1	1.8
20.	PPR	1	.0	.6
21.	PSP	3	.1	1.8
30.	local party	1	.0	.6
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1933	92.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0067 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of - second party

Refer to V0066 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 1; V0064, code 2)

2.	CDA	3	.1	30.0
3.	VVD	2	.1	20.0
4.	D66	2	.1	20.0
5.	GroenLinks	2	.1	20.0
12.	SP	1	.0	10.0
995.	no other party mentioned	156	7.4	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1934	92.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0068 I/12f Party respondent considered to become member of - third party

Refer to V0066 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0060, code 1; V0062, code 1; V0064, code 2)

1.	PVDA	1	.0	50.0
2.	CDA	1	.0	50.0
995.	no other party mentioned	164	7.8	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1934	92.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.8 Government policy satisfaction

V0070 I/13a Effect government policy on economic situation

I would now like to ask a few questions about what you think of the policies the government has conducted during the past four years. First, the economic situation in the Netherlands: do you think that the economic situation has been influenced favorably, unfavorably or neither by the government policies?

Showcard 3 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	favorably	1209	57.5	60.0
2.	unfavorably	162	7.7	8.0
3.	not (un)favorably	645	30.7	32.0
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0071 I/13b Effect government policy on employment

And employment: do you think that employment in the Netherlands has been influenced favorably, unfavorably, or neither by the government policies?

Showcard 3 used, listing response alternatives

1.	favorably	1303	62.0	63.7
2.	unfavorably	241	11.5	11.8
3.	not (un)favorably	500	23.8	24.5
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0072 I/13c Effect government policy on respondents finances

And your personal financial situation: do you think that your personal financial situation has been influenced favorably, unfavorably, or neither by the government policies?

Showcard 3 used, listing response alternatives

1.	favorably	552	26.3	26.8
2.	unfavorably	479	22.8	23.2
3.	not (un)favorably	1031	49.1	50.0
997.	DK	39	1.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0073

I/14 General satisfaction with government

With the help of this card, could you indicate how satisfied you are in general with what the government has done during the past four years?

Showcard 4 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	very satisfied	22	1.0	1.1
2.	satisfied	912	43.4	44.1
3.	not (un)satisfied	864	41.1	41.8
4.	unsatisfied	240	11.4	11.6
5.	very unsatisfied	29	1.4	1.4
997.	DK	34	1.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0074

I/index Policy satisfaction score q13ab-q14

Constructed from V0070, V0071, V0073. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of the index. The H-value of this index is .37

0.	low	407	19.4	19.4
1.		506	24.1	24.1
2.		624	29.7	29.7
3.	high	564	26.8	26.8
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.9 Vote intention Second Chamber 1994

V0075 I/15a Intends to vote in 1998 parliamentary elections?

As you may know, elections for the Second Chamber will be held in May of this year. Do you intend to vote or not, or don't you know yet?

1.	yes. intends to vote	1806	86.0	92.6
2.	not intend to vote	145	6.9	7.4
997.	DK	150	7.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0076 I/2a Vote intention 1998 parliamentary elections

Which party do you intend to vote for on May 6?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	393	18.7	27.8
2.	CDA	292	13.9	20.6
3.	VVD	323	15.4	22.8
4.	D66	105	5.0	7.4
5.	GroenLinks	121	5.8	8.5
6.	SGP	18	.9	1.3
7.	GPV	21	1.0	1.5
8.	RPF	40	1.9	2.8
9.	Centrumdemocraten	3	.1	.2
10.	Unie 55+	3	.1	.2
11.	AOV	11	.5	.8
12.	SP	75	3.6	5.3
19.	CPN	1	.0	.1
21.	PSP	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	4	.2	.3
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	.1
63.	De Groenen	3	.1	.2
66.	VIP	1	.0	.1
993.	invalid	2	.1	MD
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
995.	abstention	18	.9	MD
997.	DK	362	17.2	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	295	14.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0077

I/2b Does hesitating respondent consider to vote for party?

Are there any parties you consider to vote for?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 1,2; V0076, code 1-995, 998)

1.	yes	373	17.8	74.0
2.	no	131	6.2	26.0
997.	DK	8	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1589	75.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0078 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for - first party

Which parties do you consider to vote for?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1,2; V0076, codes 1-995, 998; V0077, codes 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	128	6.1	34.9
2.	CDA	57	2.7	15.5
3.	VVD	58	2.8	15.8
4.	D66	43	2.0	11.7
5.	GroenLinks	47	2.2	12.8
6.	SGP	1	.0	.3
7.	GPV	3	.1	.8
9.	Centrumdemocraten	3	.1	.8
11.	AOV	2	.1	.5
12.	SP	23	1.1	6.3
61.	Nederland Mobiel	2	.1	.5
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1728	82.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0079 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for - second party

Refer to V0078 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1,2; V0076, codes 1-995, 998; V0077, codes 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	38	1.8	14.7
2.	CDA	44	2.1	17.0
3.	VVD	53	2.5	20.5
4.	D66	40	1.9	15.4
5.	GroenLinks	43	2.0	16.6
6.	SGP	5	.2	1.9
7.	GPV	1	.0	.4
8.	RPF	3	.1	1.2
10.	Unie 55+	1	.0	.4
11.	AOV	3	.1	1.2
12.	SP	27	1.3	10.4
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	.4
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
995.	no other choice mentioned	108	5.1	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1728	82.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0080 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for - third party

Refer to V0078 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1,2; V0076, codes 1-995, 998; V0077, codes 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	10	.5	16.9
2.	CDA	4	.2	6.8
3.	VVD	9	.4	15.3
4.	D66	6	.3	10.2
5.	GroenLinks	12	.6	20.3
7.	GPV	4	.2	6.8
8.	RPF	2	.1	3.4
12.	SP	10	.5	16.9
13.	Centrumpartij	1	.0	1.7
66.	VIP	1	.0	1.7
995.	no other choice mentioned	310	14.8	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1728	82.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0081 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for - fourth party

Refer to V0078 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1,2; V0076, codes 1-995, 998; V0077, codes 2, 997)

4.	D66	1	.0	7.1
5.	GroenLinks	5	.2	35.7
8.	RPF	4	.2	28.6
12.	SP	2	.1	14.3
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	7.1
68.	NCPN	1	.0	7.1
995.	no other choice mentioned	355	16.9	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1728	82.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0082 I/2c Party hesitating respondent considers to vote for - fifth party

Refer to V0078 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1,2; V0076, codes 1-995, 998; V0077, codes 2, 997)

4.	D66	1	.0	33.3
5.	GroenLinks	1	.0	33.3
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	33.3
995.	no other choice mentioned	366	17.4	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1728	82.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0083

I/2d Vote intention if non-voter is obliged to vote

Suppose that you were obligated by law to vote. For which party would you vote?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, codes 1, 3, 997)

1.	PVDA	27	1.3	42.9
2.	CDA	12	.6	19.0
3.	VVD	8	.4	12.7
4.	D66	1	.0	1.6
5.	GroenLinks	8	.4	12.7
6.	SGP	1	.0	1.6
10.	Unie 55+	1	.0	1.6
12.	SP	2	.1	3.2
19.	CPN	1	.0	1.6
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	1.6
66.	VIP	1	.0	1.6
993.	invalid	7	.3	MD
994.	uncodable	4	.2	MD
995.	abstention	38	1.8	MD
997.	DK	31	1.5	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1956	93.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.10 General attitude towards (not) voting

V0085 I/16a Voting is a duty to society

Now I would like to present you a few statements about casting a vote or not in the parliamentary elections. So, the issue is not which party you vote for. Would you indicate by means of this card which answer reflects your opinion the best?

Showcard 5 presented, listing response alternatives

Voting is a duty to society

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	355	16.9	17.1
2.	agree	1134	54.0	54.5
3.	disagree	525	25.0	25.2
4.	fully disagree	68	3.2	3.3
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0086

I/16b Voting costs me a great deal of time and effort

Voting costs me a great deal of time and effort

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	21	1.0	1.0
2.	agree	85	4.0	4.1
3.	disagree	1066	50.7	50.9
4.	fully disagree	924	44.0	44.1
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0087 I/16c Voting supports the democratic system
Voting supports the democratic system

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	572	27.2	27.8
2.	agree	1357	64.6	66.0
3.	disagree	110	5.2	5.4
4.	fully disagree	16	.8	.8
997.	DK	46	2.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0088

I/16d Voting does not help to exert political influence

Voting does not help to exert political influence

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	56	2.7	2.7
2.	agree	366	17.4	17.8
3.	disagree	1356	64.5	66.0
4.	fully disagree	278	13.2	13.5
997.	DK	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0089

I/16e Voting is a way of declaring ones political conviction

Voting is a way of declaring one's political conviction

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	362	17.2	17.4
2.	agree	1473	70.1	70.8
3.	disagree	227	10.8	10.9
4.	fully disagree	18	.9	.9
997.	DK	21	1.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0090 I/16f Voting does not avail me anything
Voting does not avail me anything

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	136	6.5	6.6
2.	agree	729	34.7	35.2
3.	disagree	1053	50.1	50.8
4.	fully disagree	153	7.3	7.4
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0091 I/16g Voting is a way of supporting a political party
 Voting is a way of supporting a political party

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	418	19.9	20.0
2.	agree	1548	73.7	74.0
3.	disagree	116	5.5	5.5
4.	fully disagree	9	.4	.4
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0092

I/16h If one does not vote, one loses the right to criticize

If one does not vote, one loses the right to criticize

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	433	20.6	20.8
2.	agree	1045	49.7	50.1
3.	disagree	529	25.2	25.4
4.	fully disagree	79	3.8	3.8
997.	DK	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0093

I/16i It makes no sense to vote when all goes well

It makes no sense to vote when all goes well

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0590 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	38	1.8	1.8
2.	agree	153	7.3	7.3
3.	disagree	1297	61.7	62.2
4.	fully disagree	597	28.4	28.6
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0094

I/17a Willingness to exert oneself in order to vote

Would you indicate by means of this card how willing you are to exert yourself in order to vote? '1' means that you are willing to exert yourself greatly, '7' means that you are not willing to exert yourself at all. Please indicate the number which applies to you.

Showcard 6 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	willing to take much trouble	663	31.6	31.7
2.	2	501	23.8	23.9
3.	3	357	17.0	17.0
4.	4	274	13.0	13.1
5.	5	132	6.3	6.3
6.	6	57	2.7	2.7
7.	not willing to take any trouble	110	5.2	5.3
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0095

I/17b Importance of a high turnout

And how important is a high turnout for you? On this card '1' means that you consider the turnout very important, and '7' means that you consider the turnout very unimportant. Please indicate the number which applies to you.

Showcard 7 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	very important	1075	51.2	51.5
2.	2	474	22.6	22.7
3.	3	249	11.9	11.9
4.	4	137	6.5	6.6
5.	5	55	2.6	2.6
6.	6	33	1.6	1.6
7.	very unimportant	64	3.0	3.1
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0096

I/17c Turnout and individual electoral behavior - first priority

On these cards four situations are presented. They are about turnout in elections. You yourself may or may not vote, and the same applies to the other Dutch. Of course, your vote by itself does not make much difference for the total turnout. Would you please consider these four situations, and rank them in the order of your preference?

Showcards 8a, 8b, 8c and 8d presented, each listing one situation:

8a: You VOTE and so do most other people. The turnout will be very high, but you have to exert yourself in order to vote.

8b: You do NOT vote but most other people do vote. The turnout will be very high and you do not have to exert yourself in order to vote.

8c: You do NOT vote and so do most other people. The turnout will be very low and you do not have to exert yourself in order to vote.

8d: You VOTE but most other people do not vote. The turnout will be very low and you have to exert yourself in order to vote.

Which situation is your first preference?

Interviewer is instructed to press respondent to rank-order the situations when necessary

1.	vote - high turnout	1610	76.6	79.3
2.	not vote - high turnout	120	5.7	5.9
3.	not vote - low turnout	50	2.4	2.5
4.	vote - low turnout	251	11.9	12.4
995.	no preference	60	2.9	MD
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0097

I/17d Turnout and individual electoral behavior - second priority

And which situation is your second preference?

1.	vote - high turnout	248	11.8	12.3
2.	not vote - high turnout	322	15.3	16.0
3.	not vote - low turnout	106	5.0	5.3
4.	vote - low turnout	1333	63.4	66.4
995.	no further preference	90	4.3	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0098 I/17e Turnout and individual electoral behavior - third priority
 And which situation is your third preference?

1.	vote - high turnout	74	3.5	3.9
2.	not vote - high turnout	1157	55.1	60.4
3.	not vote - low turnout	391	18.6	20.4
4.	vote - low turnout	294	14.0	15.3
995.	no further preference	171	8.1	MD
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0099

I/17f Turnout and individual electoral behavior - fourth priority

And which situation is your fourth preference?

1.	vote - high turnout	80	3.8	4.2
2.	not vote - high turnout	335	15.9	17.5
3.	not vote - low turnout	1381	65.7	72.1
4.	vote - low turnout	120	5.7	6.3
995.	no further preference	185	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.11 Perception of poll results

V0100 I/18a Frequency of encountering poll results

Prior to the elections, polls are conducted in order to find out which party people plan to vote for. How many times in the past weeks have you encountered these poll results in newspapers, radio or television? Did you almost never encounter these poll results, from time to time, several times or very often?

1.	(almost) never	497	23.7	23.8
2.	from time to time	670	31.9	32.1
3.	several times	685	32.6	32.9
4.	very often	232	11.0	11.1
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0101

I/18b Perceived accuracy of poll results

In your opinion, how accurate is the image these polls provide of the strength of the various parties? Is this image very accurate, accurate, inaccurate or very inaccurate?

1.	very well	48	2.3	2.6
2.	well	1366	65.0	73.5
3.	bad	423	20.1	22.8
4.	very bad	22	1.0	1.2
997.	DK	241	11.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0102

I/18c Present poll result CDA compared to 1994 election results

Now I would like to ask you how some of the parties are doing according to the poll results in comparison with the elections of 1994.

Showcard 9 presented, listing response alternatives

How do you think the CDA is doing in the poll results in comparison with the election result in 1994?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0591 for the order in which questions were asked.

		113	5.4	6.0
1.	much better			
2.	a little better	755	35.9	40.3
3.	almost the same	594	28.3	31.7
4.	a little worse	355	16.9	19.0
5.	much worse	55	2.6	2.9
997.	DK	229	10.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0103

I/18d Present poll result PvdA compared to 1994 election results

How do you think the PvdA is doing in the poll results in comparison with the election result in 1994?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0591 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much better	333	15.8	17.5
2.	a little better	869	41.4	45.7
3.	almost the same	536	25.5	28.2
4.	a little worse	152	7.2	8.0
5.	much worse	11	.5	.6
997.	DK	200	9.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0104

I/18e Present poll result VVD compared to 1994 election results

How do you think VVD is doing in the poll results in comparison with the election result in 1994?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0591 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much better	248	11.8	13.4
2.	a little better	845	40.2	45.6
3.	almost the same	450	21.4	24.3
4.	a little worse	288	13.7	15.5
5.	much worse	22	1.0	1.2
997.	DK	247	11.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0105

I/18f Present poll result D66 compared to 1994 election results

How do you think D66 is doing in the poll results in comparison with the election result in 1994?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0591 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much better	8	.4	.4
2.	a little better	91	4.3	4.9
3.	almost the same	102	4.9	5.5
4.	a little worse	438	20.8	23.6
5.	much worse	1215	57.8	65.5
997.	DK	247	11.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0106

I/18g Which party will be the largest in 1998 elections?

Which party do you think will become the largest in the coming parliamentary elections?

1.	PVDA	1514	72.1	77.5
2.	CDA	196	9.3	10.0
3.	VVD	221	10.5	11.3
4.	D66	10	.5	.5
5.	GroenLinks	7	.3	.4
9.	Centrumdemocraten	4	.2	.2
12.	SP	1	.0	.1
994.	uncodable	16	.8	MD
997.	DK	132	6.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.12 Political (position) issues - euthanasia

V0110 I/19a Euthanasia - position of CDA

Now some questions about political affairs that are frequently in the news. When a doctor ends the life of a person at the latter's request, this is called *euthanasia*. Some people think that euthanasia should be forbidden by law. Others feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life, if the patient makes that request. Of course, there are people whose opinions lie somewhere in between.

Showcard 10 presented, showing a horizontal line with seven numbered positions, and one position 'don't know'. Position '1' is labeled 'It should be forbidden that a doctor ends the life of a patient at the latter's request', and position '7' is labeled 'A doctor should always be allowed to end the life of a patient when the latter requests so'.

Suppose that the people (and parties) who think that euthanasia should be forbidden are at the beginning of this line (at number 1) and the people (and parties) who feel that a doctor should always be allowed to end a life upon a patient's request are at the end of the line (at number 7).

I will ask you first to place some political parties on the line. If you have no idea at all which position a party has, then please feel free to say so.

Where would you place the CDA on this line?

1.	forbid euthanasia	397	18.9	22.1
2.	2	550	26.2	30.6
3.	3	440	20.9	24.5
4.	4	246	11.7	13.7
5.	5	107	5.1	5.9
6.	6	36	1.7	2.0
7.	allow euthanasia	23	1.1	1.3
997.	DK	302	14.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0111 I/19b Euthanasia - position of PvdA
 And where the PvdA?

1.	forbid euthanasia	38	1.8	2.2
2.	2	63	3.0	3.6
3.	3	121	5.8	6.9
4.	4	311	14.8	17.7
5.	5	564	26.8	32.1
6.	6	508	24.2	28.9
7.	allow euthanasia	154	7.3	8.8
997.	DK	342	16.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0112 I/19c Euthanasia - position of VVD
 And where VVD?

1.	forbid euthanasia	38	1.8	2.3
2.	2	64	3.0	3.9
3.	3	120	5.7	7.4
4.	4	267	12.7	16.4
5.	5	433	20.6	26.6
6.	6	520	24.8	31.9
7.	allow euthanasia	188	8.9	11.5
997.	DK	471	22.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0113 I/19d Euthanasia - position of D66
 And where D66?

1.	forbid euthanasia	32	1.5	2.0
2.	2	67	3.2	4.2
3.	3	105	5.0	6.6
4.	4	238	11.3	14.9
5.	5	341	16.2	21.3
6.	6	480	22.8	30.0
7.	allow euthanasia	339	16.1	21.2
997.	DK	499	23.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0114 I/19e Euthanasia - position of GroenLinks
 And where GroenLinks?

1.	forbid euthanasia	70	3.3	5.2
2.	2	86	4.1	6.4
3.	3	78	3.7	5.8
4.	4	157	7.5	11.7
5.	5	242	11.5	18.1
6.	6	425	20.2	31.8
7.	allow euthanasia	280	13.3	20.9
997.	DK	757	36.0	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0115 I/19f Euthanasia - position of GPV
 And where GPV?

1.	forbid euthanasia	1245	59.3	81.3
2.	2	157	7.5	10.3
3.	3	29	1.4	1.9
4.	4	22	1.0	1.4
5.	5	12	.6	.8
6.	6	16	.8	1.0
7.	allow euthanasia	50	2.4	3.3
997.	DK	567	27.0	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0116 I/19g Euthanasia - position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself?

1.	forbid euthanasia	164	7.8	7.9
2.	2	104	5.0	5.0
3.	3	98	4.7	4.7
4.	4	219	10.4	10.6
5.	5	352	16.8	17.0
6.	6	548	26.1	26.5
7.	allow euthanasia	586	27.9	28.3
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.13 Political (position) issues – income differences

V0117 I/20a Income differences - position of CDA

Some people and parties think that the differences in incomes in our country should be increased (at number 1). Others think that these differences should be decreased (at number 7). Of course, there are also people whose opinion is somewhere in between.

Showcard 11 presented, showing a horizontal line with seven numbered positions, and one position 'don't know'. Position '1' is labeled 'The differences in incomes in our country should be increased', and position '7' is labeled 'The differences in incomes in our country should be decreased'.

Where would you place CDA on this line?

1.	larger differences	33	1.6	1.9
2.	2	68	3.2	3.9
3.	3	281	13.4	16.3
4.	4	661	31.5	38.3
5.	5	449	21.4	26.0
6.	6	181	8.6	10.5
7.	smaller differences	51	2.4	3.0
997.	DK	377	17.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0118 I/20b Income differences - position of PvdA

And where PvdA?

1.	larger differences	37	1.8	2.0
2.	2	58	2.8	3.2
3.	3	79	3.8	4.3
4.	4	160	7.6	8.7
5.	5	380	18.1	20.7
6.	6	713	33.9	38.8
7.	smaller differences	409	19.5	22.3
997.	DK	265	12.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0119 I/20c Income differences - position of VVD
And where VVD?

1.	larger differences	329	15.7	18.4
2.	2	618	29.4	34.6
3.	3	410	19.5	23.0
4.	4	210	10.0	11.8
5.	5	94	4.5	5.3
6.	6	85	4.0	4.8
7.	smaller differences	40	1.9	2.2
997.	DK	315	15.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0120 I/20d Income differences - position of D66
And where D66?

1.	larger differences	10	.5	.6
2.	2	54	2.6	3.3
3.	3	203	9.7	12.5
4.	4	557	26.5	34.2
5.	5	479	22.8	29.4
6.	6	263	12.5	16.2
7.	smaller differences	61	2.9	3.7
997.	DK	473	22.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0121 I/20e Income differences - position of GroenLinks
And where GroenLinks?

1.	larger differences	23	1.1	1.5
2.	2	52	2.5	3.3
3.	3	56	2.7	3.6
4.	4	122	5.8	7.8
5.	5	232	11.0	14.8
6.	6	563	26.8	35.9
7.	smaller differences	520	24.8	33.2
997.	DK	530	25.2	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0122 I/20f Income differences - position of GPV
And where GPV?

1.	larger differences	33	1.6	2.8
2.	2	95	4.5	8.2
3.	3	208	9.9	17.9
4.	4	366	17.4	31.4
5.	5	234	11.1	20.1
6.	6	159	7.6	13.7
7.	smaller differences	69	3.3	5.9
997.	DK	931	44.3	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0123 I/20g Income differences - position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself?

1.	larger differences	56	2.7	2.7
2.	2	102	4.9	4.9
3.	3	174	8.3	8.4
4.	4	488	23.2	23.6
5.	5	399	19.0	19.3
6.	6	425	20.2	20.5
7.	smaller differences	426	20.3	20.6
997.	DK	31	1.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.14 Political (position) issues – asulum seekers

V0124 I/21a Asylum seekers - position of CDA

Allowing asylum seekers to enter the Netherlands has frequently been in the news during the last few years. Some people think that the Netherlands should allow more asylum seekers than the government currently does. Other people think that the Netherlands should send asylum seekers who are already staying here back to their country of origin. Of course, there are also people whose opinion lies somewhere in between.

Showcard 12 presented, showing a horizontal line with seven numbered positions, and one position 'don't know'. Position '1' is labeled 'The Netherlands should allow more asylum seekers to enter', and position '7' is labeled 'The Netherlands should send back as many asylum seekers as possible'.

At the beginning of this line are the people (and parties) who think that the Netherlands should allow more asylum seekers to enter (at number 1); at the end of the line are the people (and parties) who think that the Netherlands should send back as many asylum seekers as possible.

Where would you place CDA on this line?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	37	1.8	2.1
2.	2	162	7.7	9.3
3.	3	482	22.9	27.6
4.	4	636	30.3	36.4
5.	5	320	15.2	18.3
6.	6	87	4.1	5.0
7.	send back asylum seekers	24	1.1	1.4
997.	DK	353	16.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0125 I/21b Asylum seekers - position of PvdA
 And where the PvdA?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	142	6.8	7.9
2.	2	397	18.9	22.2
3.	3	565	26.9	31.6
4.	4	399	19.0	22.3
5.	5	199	9.5	11.1
6.	6	65	3.1	3.6
7.	send back asylum seekers	22	1.0	1.2
997.	DK	312	14.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0126 I/21c Asylum seekers - position of VVD
 And where the VVD?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	24	1.1	1.3
2.	2	59	2.8	3.3
3.	3	92	4.4	5.2
4.	4	173	8.2	9.7
5.	5	403	19.2	22.6
6.	6	697	33.2	39.1
7.	send back asylum seekers	334	15.9	18.7
997.	DK	319	15.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0127 I/21d Asylum seekers - position of D66
 And where D66?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	38	1.8	2.4
2.	2	176	8.4	10.9
3.	3	394	18.8	24.4
4.	4	611	29.1	37.9
5.	5	284	13.5	17.6
6.	6	84	4.0	5.2
7.	send back asylum seekers	25	1.2	1.6
997.	DK	489	23.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0128 I/21e Asylum seekers - position of GroenLinks
 And where GroenLinks?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	228	10.9	14.9
2.	2	493	23.5	32.3
3.	3	344	16.4	22.5
4.	4	226	10.8	14.8
5.	5	98	4.7	6.4
6.	6	91	4.3	6.0
7.	send back asylum seekers	46	2.2	3.0
997.	DK	571	27.2	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0129 I/21f Asylum seekers - position of GPV
 And where GPV?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	55	2.6	4.6
2.	2	164	7.8	13.7
3.	3	224	10.7	18.8
4.	4	314	14.9	26.3
5.	5	223	10.6	18.7
6.	6	134	6.4	11.2
7.	send back asylum seekers	79	3.8	6.6
997.	DK	903	43.0	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0130 I/21g Asylum seekers - position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself on this line?

1.	admit more asylum seekers	77	3.7	3.7
2.	2	199	9.5	9.7
3.	3	260	12.4	12.6
4.	4	642	30.6	31.1
5.	5	373	17.8	18.1
6.	6	271	12.9	13.1
7.	send back asylum seekers	239	11.4	11.6
997.	DK	39	1.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.15 Political (position) issues – European unification

V0131 I/22a European unification - position of CDA

The European unification is well under way. The countries of the European Union have decided to work more and more closely together. But not everybody holds the same view about it. Some people and parties think that the European unification should go further. Others think that the European unification has already gone too far.

Showcard 13 presented, showing a horizontal line with seven numbered positions and a position 'don't know'. Position '1' is labeled 'The European unification should go further' and position '7' is labeled 'The European unification has already gone too far'.

Suppose the people and parties who think that the European unification should go further are at the beginning of this line (at number 1); and the people and parties who think the European unification has already gone too far are at the end of the line (at number 7).

Where would you place CDA on this line?

1.	unification should go further	69	3.3	4.7
2.	2	267	12.7	18.0
3.	3	540	25.7	36.5
4.	4	374	17.8	25.3
5.	5	181	8.6	12.2
6.	6	42	2.0	2.8
7.	unification has gone too far	8	.4	.5
997.	DK	619	29.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0132 I/22b European unification - position of PvdA
 And where the PvdA?

1.	unification should go further	176	8.4	11.2
2.	2	473	22.5	30.0
3.	3	476	22.7	30.2
4.	4	255	12.1	16.2
5.	5	126	6.0	8.0
6.	6	58	2.8	3.7
7.	unification has gone too far	14	.7	.9
997.	DK	523	24.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0133 I/22c European unification - position of VVD
 And where VVD?

1.	unification should go further	119	5.7	7.7
2.	2	349	16.6	22.7
3.	3	331	15.8	21.5
4.	4	291	13.9	18.9
5.	5	284	13.5	18.5
6.	6	135	6.4	8.8
7.	unification has gone too far	30	1.4	1.9
997.	DK	560	26.7	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0134 I/22d European unification - position of D66
 And where D66?

1.	unification should go further	74	3.5	5.1
2.	2	313	14.9	21.6
3.	3	446	21.2	30.8
4.	4	382	18.2	26.4
5.	5	165	7.9	11.4
6.	6	49	2.3	3.4
7.	unification has gone too far	19	.9	1.3
997.	DK	653	31.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0135 I/22e European unification - position of GroenLinks
 And where GroenLinks?

1.	unification should go further	55	2.6	4.4
2.	2	182	8.7	14.6
3.	3	256	12.2	20.6
4.	4	327	15.6	26.3
5.	5	240	11.4	19.3
6.	6	147	7.0	11.8
7.	unification has gone too far	36	1.7	2.9
997.	DK	854	40.6	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0136 I/22f European unification - position of GPV
 And where GPV?

1.	unification should go further	18	.9	1.8
2.	2	57	2.7	5.8
3.	3	120	5.7	12.1
4.	4	269	12.8	27.2
5.	5	251	11.9	25.4
6.	6	204	9.7	20.6
7.	unification has gone too far	69	3.3	7.0
997.	DK	1103	52.5	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0137

I/22g European unification - position of respondent

And where would you place yourself on his line?

1.	unification should go further	154	7.3	7.8
2.	2	290	13.8	14.8
3.	3	352	16.8	17.9
4.	4	532	25.3	27.1
5.	5	281	13.4	14.3
6.	6	196	9.3	10.0
7.	unification has gone too far	158	7.5	8.0
997.	DK	136	6.5	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.16 Political (position) issues – ethnic minorities

V0138 I/23a Ethnic minorities - position of CDA

There is disagreement in the Netherlands about foreigners and ethnic minorities. Some people and parties think that these people should be able to live in the Netherlands while preserving all customs of their own culture. Others think that these people, if they stay in the Netherlands, should fully adjust themselves to Dutch culture. Of course, there are also people whose opinion is somewhere in between.

Showcard 13.1 presented, showing a horizontal line with seven numbered positions and a position 'don't know'. Position '1' is labeled 'Foreigners should be able to live in the Netherlands while preserving all customs of their own culture'. Position '7' is labeled 'Foreigners in the Netherlands should fully adjust themselves to the Dutch culture'.

At the beginning of this line are the people (and parties) who think that foreigners and ethnic minorities should be able to live in the Netherlands while preserving all customs of their own culture (at number 1); at the end of the line are the people (and parties) who think that these people should fully adjust themselves to Dutch culture (at number 7).

Where would you place CDA at this line?

1.	preserve cultural customs	25	1.2	1.4
2.	2	152	7.2	8.8
3.	3	392	18.7	22.7
4.	4	496	23.6	28.8
5.	5	464	22.1	26.9
6.	6	148	7.0	8.6
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	48	2.3	2.8
997.	DK	376	17.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0139 I/23b Ethnic minorities - position of PvdA
 And where the PvdA?

1.	preserve cultural customs	109	5.2	6.2
2.	2	340	16.2	19.4
3.	3	578	27.5	32.9
4.	4	365	17.4	20.8
5.	5	236	11.2	13.4
6.	6	102	4.9	5.8
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	25	1.2	1.4
997.	DK	346	16.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0140 I/23c Ethnic minorities - position of VVD
 And where the VVD?

1.	preserve cultural customs	20	1.0	1.2
2.	2	37	1.8	2.1
3.	3	103	4.9	5.9
4.	4	232	11.0	13.4
5.	5	393	18.7	22.6
6.	6	703	33.5	40.5
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	248	11.8	14.3
997.	DK	365	17.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0141 I/23d Ethnic minorities - position of D66
 And where D66?

1.	preserve cultural customs	34	1.6	2.1
2.	2	171	8.1	10.5
3.	3	410	19.5	25.2
4.	4	542	25.8	33.3
5.	5	343	16.3	21.1
6.	6	101	4.8	6.2
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	25	1.2	1.5
997.	DK	475	22.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0142 I/23e Ethnic minorities - position of GroenLinks
 And where GroenLinks?

1.	preserve cultural customs	211	10.0	13.7
2.	2	494	23.5	32.1
3.	3	355	16.9	23.1
4.	4	216	10.3	14.1
5.	5	148	7.0	9.6
6.	6	79	3.8	5.1
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	34	1.6	2.2
997.	DK	562	26.7	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0143 I/23f Ethnic minorities - position of GPV
 And where GPV?

1.	preserve cultural customs	35	1.7	2.9
2.	2	95	4.5	7.8
3.	3	124	5.9	10.2
4.	4	200	9.5	16.4
5.	5	282	13.4	23.1
6.	6	325	15.5	26.6
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	159	7.6	13.0
997.	DK	876	41.7	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0144 I/23g Ethnic minorities - position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself on this line?

1.	preserve cultural customs	68	3.2	3.3
2.	2	163	7.8	7.9
3.	3	239	11.4	11.5
4.	4	450	21.4	21.7
5.	5	450	21.4	21.7
6.	6	371	17.7	17.9
7.	completely adjust to Dutch culture	334	15.9	16.1
997.	DK	26	1.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.17 Political knowledge – membership of the EU

V0150 I/24 Is Germany member of the European Union?

Showcard 13.2 presented, listing (from 1 to 10) Germany, United States, France, Italy, Spain, Poland, Lithuania, Sweden, Norway, and Turkey.

I now show you a list of countries. Can you tell me which of these countries you think is a member of the European Union? Please mention the number of the country which is a member of the European Union.

Germany

0.	no	24	1.1	1.2
1.	yes	1987	94.6	98.8
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0151 I/24 Is United States member of the European Union?

Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
United States of America

0.	no	1928	91.8	95.9
1.	yes	83	4.0	4.1
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0152 I/24 Is France member of the European Union?

Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
France

0.	no	69	3.3	3.4
1.	yes	1942	92.4	96.6
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0153	I/24 Is Italy member of the European Union? <i>Refer to V0150 for complete question wording</i> Italy			
	0.	no	233	11.1 11.6
	1.	yes	1778	84.6 88.4
	997.	DK	90	4.3 MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0 100.0

V0154	I/24 Is Spain member of the European Union? <i>Refer to V0150 for complete question wording</i> Spain			
	0.	no	231	11.0 11.5
	1.	yes	1780	84.7 88.5
	997.	DK	90	4.3 MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0 100.0

V0155	I/24 Is Poland member of the European Union? <i>Refer to V0150 for complete question wording</i> Poland			
	0.	no	1711	81.4 85.1
	1.	yes	300	14.3 14.9
	997.	DK	90	4.3 MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0 100.0

V0156 **I/24 Is Lithuania member of the European Union?**
Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
Lithuania

0.	no	1932	92.0	96.1
1.	yes	79	3.8	3.9
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0157 **I/24 Is Sweden member of the European Union?**
Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
Sweden

0.	no	964	45.9	47.9
1.	yes	1047	49.8	52.1
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0158 **I/24 Is Norway member of the European Union?**
Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
Norway

0.	no	999	47.5	49.7
1.	yes	1012	48.2	50.3
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0159 **I/24 Is Turkey member of the European Union?**
Refer to V0150 for complete question wording
Turkey

0.	no	1650	78.5	82.0
1.	yes	361	17.2	18.0
997.	DK	90	4.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.18 Left-right self-rating

V0160 I/25 Left-right self-rating (11 point scale)

Showcard 14 presented, showing a horizontal line with 11 positions numbered 0 through 10, and a position 'don't know'. Position '0' is labeled 'left' and position '10' is labeled 'right'.

Political opinions are often described in terms of left or right. When you think of your own political opinions, where would you place yourself on this line? Please mention the number that applies to you.

0.	left	21	1.0	1.1
1.	1	57	2.7	2.9
2.	2	121	5.8	6.2
3.	3	272	12.9	14.0
4.	4	241	11.5	12.4
5.	5	449	21.4	23.2
6.	6	231	11.0	11.9
7.	7	301	14.3	15.5
8.	8	175	8.3	9.0
9.	9	35	1.7	1.8
10.	right	36	1.7	1.9
997.	DK	162	7.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.19 Previous voting behavior

V0165 I/26a Did (not) vote in 1994 parliamentary elections

The previous elections for the Second Chamber were held in 1994.
Did you vote in these elections, or not?

1.	voted	1689	80.4	80.8
2.	did not vote	265	12.6	12.7
3.	not entitled to vote	137	6.5	6.6
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0166 I/26b Party voted for in 1994 parliamentary elections

For which party did you vote then?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0165, codes 2,3, 997)

1.	PVDA	465	22.1	28.4
2.	CDA	365	17.4	22.3
3.	VVD	328	15.6	20.0
4.	D66	269	12.8	16.4
5.	GroenLinks	76	3.6	4.6
6.	SGP	17	.8	1.0
7.	GPV	19	.9	1.2
8.	RPF	30	1.4	1.8
9.	Centrumdemocraten	12	.6	.7
10.	Unie 55+	7	.3	.4
11.	AOV	12	.6	.7
12.	SP	32	1.5	2.0
21.	PSP	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	4	.2	.2
64.	Natuurwetpartij	2	.1	.1
68.	NCPN	1	.0	.1
993.	invalid	5	.2	MD
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
997.	DK	37	1.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
999.	INAP	412	19.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0167 I/27a Did (not) vote in most recent municipal elections

Did you vote in the latest municipal elections?

1.	voted	1515	72.1	72.2
2.	did not vote	564	26.8	26.9
3.	not entitled to vote	19	.9	.9
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0168 I/27b Party voted for in most recent municipal elections

For which party did you vote then?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0167, codes 2,3, 997)

1.	PVDA	305	14.5	20.7
2.	CDA	305	14.5	20.7
3.	VVD	251	11.9	17.0
4.	D66	102	4.9	6.9
5.	GroenLinks	105	5.0	7.1
6.	SGP	17	.8	1.2
7.	GPV	28	1.3	1.9
8.	RPF	31	1.5	2.1
9.	Centrumdemocraten	3	.1	.2
10.	Unie 55+	2	.1	.1
11.	AOV	10	.5	.7
12.	SP	65	3.1	4.4
19.	CPN	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	6	.3	.4
30.	local party	243	11.6	16.5
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.1
994.	uncodable	8	.4	MD
995.	abstention	7	.3	MD
997.	DK	18	.9	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	586	27.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0169 I/27c Reason for not voting in most recent municipal elections

Why did you not vote?

*Refer to 4.2.2 below for a description of the exact meaning of the codes**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0167, codes 1,3, 997)*

10.	physical/personal circumstances (general)	8	.4	1.5
11.	handicap or illness	30	1.4	5.4
12.	family matters	4	.2	.7
13.	weather	3	.1	.5
14.	no electricity at voting office	2	.1	.4
20.	absence (general)	40	1.9	7.3
21.	no time	58	2.8	10.5
22.	too late	12	.6	2.2
23.	had to work	14	.7	2.5
24.	vacation	21	1.0	3.8
25.	could not find voting office	2	.1	.4
30.	no interest (general)	79	3.8	14.3
31.	does not care	8	.4	1.5
32.	forgot to vote	40	1.9	7.3
41.	religious conviction	4	.2	.7
42.	does not vote on principle	4	.2	.7
50.	could not make a choice	44	2.1	8.0
60.	protest (general)	6	.3	1.1
61.	disagrees with parties/government	10	.5	1.8
71.	parties have nothing to offer	10	.5	1.8
72.	parties do not keep promises	7	.3	1.3
73.	distrust parties/politicians	5	.2	.9
74.	voting is of no use	17	.8	3.1
75.	voting does not yield anything	22	1.0	4.0
76.	politics is a mess	3	.1	.5
77.	all parties are the same	3	.1	.5
78.	no political involvement	6	.3	1.1
80.	feelings of incompetence (general)	3	.1	.5
81.	too little knowledge of politics	39	1.9	7.1
82.	no ties with community	5	.2	.9
91.	did not receive notification	3	.1	.5
92.	lost notification	8	.4	1.5
94.	no elections/municipal redivision	26	1.2	4.7
101.	forgot to give proxy	3	.1	.5
111.	everything is fine	2	.1	.4
997.	DK	13	.6	MD
999.	INAP	1537	73.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.20 Sympathy scores for political parties

V0170 I/28a Sympathy score PvdA

There are many political parties in our country. I would like to know from you how sympathetic you find these parties. You can give each party a score between 0 and 100. The more sympathetic you find a party, the higher the score you give. A score of 50 means that you find a party neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic. If you don't know a party, please feel free to say so.

Showcard 15 presented, listing a horizontal line with ten labels at equal distance, 0 . . . 100. Position '0' is additionally labeled as 'very unsympathetic', position '50' as 'neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic', and position '100' as 'very sympathetic'.

First we take the PvdA. Which score would you give the PvdA?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	12	.6	.6
4.		1	.0	.0
5.		1	.0	.0
7.		1	.0	.0
10.		26	1.2	1.3
20.		32	1.5	1.6
30.		57	2.7	2.8
40.		120	5.7	5.9
45.		1	.0	.0
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	350	16.7	17.2
51.		1	.0	.0
55.		1	.0	.0
60.		374	17.8	18.3
65.		5	.2	.2
70.		441	21.0	21.6
75.		17	.8	.8
80.		356	16.9	17.5
85.		3	.1	.1
90.		161	7.7	7.9
95.		1	.0	.0
100.	very sympathetic	79	3.8	3.9
997.	DK	58	2.8	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0171 I/28b Sympathy score VVD
 And the VVD?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	31	1.5	1.5
1.		1	.0	.0
5.		3	.1	.1
10.		84	4.0	4.2
15.		1	.0	.0
20.		110	5.2	5.4
25.		3	.1	.1
30.		189	9.0	9.3
35.		2	.1	.1
40.		304	14.5	15.0
45.		2	.1	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	372	17.7	18.4
54.		1	.0	.0
55.		3	.1	.1
60.		316	15.0	15.6
62.		1	.0	.0
65.		5	.2	.2
70.		299	14.2	14.8
75.		10	.5	.5
80.		186	8.9	9.2
85.		2	.1	.1
90.		69	3.3	3.4
100.	very sympathetic	28	1.3	1.4
997.	DK	77	3.7	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0172

I/28c Sympathy score D66

And D66?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	20	1.0	1.0
4.		1	.0	.1
5.		1	.0	.1
6.		1	.0	.1
10.		62	3.0	3.1
15.		1	.0	.1
20.		98	4.7	4.9
25.		1	.0	.1
30.		140	6.7	7.0
32.		1	.0	.1
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		235	11.2	11.8
45.		1	.0	.1
49.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	457	21.8	22.9
54.		1	.0	.1
55.		3	.1	.2
59.		1	.0	.1
60.		397	18.9	19.9
65.		6	.3	.3
66.		2	.1	.1
70.		308	14.7	15.4
75.		9	.4	.5
80.		167	7.9	8.4
85.		5	.2	.3
86.		1	.0	.1
90.		53	2.5	2.7
100.	very sympathetic	21	1.0	1.1
997.	DK	104	5.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0173 I/28d Sympathy score GroenLinks
And GroenLinks?**

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	36	1.7	1.8
5.		2	.1	.1
8.		1	.0	.1
10.		67	3.2	3.4
15.		3	.1	.2
20.		103	4.9	5.3
25.		2	.1	.1
30.		171	8.1	8.8
40.		201	9.6	10.3
45.		1	.0	.1
48.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	315	15.0	16.2
55.		1	.0	.1
59.		1	.0	.1
60.		290	13.8	14.9
61.		1	.0	.1
65.		5	.2	.3
70.		346	16.5	17.8
75.		4	.2	.2
79.		1	.0	.1
80.		263	12.5	13.5
85.		3	.1	.2
90.		93	4.4	4.8
95.		1	.0	.1
98.		2	.1	.1
100.	very sympathetic	33	1.6	1.7
997.	DK	152	7.2	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0174 I/28e Sympathy score CDA
And the CDA?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	22	1.0	1.1
5.		2	.1	.1
10.		43	2.0	2.1
20.		81	3.9	4.0
25.		1	.0	.0
30.		131	6.2	6.5
40.		255	12.1	12.6
45.		4	.2	.2
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	480	22.8	23.7
51.		1	.0	.0
55.		3	.1	.1
60.		380	18.1	18.7
63.		1	.0	.0
65.		6	.3	.3
70.		305	14.5	15.0
75.		4	.2	.2
80.		192	9.1	9.5
85.		2	.1	.1
90.		75	3.6	3.7
95.		1	.0	.0
99.		1	.0	.0
100.	very sympathetic	37	1.8	1.8
997.	DK	72	3.4	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0175 I/28f Sympathy score SGP
And the SGP?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	115	5.5	7.7
4.		1	.0	.1
5.		6	.3	.4
8.		1	.0	.1
10.		208	9.9	13.9
20.		227	10.8	15.1
25.		8	.4	.5
30.		238	11.3	15.9
35.		3	.1	.2
39.		1	.0	.1
40.		191	9.1	12.7
45.		2	.1	.1
46.		1	.0	.1
49.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	287	13.7	19.1
55.		2	.1	.1
60.		79	3.8	5.3
65.		1	.0	.1
70.		54	2.6	3.6
80.		45	2.1	3.0
90.		14	.7	.9
100.	very sympathetic	14	.7	.9
997.	DK	600	28.6	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0176 I/28g Sympathy score GPV
And the GPV?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	104	5.0	6.6
3.		2	.1	.1
5.		5	.2	.3
8.		1	.0	.1
10.		207	9.9	13.1
15.		2	.1	.1
20.		230	10.9	14.6
25.		2	.1	.1
30.		224	10.7	14.2
35.		6	.3	.4
40.		199	9.5	12.6
45.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	314	14.9	19.9
55.		1	.0	.1
60.		106	5.0	6.7
64.		1	.0	.1
65.		1	.0	.1
70.		79	3.8	5.0
75.		1	.0	.1
80.		61	2.9	3.9
90.		12	.6	.8
98.		1	.0	.1
100.	very sympathetic	17	.8	1.1
997.	DK	522	24.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0177 I/28h Sympathy score RPF
 And the RPF?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	103	4.9	7.4
1.		1	.0	.1
3.		2	.1	.1
4.		1	.0	.1
5.		5	.2	.4
10.		169	8.0	12.2
20.		222	10.6	16.0
25.		2	.1	.1
30.		216	10.3	15.6
35.		2	.1	.1
40.		162	7.7	11.7
45.		4	.2	.3
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	263	12.5	18.9
55.		1	.0	.1
60.		77	3.7	5.5
65.		2	.1	.1
70.		62	3.0	4.5
80.		55	2.6	4.0
90.		27	1.3	1.9
100.	very sympathetic	12	.6	.9
997.	DK	711	33.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0178 I/28i Sympathy score Centrumdemocraten
 And the Centrumdemocraten?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	1454	69.2	74.6
1.		13	.6	.7
2.		1	.0	.1
3.		1	.0	.1
5.		4	.2	.2
10.		191	9.1	9.8
15.		3	.1	.2
20.		93	4.4	4.8
25.		1	.0	.1
30.		50	2.4	2.6
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		40	1.9	2.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	56	2.7	2.9
60.		19	.9	1.0
70.		12	.6	.6
80.		3	.1	.2
90.		1	.0	.1
100.	very sympathetic	5	.2	.3
997.	DK	152	7.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0179 I/28j Sympathy score SP
And the SP?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	110	5.2	6.5
1.		1	.0	.1
5.		2	.1	.1
10.		149	7.1	8.8
13.		1	.0	.1
20.		169	8.0	10.0
25.		5	.2	.3
30.		185	8.8	11.0
35.		3	.1	.2
40.		199	9.5	11.8
45.		2	.1	.1
48.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	317	15.1	18.8
55.		1	.0	.1
60.		174	8.3	10.3
65.		1	.0	.1
70.		154	7.3	9.1
75.		6	.3	.4
80.		134	6.4	8.0
85.		1	.0	.1
90.		44	2.1	2.6
95.		1	.0	.1
100.	very sympathetic	24	1.1	1.4
997.	DK	414	19.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0180 I/28k Sympathy score AOV
 And the AOV?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0592 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	83	4.0	5.4
3.		1	.0	.1
5.		2	.1	.1
10.		138	6.6	8.9
20.		135	6.4	8.7
22.		1	.0	.1
25.		3	.1	.2
30.		154	7.3	10.0
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		151	7.2	9.8
45.		2	.1	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	434	20.7	28.1
55.		3	.1	.2
60.		184	8.8	11.9
65.		2	.1	.1
70.		139	6.6	9.0
75.		2	.1	.1
80.		81	3.9	5.2
90.		15	.7	1.0
100.	very sympathetic	15	.7	1.0
997.	DK	553	26.3	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.21 Sympathy scores for politicians

V0181 I/29a Sympathy score Wim Kok (PvdA)

I would also like to know how sympathetic you find the following politicians. If you don't know a politician, please feel free to say so.
Showcard 15 used.

First Wim Kok. Which score would you give him?
Wim Kok was Prime minister, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the Labor party PvdA.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	12	.6	.6
5.		1	.0	.0
8.		1	.0	.0
10.		21	1.0	1.0
20.		16	.8	.8
25.		2	.1	.1
30.		34	1.6	1.7
40.		67	3.2	3.3
45.		1	.0	.0
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	193	9.2	9.4
52.		1	.0	.0
55.		2	.1	.1
60.		232	11.0	11.3
65.		5	.2	.2
70.		463	22.0	22.5
75.		18	.9	.9
80.		518	24.7	25.2
85.		8	.4	.4
86.		1	.0	.0
90.		320	15.2	15.5
95.		2	.1	.1
96.		1	.0	.0
100.	very sympathetic	139	6.6	6.8
997.	DK	41	2.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0182

I/29b Sympathy score Jaap de Hoop Scheffer (CDA)

And Jaap de Hoop Scheffer?

Jaap de Hoop Scheffer was Floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the Christian Democratic party CDA.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	25	1.2	1.5
1.		1	.0	.1
4.		1	.0	.1
5.		3	.1	.2
7.		1	.0	.1
10.		61	2.9	3.7
15.		2	.1	.1
20.		87	4.1	5.2
25.		4	.2	.2
30.		144	6.9	8.6
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		200	9.5	12.0
45.		3	.1	.2
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	394	18.8	23.7
55.		4	.2	.2
60.		304	14.5	18.3
65.		9	.4	.5
70.		225	10.7	13.5
75.		7	.3	.4
80.		127	6.0	7.6
90.		39	1.9	2.3
100.	very sympathetic	23	1.1	1.4
997.	DK	434	20.7	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0183

I/29c Sympathy score Frits Bolkestein (VVD)

And Frits Bolkestein?

Frits Bolkestein was floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the conservative liberal party, VVD

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	78	3.7	3.9
1.		2	.1	.1
5.		1	.0	.0
6.		1	.0	.0
10.		122	5.8	6.1
20.		131	6.2	6.5
21.		1	.0	.0
25.		2	.1	.1
29.		1	.0	.0
30.		182	8.7	9.1
35.		4	.2	.2
40.		279	13.3	13.9
45.		4	.2	.2
49.		1	.0	.0
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	326	15.5	16.2
54.		1	.0	.0
55.		4	.2	.2
60.		292	13.9	14.5
65.		6	.3	.3
70.		267	12.7	13.3
75.		5	.2	.2
80.		204	9.7	10.2
85.		4	.2	.2
90.		61	2.9	3.0
95.		1	.0	.0
100.	very sympathetic	28	1.3	1.4
997.	DK	91	4.3	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0184

I/29d Sympathy score Els Borst (D66)

And Els Borst?

Els Borst was first candidate on the election list, party leader of the progressive liberal party D66 and Minister of Health, Welfare and Sports.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	44	2.1	2.3
1.		3	.1	.2
4.		1	.0	.1
6.		1	.0	.1
10.		50	2.4	2.6
15.		2	.1	.1
20.		87	4.1	4.5
25.		7	.3	.4
30.		162	7.7	8.4
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		208	9.9	10.8
45.		2	.1	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	368	17.5	19.2
55.		7	.3	.4
60.		380	18.1	19.8
61.		1	.0	.1
65.		5	.2	.3
70.		334	15.9	17.4
75.		7	.3	.4
80.		176	8.4	9.2
85.		2	.1	.1
90.		58	2.8	3.0
100.	very sympathetic	15	.7	.8
997.	DK	178	8.5	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0185

I/29e Sympathy score Paul Rosenmüller (GroenLinks)

And Paul Rosenmoller?

Paul Rosenmüller was floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the environmental party GroenLinks.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	18	.9	1.1
5.		2	.1	.1
10.		34	1.6	2.0
15.		1	.0	.1
20.		46	2.2	2.7
22.		1	.0	.1
25.		1	.0	.1
30.		64	3.0	3.8
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		154	7.3	9.1
45.		2	.1	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	231	11.0	13.7
55.		2	.1	.1
60.		257	12.2	15.2
61.		1	.0	.1
65.		5	.2	.3
68.		1	.0	.1
70.		361	17.2	21.4
75.		4	.2	.2
80.		322	15.3	19.1
85.		3	.1	.2
90.		131	6.2	7.8
95.		2	.1	.1
100.	very sympathetic	46	2.2	2.7
997.	DK	408	19.4	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0186

I/29f Sympathy score Gert Schutte (GPV)

And Gert Schutte?

Gert Schutte was floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the orthodox protestant party GPV.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	41	2.0	4.1
3.		1	.0	.1
5.		1	.0	.1
10.		59	2.8	5.8
15.		1	.0	.1
20.		87	4.1	8.6
30.		102	4.9	10.1
35.		1	.0	.1
40.		133	6.3	13.1
45.		4	.2	.4
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	216	10.3	21.3
60.		129	6.1	12.7
65.		3	.1	.3
70.		116	5.5	11.5
71.		1	.0	.1
75.		2	.1	.2
80.		75	3.6	7.4
90.		26	1.2	2.6
100.	very sympathetic	14	.7	1.4
997.	DK	1082	51.5	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0187

I/29g Sympathy score Jan Marijnissen (SP)

And Jan Marijnissen?

Jan Marijnissen was floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the socialistic party SP

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	24	1.1	2.0
1.		2	.1	.2
5.		1	.0	.1
6.		1	.0	.1
10.		37	1.8	3.1
20.		55	2.6	4.6
25.		4	.2	.3
30.		92	4.4	7.7
40.		130	6.2	10.9
45.		1	.0	.1
48.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	232	11.0	19.5
55.		1	.0	.1
60.		190	9.0	16.0
69.		1	.0	.1
70.		190	9.0	16.0
75.		7	.3	.6
80.		126	6.0	10.6
90.		64	3.0	5.4
95.		4	.2	.3
100.	very sympathetic	26	1.2	2.2
997.	DK	907	43.2	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0188

I/29h Sympathy score Hans Janmaat (Centrumdemocraten)

And Hans Janmaat?

Hans Janmaat was floor leader in the Second Chamber, first candidate on the election list and party leader of the extreme right party CentrumDemocraten

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	1492	71.0	76.6
1.		21	1.0	1.1
2.		4	.2	.2
3.		2	.1	.1
5.		5	.2	.3
8.		1	.0	.1
9.		1	.0	.1
10.		200	9.5	10.3
15.		1	.0	.1
20.		89	4.2	4.6
30.		50	2.4	2.6
40.		19	.9	1.0
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	31	1.5	1.6
60.		9	.4	.5
70.		11	.5	.6
80.		5	.2	.3
90.		2	.1	.1
99.		1	.0	.1
100.	very sympathetic	4	.2	.2
997.	DK	151	7.2	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0189

I/29i Sympathy score Thom de Graaf (D66)

And Thom de Graaf?

Thom de Graaf was floor leader in the Second Chamber and second on the election list of D66

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0593 for the order in which questions were asked.

0.	very unsympathetic	12	.6	1.2
10.		17	.8	1.6
20.		43	2.0	4.2
30.		53	2.5	5.1
40.		116	5.5	11.2
45.		1	.0	.1
50.	neither sympathetic nor unsympathetic	244	11.6	23.6
54.		1	.0	.1
60.		211	10.0	20.4
65.		3	.1	.3
66.		1	.0	.1
70.		193	9.2	18.7
75.		4	.2	.4
80.		95	4.5	9.2
85.		2	.1	.2
90.		26	1.2	2.5
95.		1	.0	.1
100.	very sympathetic	9	.4	.9
997.	DK	1059	50.4	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.22 Political knowledge – photo questions

V0190 I/30.1. 1.Photo A - Jacques Wallage

Here are photographs of a number of politicians. Could you tell me for each person the name, the party and the function within this party?

Showcard 16a presented, showing a photograph of Jacques Wallage, PvdA, member of parliament and leader of PvdA fraction in Second Chamber.

What is the name of this politician?

1.	Wallage	851	40.5	89.4
2.	de Graaf	3	.1	.3
3.	Jorritsma	1	.0	.1
4.	Bukman	2	.1	.2
5.	van Aartsen	2	.1	.2
6.	Bolkestein	8	.4	.8
10.	Dijkstal	1	.0	.1
12.	Janmaat	3	.1	.3
13.	Kok	2	.1	.2
14.	Marijnissen	1	.0	.1
15.	Rosenmöller	4	.2	.4
19.	van Thijn	32	1.5	3.4
20.	Wijers	26	1.2	2.7
21.	Peper	4	.2	.4
88.	Other name	12	.6	1.3
997.	DK	1133	53.9	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD

V0191 I/30.1. 2.Party of Wallage (PvdA)

What is the party of this politician?

1.	PVDA	1119	53.3	81.3
2.	CDA	131	6.2	9.5
3.	VVD	66	3.1	4.8
4.	D66	52	2.5	3.8
5.	GroenLinks	2	.1	.1
7.	GPV	1	.0	.1
9.	Centrumdemocraten	2	.1	.1
11.	AOV	1	.0	.1
12.	SP	2	.1	.1
997.	DK	716	34.1	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD

2101 100.0 100.0

V0192

I/30.1. 3.Political function Wallage

What is the function of this politician?

1.	leader of party group	602	28.7	59.8
2.	member of Second Chamber	34	1.6	3.4
4.	wrong answer	371	17.7	36.8
997.	DK	1079	51.4	MD
998.	NA	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0193

I/30.2. 1.Photo B - Thom de Graaf

Showcard 16b presented, showing a photograph of Thom de Graaf, D66, member of parliament and leader of the D66 fraction in the Second Chamber.

What is the name of this politician?

1.	de Graaf	704	33.5	87.1
2.	Wallage	4	.2	.5
3.	Jorritsma	2	.1	.2
4.	Bukman	2	.1	.2
12.	Janmaat	1	.0	.1
13.	Kok	1	.0	.1
14.	Marijnissen	3	.1	.4
15.	Rosenmöller	79	3.8	9.8
88.	other name	12	.6	1.5
997.	DK	1276	60.7	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0194 I/30.2. 2.Party of de Graaf (D66)
 What is the party of this politician?

1.	PVDA	37	1.8	3.3
2.	CDA	26	1.2	2.4
3.	VVD	46	2.2	4.2
4.	D66	847	40.3	76.7
5.	GroenLinks	138	6.6	12.5
6.	SGP	3	.1	.3
7.	GPV	1	.0	.1
8.	RPF	1	.0	.1
9.	Centrumdemocraten	1	.0	.1
10.	Unie 55+	1	.0	.1
12.	SP	4	.2	.4
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
997.	DK	989	47.1	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0195 I/30.2. 3.Political function of de Graaf
 What is the function of this politician?

1.	leader of party group	501	23.8	60.4
2.	member of Second Chamber	99	4.7	11.9
4.	wrong answer	230	10.9	27.7
997.	DK	1259	59.9	MD
998.	NA	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0196

I/30.3. 1.Photo C - Annemarie Jorritsma

Showcard 16c presented, showing a photograph of Annemarie Jorritsma, VVD, Minister of Transport and Communications

What is the name of this politician?

1.	Jorritsma	1359	64.7	94.5
4.	Bukman	1	.0	.1
6.	Bolkestein	1	.0	.1
7.	Borst	32	1.5	2.2
10.	Dijkstal	1	.0	.1
14.	Marijnissen	1	.0	.1
17.	Sorgdrager	13	.6	.9
18.	Terpstra	22	1.0	1.5
88.	other name	8	.4	.6
997.	DK	658	31.3	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0197

I/30.3. 2.Party of Jorritsma (VVD)

What is the party of this politician?

1.	PVDA	135	6.4	8.5
2.	CDA	117	5.6	7.4
3.	VVD	1244	59.2	78.2
4.	D66	90	4.3	5.7
5.	GroenLinks	4	.2	.3
7.	GPV	1	.0	.1
997.	DK	508	24.2	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0198

I/30.3. 3.Political function of Jorritsma

What is the function of this politician?

1.	minister of Transport and Communications	1568	74.6	91.2
2.	minister	20	1.0	1.2
3.	of Transport and Communications	3	.1	.2
4.	wrong answer	129	6.1	7.5
997.	DK	379	18.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0199

I/30.4. 1.Photo D - Piet Bukman

Showcard 16d presented, showing a photograph of Piet Bukman, CDA, member of parliament and chairman of the Second Chamber.

What is the name of this politician?

1.	Bukman	548	26.1	77.2
3.	de Graaf	4	.2	.6
4.	Jorritsma	1	.0	.1
5.	van Aartsen	18	.9	2.5
6.	Bolkestein	52	2.5	7.3
7.	Borst	2	.1	.3
11.	Dolman	7	.3	1.0
12.	Janmaat	5	.2	.7
13.	Kok	1	.0	.1
14.	Marijnissen	3	.1	.4
16.	Schutte	50	2.4	7.0
88.	other name	19	.9	2.7
997.	DK	1375	65.4	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0200

I/30.4. 2.Party of Bukman (CDA)

What is the party of this politician?

1.	PVDA	92	4.4	8.8
2.	CDA	737	35.1	70.2
3.	VVD	103	4.9	9.8
4.	D66	19	.9	1.8
5.	GroenLinks	2	.1	.2
6.	SGP	18	.9	1.7
7.	GPV	69	3.3	6.6
8.	RPF	4	.2	.4
9.	Centrumdemocraten	3	.1	.3
11.	AOV	3	.1	.3
997.	DK	1042	49.6	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0201**I/30.4. 3.Political function of Bukman**

What is the function of this politician?

1.	chairman of Second Chamber	689	32.8	70.7
2.	member of Second Chamber	61	2.9	6.3
4.	wrong answer	224	10.7	23.0
997.	DK	1117	53.2	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0202**I/index Political knowledge score 1 (0-12) q30**

Constructed from V0190 TO V0201. Refer to 4.1.1 below for the construction of this variable. The H-value of this index is .62

0.	low	259	12.3	12.3
1.		205	9.8	9.8
2.		220	10.5	10.5
3.		231	11.0	11.0
4.		147	7.0	7.0
5.		143	6.8	6.8
6.		114	5.4	5.4
7.		115	5.5	5.5
8.		116	5.5	5.5
9.		121	5.8	5.8
10.		99	4.7	4.7
11.		146	6.9	6.9
12.	high	185	8.8	8.8
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0203

I/index Political knowledge score 2 (0-4) q30

Constructed from V0190 TO V0201. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this variable. The H-value of this index is .63

0.	low	981	46.7	46.7
1.		515	24.5	24.5
2.		204	9.7	9.7
3.		216	10.3	10.3
4.	high	185	8.8	8.8
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.23 Political knowledge – largest party

V0205 I/31a Which party is the larger: PvdA or VVD?

In the Second Chamber larger and smaller parties have seats. Could you indicate for the following two parties which one currently has more seats?
PvdA or VVD?

1.	PvdA	1780	84.7	88.1
2.	VVD	224	10.7	11.1
3.	same number of seats	17	.8	.8
997.	DK	79	3.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0206 I/31b Which party is the larger: D66 or GroenLinks?

D66 or GroenLinks?

1.	D66	1811	86.2	90.0
2.	GroenLinks	177	8.4	8.8
3.	same number of seats	24	1.1	1.2
997.	DK	88	4.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0207 I/31c Which party is the larger: CDA or PvdA?
CDA or PvdA?

1.	CDA	342	16.3	16.8
2.	PvdA	1666	79.3	82.1
3.	same number of seats	22	1.0	1.1
997.	DK	70	3.3	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0208 I/31d Which party is the larger: VVD or D66?
VVD or D66?

1.	VVD	1791	85.2	88.8
2.	D66	208	9.9	10.3
3.	same number of seats	17	.8	.8
997.	DK	84	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.24 Political knowledge – composition of coalition

V0210 I/31e Is PvdA member of present coalition?

According to you, which party or parties are currently part of the cabinet?

Answers recoded to variables per party.

PvdA

0.	no	71	3.4	3.5
1.	yes	1944	92.5	96.5
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0211 I/31e Is CDA member of present coalition?

Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.

CDA

0.	no	1481	70.5	73.5
1.	yes	534	25.4	26.5
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0212 I/31e Is VVD member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 VVD

0.	no	226	10.8	11.2
1.	yes	1789	85.1	88.8
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0213 I/31e Is D66 member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 D66

0.	no	298	14.2	14.8
1.	yes	1717	81.7	85.2
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0214 I/31e Is GroenLinks member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 GroenLinks

0.	no	1892	90.1	93.9
1.	yes	123	5.9	6.1
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0215 I/31e Is SGP member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 SGP

0.	no	2009	95.6	99.7
1.	yes	6	.3	.3
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0216 I/31e Is GPV member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 GPV

0.	no	2007	95.5	99.6
1.	yes	8	.4	.4
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0217 I/31e Is RPF member of present coalition?
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 RPF

0.	no	2012	95.8	99.9
1.	yes	3	.1	.1
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0218 **I/31e Is CD member of present coalition?**
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 CD

0.	no	2006	95.5	99.6
1.	yes	9	.4	.4
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0219 **I/31e Is Unie 55+ member of present coalition?**
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 Unie 55+

0.	no	2013	95.8	99.9
1.	yes	2	.1	.1
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0220 **I/31e Is AOV member of present coalition?**
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 AOV

0.	no	2010	95.7	99.8
1.	yes	5	.2	.2
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0221 **I/31e Is SP member of present coalition?**
Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.
 SP

0.	no	2001	95.2	99.3
1.	yes	14	.7	.7
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0222 I/31e Is Seniorens 2000 member of present coalition?*Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.*

Seniorens 2000

0.	no	2006	95.5	99.6
1.	yes	9	.4	.4
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0223 I/31e Other party which is member of present coalition*Refer to V0210 for complete question wording.*

Name of other party currently part of cabinet

15.	KVP	1	.0	50.0
19.	CPN	1	.0	50.0
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
995.	no other party mentioned	2011	95.7	MD
997.	DK	85	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0224 I/index Correct perception composition present coalition q31e

Constructed from V0210 TO V0223. This variable is simply constructed by assigning the value 'correct' to all respondents correctly perceiving the coalition as a coalition of PvdA, VVD and D66 (and no other parties).

0.	not correct	768	36.6	36.6
1.	correct	1333	63.4	63.4
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.25 Coalition preference before elections

V0230 I/31f Is PvdA preferred in new government coalition?

After the elections for the Second Chamber, a new cabinet must be formed. In the Netherlands, a cabinet is mostly formed by different parties. According to you which parties should be part of the next cabinet?

If respondent only mentions one party, interviewer continues:

Are there perhaps parties which according to you should be part of this cabinet as well?

Answers recoded to variables per party.

PvdA

0.	no	231	11.0	11.8
1.	yes	1719	81.8	88.2
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0231 I/31f Is CDA preferred in new government coalition?

Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.

CDA

0.	no	1025	48.8	52.6
1.	yes	925	44.0	47.4
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0232 I/31f Is VVD preferred in new government coalition?

Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.

VVD

0.	no	694	33.0	35.6
1.	yes	1256	59.8	64.4
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0233 I/31f Is D66 preferred in new government coalition?

Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.

D66

0.	no	994	47.3	51.0
1.	yes	956	45.5	49.0
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0234 I/31f Is GroenLinks preferred in new government coalition?

Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.

GroenLinks

0.	no	1455	69.3	74.6
1.	yes	495	23.6	25.4
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0235 I/31f Is SGP preferred in new government coalition?

Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.

SGP

0.	no	1916	91.2	98.3
1.	yes	34	1.6	1.7
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0236 I/31f Is GPV preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 GPV

0.	no	1900	90.4	97.4
1.	yes	50	2.4	2.6
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0237 I/31f Is RPF preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 RPF

0.	no	1908	90.8	97.8
1.	yes	42	2.0	2.2
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0238 I/31f Is CD preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 CD

0.	no	1940	92.3	99.5
1.	yes	10	.5	.5
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0239 I/31f Is Unie 55+ preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 Unie 55+

0.	no	1945	92.6	99.7
1.	yes	5	.2	.3
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0240 I/31f Is AOV preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 AOV

0.	no	1922	91.5	98.6
1.	yes	28	1.3	1.4
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0241 I/31f Is SP preferred in new government coalition?
Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.
 SP

0.	no	1840	87.6	94.4
1.	yes	110	5.2	5.6
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0242 I/31f Is Senioren 2000 preferred in new government coalition?*Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.*

Senioren 2000

0.	no	1937	92.2	99.3
1.	yes	13	.6	.7
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0243 I/31f Other party preferred in new government coalition*Refer to V0230 for complete question wording.*

Name of other party preferred in cabinet

15.	KVP\RKSP	1	.0	10.0
19.	CPN	1	.0	10.0
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	10.0
65.	KPP	1	.0	10.0
71.	all parties	1	.0	10.0
72.	all parties except CD/CP	2	.1	20.0
73.	no parties. but capable men	2	.1	20.0
74.	new party	1	.0	10.0
994.	uncodable	7	.3	MD
995.	no other party mentioned	1933	92.0	MD
997.	DK	149	7.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0244

I/31g Does it matter which parties are member of the coalition?

Does it make much difference to you, a little, or no difference at all
which parties become part of the government?

1.	much	1250	59.5	59.9
2.	little	619	29.5	29.6
3.	not at all	219	10.4	10.5
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.26 Social and political goals

V0250 I/32a Goals 1.- securing a high-paying job

I now mention to you a number of personal and social goals. Would you please indicate for each of these how much attention we should pay to it in our society?

Showcard 17 presented, listing the response alternatives

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

Securing a high-paying job?

1.	much more attention	226	10.8	10.8
2.	more attention	1104	52.5	53.0
3.	no more no less attention	627	29.8	30.1
4.	less attention	119	5.7	5.7
5.	much less attention	7	.3	.3
997.	DK	18	.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0251 I/32b Goals 2.- working hard and saving for the future
 Working hard and saving for the future

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	114	5.4	5.5
2.	more attention	817	38.9	39.1
3.	no more no less attention	870	41.4	41.7
4.	less attention	268	12.8	12.8
5.	much less attention	19	.9	.9
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0252 I/32c Goals 3.- maintaining high economic growth
 Maintaining high economic growth

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	137	6.5	6.6
2.	more attention	930	44.3	44.5
3.	no more no less attention	841	40.0	40.3
4.	less attention	167	7.9	8.0
5.	much less attention	14	.7	.7
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0253 I/32d Goals 4.- personal freedom
 Personal freedom

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	208	9.9	10.0
2.	more attention	881	41.9	42.2
3.	no more no less attention	919	43.7	44.0
4.	less attention	76	3.6	3.6
5.	much less attention	6	.3	.3
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0254 I/32e Goals 5.- being open-minded to new ideas
 Being open-minded to new ideas

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	305	14.5	14.6
2.	more attention	1440	68.5	69.1
3.	no more no less attention	313	14.9	15.0
4.	less attention	25	1.2	1.2
5.	much less attention	1	.0	.0
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0255 I/32f Goals 6.- freedom of speech
 Freedom of speech

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	209	9.9	10.0
2.	more attention	817	38.9	39.1
3.	no more no less attention	1004	47.8	48.1
4.	less attention	52	2.5	2.5
5.	much less attention	7	.3	.3
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0256 I/32g Goals 7.- seeking personal fulfillment
 Seeking personal fulfillment

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	187	8.9	9.0
2.	more attention	1280	60.9	61.7
3.	no more no less attention	543	25.8	26.2
4.	less attention	59	2.8	2.8
5.	much less attention	6	.3	.3
997.	DK	26	1.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0257 I/32h Goals 8.- giving people more say in government decisions
 Giving people more say in government decisions

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	296	14.1	14.2
2.	more attention	1133	53.9	54.4
3.	no more no less attention	539	25.7	25.9
4.	less attention	106	5.0	5.1
5.	much less attention	7	.3	.3
997.	DK	19	.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0258 I/32i Goals 9.- increasing benefits for the disadvantaged
 Increasing benefits for the disadvantaged

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	344	16.4	16.5
2.	more attention	1153	54.9	55.4
3.	no more no less attention	456	21.7	21.9
4.	less attention	114	5.4	5.5
5.	much less attention	16	.8	.8
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0259 I/32j Goals 10.- improving environment and quality of life
 Improving environment and quality of life

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	563	26.8	26.9
2.	more attention	1115	53.1	53.2
3.	no more no less attention	348	16.6	16.6
4.	less attention	62	3.0	3.0
5.	much less attention	7	.3	.3
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0260

I/32k Goals 11.- active citizen participation in local politics

Active citizen participation in local politics

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	171	8.1	8.2
2.	more attention	1205	57.4	58.0
3.	no more no less attention	618	29.4	29.8
4.	less attention	75	3.6	3.6
5.	much less attention	8	.4	.4
997.	DK	24	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0261 I/32I Goals 12.- replacing parties by strong leaders
 Replacing parties by strong leaders

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	43	2.0	2.1
2.	more attention	260	12.4	12.7
3.	no more no less attention	411	19.6	20.1
4.	less attention	729	34.7	35.6
5.	much less attention	606	28.8	29.6
997.	DK	52	2.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0262 I/32m Goals 13.- preserving traditional morals and values
 Preserving traditional morals and values

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	332	15.8	15.9
2.	more attention	985	46.9	47.2
3.	no more no less attention	597	28.4	28.6
4.	less attention	148	7.0	7.1
5.	much less attention	24	1.1	1.2
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0263 I/32n Goals 14.- respect for authorities
 Respect for authorities

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	213	10.1	10.3
2.	more attention	922	43.9	44.4
3.	no more no less attention	721	34.3	34.7
4.	less attention	190	9.0	9.2
5.	much less attention	30	1.4	1.4
997.	DK	22	1.0	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0264 I/32o Goals 15.- providing for strong defense forces even in present situation

Providing for strong defense forces

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	46	2.2	2.2
2.	more attention	417	19.8	20.0
3.	no more no less attention	767	36.5	36.8
4.	less attention	688	32.7	33.0
5.	much less attention	164	7.8	7.9
997.	DK	19	.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0265 I/32p Goals 16.- limiting room for dissenters
 Limiting room for dissenters

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	25	1.2	1.2
2.	more attention	293	13.9	14.2
3.	no more no less attention	710	33.8	34.4
4.	less attention	721	34.3	34.9
5.	much less attention	316	15.0	15.3
997.	DK	32	1.5	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0266 I/32q Goals 17.- stimulating loyalty and patriotism
 Stimulating loyalty and patriotism

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0594 for the order in which questions were asked.

1.	much more attention	116	5.5	5.6
2.	more attention	640	30.5	30.8
3.	no more no less attention	898	42.7	43.2
4.	less attention	340	16.2	16.3
5.	much less attention	87	4.1	4.2
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.27 Political and cultural community

V0270 I/33a All having Dutch ancestors are entitled to Dutch nationality

There are several rules that can determine whether someone can become a Dutch citizen. Please indicate by means of a number from 1 to 10 to what extent you agree with the each statement. '1' means that you fully disagree with the statement; '10' means that you fully agree with the statement.

Showcard 18 presented, showing a horizontal line with 10 numbered positions. Position '1' is labeled 'fully disagrees'; position '10' is labeled 'fully agrees'.

All people with Dutch ancestors should have the right to become a Dutch citizen.

1.	fully disagree	140	6.7	6.7
2.	2	87	4.1	4.2
3.	3	137	6.5	6.6
4.	4	94	4.5	4.5
5.	5	226	10.8	10.8
6.	6	116	5.5	5.6
7.	7	164	7.8	7.9
8.	8	283	13.5	13.6
9.	9	162	7.7	7.8
10.	fully agree	675	32.1	32.4
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0271

I/33b All working & living in the Netherlands are entitled to Dutch nationality

All people who live and work in the Netherlands should have the right to become a Dutch citizen.

1.	fully disagree	191	9.1	9.1
2.	2	147	7.0	7.0
3.	3	214	10.2	10.2
4.	4	147	7.0	7.0
5.	5	263	12.5	12.6
6.	6	164	7.8	7.9
7.	7	215	10.2	10.3
8.	8	303	14.4	14.5
9.	9	128	6.1	6.1
10.	fully agree	316	15.0	15.1
997.	DK	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0272

I/33c Solidarity among inhabitants of country arises through the centuries

There are many factors that give people the feeling that they belong together. Next come two statements. Please indicate by means of a number between 1 and 10 again to what extent you agree with the following statements. '1' means that you fully disagree with the statement and '10' that you fully agree with the statement.

Showcard 18 used.

Solidarity between the inhabitants from a country originates through the centuries.

1.	fully disagree	59	2.8	2.9
2.	2	61	2.9	3.0
3.	3	134	6.4	6.5
4.	4	110	5.2	5.4
5.	5	300	14.3	14.6
6.	6	275	13.1	13.4
7.	7	366	17.4	17.8
8.	8	409	19.5	19.9
9.	9	115	5.5	5.6
10.	fully agree	226	10.8	11.0
997.	DK	45	2.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0273

I/33d Cultural differences within a country do not obstruct mutual solidarity

Cultural differences within a country do not obstruct mutual solidarity

1.	fully disagree	139	6.6	6.7
2.	2	181	8.6	8.8
3.	3	278	13.2	13.5
4.	4	193	9.2	9.3
5.	5	267	12.7	12.9
6.	6	193	9.2	9.3
7.	7	285	13.6	13.8
8.	8	296	14.1	14.3
9.	9	103	4.9	5.0
10.	fully agree	130	6.2	6.3
997.	DK	36	1.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.28 Composition of household – presence of persons

V0280 I/37 Number of household members

Now I would like to ask you some questions about yourself, your household and the members of your family. Including yourself, how many persons belong to your household?

Refer to 4.4.2 for more information about the construction of variables related to household characteristics.

1.	1	357	17.0	17.0
2.	2	748	35.6	35.6
3.	3	338	16.1	16.1
4.	4	430	20.5	20.5
5.	5	161	7.7	7.7
6.	6	47	2.2	2.2
7.	7	9	.4	.4
8.	8	7	.3	.3
9.	9	1	.0	.0
10.	10	3	.1	.1
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0281**I/37Composition of household**

Can you tell me the composition of the household you belong to?
Please include all persons living in this household, any resident persons too.

- What is the name of the first/second/etceteras person in the household?
- What is the relationship of [this person] to yourself?
- What is the sex of [this person]?
- What is the age of [this person]?

1.	single person household	357	17.0	17.0
2.	single person. others	215	10.2	10.2
3.	couple, no children	672	32.0	32.0
4.	couple, 1 child	263	12.5	12.5
5.	couple, 2 children	336	16.0	16.0
6.	couple, 3 children	118	5.6	5.6
7.	couple, 4 children	34	1.6	1.6
8.	couple, 5 or more children	12	.6	.6
9.	single parent, 1 child	39	1.9	1.9
10.	single parent, 2 children	15	.7	.7
11.	single parent, 3 children	6	.3	.3
12.	single parent, 4 children	2	.1	.1
14.	couple, 1 child, other(s)	8	.4	.4
15.	couple, 2 children, other(s)	5	.2	.2
16.	couple, 3 children, other(s)	1	.0	.0
19.	single parent, 1 child, other(s)	3	.1	.1
20.	single parent, 2 children, other(s)	2	.1	.1
22.	single parent, 4 children, other(s)	2	.1	.1
24.	couple, no children, other(s)	11	.5	.5
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0282**I/37 Is partner present in household?**

Refer to V0281 for complete question text

0.	no	641	30.5	30.5
1.	yes	1460	69.5	69.5
		2101	100.0	100.0

- V0283** I/37 Number of (foster-. step-)children in household
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- V0284** I/37 Number of (foster-. step-)parents (in law) in household
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- V0285** I/37 Number of (great)grandparents in household
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- V0286** I/37 Number of (step-)brothers and sisters (in law) in household
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- V0287** I/37 Number of residents/boarders/others in household
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

3.3.29 Composition of household – sex

V0288 I/37 Sex of respondent

Refer to V0281 for complete question text

1.	male	1022	48.6	48.6
2.	female	1079	51.4	51.4
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0289 I/37 Sex of partner

Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	male	734	34.9	50.3
2.	female	726	34.6	49.7
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0290 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)parent (in law) #1

Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	142	6.8	72.4
2.	female	54	2.6	27.6
999.	INAP	1905	90.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0291 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)parent (in law) #2

Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	30	1.4	18.8
2.	female	130	6.2	81.3
999.	INAP	1941	92.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0292 I/37 Sex of (great)grandparent #1
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	1	.0	20.0
2.	female	4	.2	80.0
999.	INAP	2096	99.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0293 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #1
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	444	21.1	52.5
2.	female	402	19.1	47.5
999.	INAP	1255	59.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0294 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #2
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	276	13.1	51.8
2.	female	257	12.2	48.2
999.	INAP	1568	74.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0295 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #3
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	95	4.5	54.3
2.	female	80	3.8	45.7
999.	INAP	1926	91.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0296 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #4
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	25	1.2	50.0
2.	female	25	1.2	50.0
999.	INAP	2051	97.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0297 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #5
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	9	.4	75.0
2.	female	3	.1	25.0
999.	INAP	2089	99.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0298 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #6
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

1.	male	3	.1	60.0
2.	female	2	.1	40.0
999.	INAP	2096	99.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0299 I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #7
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

2.	female	1	.0	100.0
999.	INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0300	I/37 Sex of (foster-. step-)child #8			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0301	I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #1			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	89	4.2	58.6
	2. female	63	3.0	41.4
	999. INAP	1949	92.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0302	I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #2			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	26	1.2	47.3
	2. female	29	1.4	52.7
	999. INAP	2046	97.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0303	I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #3			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	9	.4	64.3
	2. female	5	.2	35.7
	999. INAP	2087	99.3	
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	

V0304 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #4*Refer to V0281 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

1.	male	6	.3	85.7
2.	female	1	.0	14.3
999.	INAP	2094	99.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0305 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #5*Refer to V0281 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

1.	male	3	.1	75.0
2.	female	1	.0	25.0
999.	INAP	2097	99.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0306 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #6*Refer to V0281 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

1.	male	1	.0	50.0
2.	female	1	.0	50.0
999.	INAP	2099	99.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0307 I/37 Sex of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #7*Refer to V0281 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

1.	male	2	.1	100.0
999.	INAP	2099	99.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0308	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #1			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	18	.9	52.9
	2. female	16	.8	47.1
	999. INAP	2067	98.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0309	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #2			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	3	.1	33.3
	2. female	6	.3	66.7
	999. INAP	2092	99.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0310	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #3			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	1. male	2	.1	66.7
	2. female	1	.0	33.3
	999. INAP	2098	99.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0311	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #4			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0312	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #5			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0313	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #6			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0314	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #7			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0315	I/37 Sex of resident/boarder/other #8			
	<i>Refer to V0281 for complete question text</i>			
	<i>999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)</i>			
	2. female	1	.0	100.0
	999. INAP	2100	100.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.30 Composition of household – age

- V0316 I/37 Age of respondent**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- V0317 I/37 Age of partner**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)
- V0318 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)parent (in law) #1**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)
- V0319 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)parent (in law) #2**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)
- V0320 I/37 Age of (great)grandparent #1**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)
- V0321 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #1**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)
- V0322 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #2**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)
- V0323 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #3**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)

- V0324 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #4**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0325 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #5**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0326 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #6**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0327 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #7**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0328 I/37 Age of (foster-. step-)child #8**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0329 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #1**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0330 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #2**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0331 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #3**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

- V0332 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #4**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0333 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #5**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0334 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #6**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0335 I/37 Age of (step-)brother/sister (in law) #7**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0336 I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #1**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0337 I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #2**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0338 I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #3**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
- V0339 I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #4**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

- V0340** **I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #5**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
-
- V0341** **I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #6**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
-
- V0342** **I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #7**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*
-
- V0343** **I/37 Age of resident/boarder/other #8**
Refer to V0281 for complete question text
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories)*

3.3.31 Composition of household – household income

V0344 I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 1

Does one of these persons provide the largest contribution to the total income of the household? Which person/persons is/are that?

1.	respondent	1153	54.9	55.1
2.	partner	736	35.0	35.2
3.	(foster-. step-)parent (in law) #1	153	7.3	7.3
4.	(foster-. step-)parent (in law) #2	31	1.5	1.5
5.	(great)grandparent #1	2	.1	.1
6.	(step-)brother/sister (in law) #1	5	.2	.2
8.	(foster-. step-)child #1	1	.0	.0
9.	(foster-. step-)child #2	1	.0	.0
16.	resident. boarder. other #1	9	.4	.4
17.	resident. boarder. other #2	1	.0	.0
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0345 I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 2

Refer to V0344 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories; no other largest contributor to household income)

1.	respondent	100	4.8	45.0
2.	partner	93	4.4	41.9
4.	(foster-. step-)parent (in law) #2	8	.4	3.6
6.	(step-)brother/sister (in law) #1	6	.3	2.7
7.	(step-)brother/sister (in law) #2	1	.0	.5
8.	(foster-. step-)child #1	6	.3	2.7
16.	resident. boarder. other #1	7	.3	3.2
17.	resident. boarder. other #2	1	.0	.5
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1874	89.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0346 I/37f Largest contributor to household income - 3
Refer to V0344 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0281, relevant categories; no other largest contributor to household income)

1.	respondent	8	.4	42.1
2.	partner	1	.0	5.3
6.	(step-)brother/sister (in law) #1	2	.1	10.5
7.	(step-)brother/sister (in law) #2	2	.1	10.5
8.	(foster-. step-)child #1	3	.1	15.8
9.	(foster-. step-)child #2	1	.0	5.3
16.	resident. boarder. other #1	1	.0	5.3
17.	resident. boarder. other #2	1	.0	5.3
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	2077	98.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0347 I/37 Main breadwinner in household
Refer to V0344 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 997, 998)

1.	respondent	1047	49.8	50.0
2.	partner	644	30.7	30.8
3.	respondent and partner equally	181	8.6	8.7
4.	parent #1	138	6.6	6.6
5.	parent #2	30	1.4	1.4
6.	other situations	52	2.5	2.5
999.	INAP	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0348

I/51 Net annual income of respondents household

Showcard 24 presented, listing response alternatives (letter and income group).

This card shows some income levels. This is about the total net income of all family members together, after deduction of taxes and the like. Any extra income and the income of children should be included: child allowances, welfare payments, social security, and pensions also count as income.

Could you indicate which of the categories contains the annual net income of your household?

When respondent objects or hesitates, the interviewer emphasizes the importance of the question for scientific research, and guarantees that the information will remain absolutely secret.

1.	less than 17000	83	4.0	4.4
2.	17000 - 21000	86	4.1	4.6
3.	21000 - 24000	76	3.6	4.1
4.	24000 - 28000	82	3.9	4.4
5.	28000 - 31000	107	5.1	5.7
6.	31000 - 35000	125	5.9	6.7
7.	35000 - 38000	144	6.9	7.7
8.	38000 - 45000	225	10.7	12.0
9.	45000 - 52000	210	10.0	11.2
10.	52000 - 59000	184	8.8	9.8
11.	59000 - 73000	254	12.1	13.5
12.	73000 or more	300	14.3	16.0
997.	DK	155	7.4	MD
998.	NA	70	3.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.32 Background characteristics of respondent – social and economic variables

V0350 I/1b Date of birth of respondent (yyyymmdd)
 From 19070504 to 19800505 (2 missing cases)

V0351 I/1c Marital status of respondent
 Are you single, married, divorced, or are you a widow(er)?

1.	never married	604	28.7	28.8
2.	married	1268	60.4	60.4
3.	divorced	104	5.0	5.0
4.	widow(er)	124	5.9	5.9
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0352 I/38a Highest education (completed) of respondent

The next questions are about your own education.

Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives (refer to 4.2.5 below).

Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which you received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

1.	LA - elementary	288	13.7	13.7
2.	LB - extended elementary	42	2.0	2.0
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	365	17.4	17.4
4.	U - secondary	370	17.6	17.6
5.	UV - middle level vocational	122	5.8	5.8
6.	M - higher level secondary	228	10.9	10.9
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	225	10.7	10.7
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	245	11.7	11.7
9.	S - university level vocational	42	2.0	2.0
10.	H - university	171	8.1	8.2
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0353 I/38bc Education respondent started afterwards

Did you start education at a higher level afterwards?

Showcard 19 used. (refer to 4.2.5 below).

Could you indicate by means of this card which education that is? You can mention the number of the education.

0.	no (further) education	1435	68.3	69.0
1.	LA - elementary	2	.1	.1
2.	LB - extended elementary	10	.5	.5
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	48	2.3	2.3
4.	U - secondary	51	2.4	2.5
5.	UV - middle level vocational	71	3.4	3.4
6.	M - higher level secondary	43	2.0	2.1
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	134	6.4	6.4
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	147	7.0	7.1
9.	S - university level vocational	33	1.6	1.6
10.	H - university	107	5.1	5.1
997.	DK	19	.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

2101 100.0 100.0

V0354 I/39a Current occupational status of respondent
 The next questions are about your profession.
Showcard 20 presented, listing response alternatives.

Could you indicate by means of this card what your daily activities are or which situation applies to you?

1.	paid job	1266	60.3	60.3
2.	used to work	710	33.8	33.8
3.	has never worked	125	5.9	5.9
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0355 I/39aw Sector in which respondent is currently employed
 Are you working in the public service, on the payroll, self-employed, or how?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

1.	public service	248	11.8	19.6
2.	on the payroll	846	40.3	67.0
3.	self-employed	159	7.6	12.6
4.	ambiguous	9	.4	.7
5.	cooperating in familybusiness	1	.0	.1
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
999.	INAP	835	39.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0356**I/39ah Reason respondent does not work**

Is that because of permanent disability or incapacitation to work, unemployment, being job-seeking, retirement, living off investments, domestic work, care for children, or is there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

1.	disability to work	114	5.4	16.2
2.	job-seeking	47	2.2	6.7
3.	retired	285	13.6	40.5
4.	domestic work	222	10.6	31.5
5.	study	19	.9	2.7
6.	other	17	.8	2.4
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1391	66.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0357**I/39anw Reason respondent never worked**

Is that because of study, unemployment, being job-seeking, domestic work, care for children, disability, or is there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 2)

1.	study	74	3.5	59.7
2.	unemployment job-seeking	3	.1	2.4
3.	domestic word care for children	45	2.1	36.3
4.	disability	2	.1	1.6
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1976	94.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0358 I/39b Respondents current occupation (sbc92)

What is your profession or function?

99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

V0359 I/39b Respondents current occupation (u&s92)

Refer to V0358 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

V0360 I/39b Respondents current occupation (isei92)

Refer to V0358 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

V0361 I/39b Respondents current occupation (egp92)

Refer to V0358 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

1.	I - higher controller	214	10.2	17.0
2.	II - lower controller	400	19.0	31.8
3.	IIIa - skilled nonmanual	147	7.0	11.7
4.	IIIb - semi-skilled nonmanual	107	5.1	8.5
5.	IVa - self-employed with employee(s)	19	.9	1.5
6.	IVb - self-employed without employees	32	1.5	2.5
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	22	1.0	1.7
8.	V - manual supervisor	55	2.6	4.4
9.	VI - skilled manual	82	3.9	6.5
10.	VIIa - semi-skilled manual	170	8.1	13.5
11.	VIIb - farm labor	11	.5	.9
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	835	39.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0362 I/39b Respondents current occupation (isco88)

Refer to V0358 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for

additional information about the coding of this variable.

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3)

V0363

I/39ef Number of employees supervised by respondent

Do you supervise people in your profession or function?

How many persons do you supervise?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3; V0355, code 3)

0.	none	740	35.2	66.9
1.	1-4	178	8.5	16.1
2.	5-9	83	4.0	7.5
3.	10-19	55	2.6	5.0
4.	20-49	33	1.6	3.0
5.	50-99	11	.5	1.0
6.	100 or more	6	.3	.5
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	994.	47.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0364 I/39gh Number of persons employed by self-employed respondent

Do you employ people?
How many people do you employ?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2, 3; V0355, code 1, 2, 4, 5, 998)

0.	none	99	4.7	62.3
1.	1-4	36	1.7	22.6
2.	5-9	8	.4	5.0
3.	10-19	9	.4	5.7
4.	20-49	4	.2	2.5
5.	50-99	1	.0	.6
6.	100 or more	2	.1	1.3
999.	INAP	1942	92.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0365 I/39i Number of hours respondent works per week

How many hours do you work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2,3)

2.	1- 12 hours	117	5.6	9.3
3.	12-20 hours	132	6.3	10.5
4.	20-30 hours	115	5.5	9.2
5.	30 hours or more	892	42.5	71.0
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
999.	INAP	835	39.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0366**I/39j Reason why respondent is not full-time working**

You work less than 30 hours per week on average. Could you indicate by means of this card which situation applies to you? *Showcard 21 presented, listing response alternatives.*

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 2,3; V0365, codes 5, 997, 998)

1.	partial disability	15	.7	4.6
2.	unemployed. job-seeking	12	.6	3.7
3.	domestic work. care for family	223	10.6	68.8
4.	study	50	2.4	15.4
5.	volunteer	7	.3	2.2
6.	no other activities	15	.7	4.6
7.	(early) retirement	2	.1	.6
998.	NA	40	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1737	82.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0367 I/39k Respondents former occupation (sbc92)
 You used to have a paid job. In which function have you worked?
Showcard 21 used.
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of
 this variable
 99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

V0368 I/39k Respondents former occupation (u&s92)
 Refer to V0367 for complete question text
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of
 this variable
 99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

V0369 I/39k Respondents former occupation (isei92)
 Refer to V0367 for complete question text

 99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

V0370 I/39k Respondents former occupation (egp92)
 Refer to V0367 for complete question text
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of
 this variable
 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

1.	I - higher controller	36	1.7	78.3
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	10	.5	21.7
998.	NA	664	31.6	MD
999.	INAP	1391	66.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0371 I/39k Respondents former occupation (isco88)
 Refer to V0367 for complete question text
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of
 this variable
 9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

V0372 I/39mn Number of employees respondent supervised in former occupation

Did you supervise people in your profession or function?
How many persons did you supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

0.	none	444	21.1	63.0
1.	1-4	96	4.6	13.6
2.	5-9	65	3.1	9.2
3.	10-19	43	2.0	6.1
4.	20-49	33	1.6	4.7
5.	50-99	6	.3	.9
6.	100 or more	18	.9	2.6
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1391	66.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0373 I/39o Number of hours respondent worked per week

How many hours did you work on average per week?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

2.	1- 12 hours	19	.9	2.7
3.	12-20 hours	47	2.2	6.7
4.	20-30 hours	46	2.2	6.5
5.	30 hours or more	591	28.1	84.1
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1391	66.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0374 I/39p Former job respondent ended in which year?
 In which year did you have paid work most recently?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, codes 1, 3)

V0375 I/39q Respondent willing to work regularly?
 Would you like to have paid work regularly?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0354, code 1; V0356, codes 1,3; V0357, code 4)

1.	yes	164	7.8	35.3
2.	no	300	14.3	64.7
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1633	77.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.33 Background characteristics of respondent – religion

V0376 I/40a Type of belief in God

Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your religion or philosophy of life.

Showcard 22 presented, listing response alternatives:

- 1. *I do not believe in God*
- 2. *I do not know if there is a God, and I do not believe that there is a way of finding that out.*
- 3. *I do not believe in a God who occupies himself with each of us personally, but I do believe in one or another higher power.*
- 4. *Some times I believe in God, some times not.*
- 5. *I believe in God, even if I have my doubts*
- 6. *I believe without doubt that God really exists.*

Which of the following statements on this card most closely corresponds with your opinion about God?

1.	do not believe in God	286	13.6	13.7
2.	do not know if there is a God	230	10.9	11.0
3.	do believe a higher power	396	18.8	18.9
4.	On certain occasions I believe in God	186	8.9	8.9
5.	I believe in God although I have doubts	417	19.8	19.9
6.	I believe in God without any doubts	580	27.6	27.7
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0377

I/40b Religious denomination of respondent

Do you consider yourself a member of a particular church or religious community, and if so, which one?

1.	Roman Catholic	583	27.7	27.8
2.	Dutch Reformed	230	10.9	11.0
3.	Calvinist	143	6.8	6.8
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	38	1.8	1.8
5.	other Christian	62	3.0	3.0
6.	Islamic	20	1.0	1.0
7.	other religion	30	1.4	1.4
8.	no religion	992	47.2	47.3
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0378**I/40c Dutch Reformed denomination of respondent**

Do you consider yourself a member of a specific group within the Dutch Reformed Church, and if so, which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0377, codes 1, 3-998)

0.	no direction	107	5.1	47.6
1.	Vrijzinnige Richting	40	1.9	17.8
2.	Midden Orthodox	29	1.4	12.9
3.	Gereformeerde Bond	27	1.3	12.0
4.	Confessioneel	21	1.0	9.3
8.	other	1	.0	.4
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1871	89.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0379**I/40d Calvinist denomination of respondent**

Of which of the Reformed (Calvinist) churches, communities of denominations do you consider yourself to be a member?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0377, codes 1, 2, 4-998)

1.	Synodaal	87	4.1	61.3
2.	Vrijgemaakt (art.31)	18	.9	12.7
3.	Buiten Verband	6	.3	4.2
4.	Christelijk Gereformeerd	12	.6	8.5
5.	Gereformeerde Gemeenten	18	.9	12.7
6.	Oud Gereformeerd	1	.0	.7
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1958	93.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0380 I/40ba Other Christian denomination of respondent
Which?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0377, codes 1-4, 6-998)

1.	Apolistische beweging	9	.4	16.7
2.	Baptisten	6	.3	11.1
3.	Doopsgezind	5	.2	9.3
4.	Luthers	2	.1	3.7
5.	Remonstrantse broederschap	3	.1	5.6
6.	(Vrije) evangelische gemeente	18	.9	33.3
7.	Zevendedagsadventisten	1	.0	1.9
10.	Syrisch orthodox	1	.0	1.9
11.	Jehova Getuigen	5	.2	9.3
12.	Leger des Heils	1	.0	1.9
88.	Other	3	.1	5.6
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	2044	97.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0381 I/40ba Other religious denomination of respondent
Which?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0377, codes 1-6, 8-998)

1.	Joods	4	.2	16.0
2.	Hindoestaans	11	.5	44.0
5.	Humanisme	3	.1	12.0
7.	Boedhisme	4	.2	16.0
8.	other	3	.1	12.0
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	2071	98.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0382

I/40e Respondents attendance of religious services

How often do you attend religious services?

1.	at least once a week	289	13.8	13.8
2.	2 or 3 times a month	110	5.2	5.2
3.	once a month	132	6.3	6.3
4.	several times a year	453	21.6	21.6
5.	(almost) never	1116	53.1	53.1
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0385 I/40f Denomination in which respondent was raised - first answer

Were you brought up in a particular religion or denomination, and if so, which one?

1.	Roman Catholic	895	42.6	42.7
2.	Dutch Reformed	390	18.6	18.6
3.	Calvinist	227	10.8	10.8
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	10	.5	.5
5.	other Christian	68	3.2	3.2
6.	Islamic	19	.9	.9
7.	other religion	16	.8	.8
8.	no religion	471	22.4	22.5
994.	uncodable	4	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0386 I/40f Denomination in which respondent was raised - second answer

Refer to V0385 for complete question text

2.	Dutch Reformed	5	.2	41.7
3.	Calvinist	2	.1	16.7
5.	other Christian	2	.1	16.7
7.	other religion	2	.1	16.7
8.	no religion	1	.0	8.3
995.	no second answer	2084	99.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.		4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.34 Background characteristics of respondent – formative period

V0387 I/34a Discussed politics at home when adolescent

Now I would like to ask you a few questions about the period when you were 10 to 16 years old. At that time, were political topics discussed often, fairly often, not often, or not at all in your home?

1.	often	242	11.5	11.6
2.	fairly often	385	18.3	18.5
3.	not often	936	44.6	44.9
4.	not at all	523	24.9	25.1
997.	DK	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0388 I/34b Respondent had (no) father during adolescence
 Did you have a father in that period?
Foster father and stepfather are included as father.

1.	yes	1992	94.8	94.8
2.	no	109	5.2	5.2
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0389 I/34c Respondent had (no) mother during adolescence
 Did you have a mother in that period?
Foster mother and stepmother are included as mother.

1.	yes	2067	98.4	98.4
2.	no	33	1.6	1.6
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0390

I/35b Party preference of father during adolescence - first answer

Do you still know which party your father preferred then?
And which party was that?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0388, code 2)

1.	PVDA	524	24.9	32.8
2.	CDA	317	15.1	19.8
3.	VVD	169	8.0	10.6
4.	D66	33	1.6	2.1
5.	GroenLinks	11	.5	.7
6.	SGP	29	1.4	1.8
7.	GPV	21	1.0	1.3
8.	RPF	11	.5	.7
10.	Unie 55+	1	.0	.1
12.	SP	1	.0	.1
15.	KVP/RKSP	269	12.8	16.8
16.	CHU	79	3.8	4.9
17.	ARP	85	4.0	5.3
18.	SDAP	22	1.0	1.4
19.	CPN	15	.7	.9
20.	PPR	3	.1	.2
21.	PSP	2	.1	.1
22.	BoerenPartij	5	.2	.3
62.	NMP	1	.0	.1
992.	not enfranchised	7	.3	MD
994.	uncodable	5	.2	MD
997.	DK	350	16.7	MD
998.	NA	32	1.5	MD
999.	INAP	109	5.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0391 I/35b Party preference of father during adolescence - second answer

Refer to V0390 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0388, code 2)

1.	PVDA	3	.1	23.1
2.	CDA	1	.0	7.7
3.	VVD	5	.2	38.5
4.	D66	1	.0	7.7
7.	GPV	1	.0	7.7
15.	KVP/RKSP	1	.0	7.7
19.	CPN	1	.0	7.7
992.	not enfranchised	7	.3	MD
995.	no second party mentioned	1590	75.7	MD
997.	DK	350	16.7	MD
998.	NA	32	1.5	MD
999.	INAP	109	5.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0392

I/36b Party preference of mother during adolescence - first answer

And do you still know which party your mother preferred then?

And which party was that?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0389, code 2)

1.	PVDA	461	21.9	29.5
2.	CDA	323	15.4	20.7
3.	VVD	144	6.9	9.2
4.	D66	45	2.1	2.9
5.	GroenLinks	15	.7	1.0
6.	SGP	33	1.6	2.1
7.	GPV	22	1.0	1.4
8.	RPF	17	.8	1.1
11.	AOV	1	.0	.1
12.	SP	2	.1	.1
15.	KVP RKSP	292	13.9	18.7
16.	CHU	92	4.4	5.9
17.	ARP	87	4.1	5.6
18.	SDAP	11	.5	.7
19.	CPN	9	.4	.6
20.	PPR	4	.2	.3
21.	PSP	3	.1	.2
22.	BoerenPartij	1	.0	.1
992.	not enfranchised	8	.4	MD
994.	uncodable	6	.3	MD
997.	DK	452	21.5	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	34	1.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0393 I/36b Party preference of mother during adolescence - second answer

Refer to V0394 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0389, code 2)

1.	PVDA	2	.1	18.2
2.	CDA	1	.0	9.1
3.	VVD	3	.1	27.3
4.	D66	2	.1	18.2
7.	GPV	2	.1	18.2
15.	KVP/RKSP	1	.0	9.1
992.	not enfranchised	8	.4	MD
995.	no second party mentioned	1557	74.1	MD
997.	DK	452	21.5	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	34	1.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.35 Social class

V0394 I/41 Social class - self image

One sometimes speaks of the existence of various social classes and groups. If you were to assign yourself to a particular social class, which one would that be?

Showcard 23 presented, listing response alternatives.

1.	upper class	28	1.3	1.4
2.	upper middle class	331	15.8	16.1
3.	middle class	1173	55.8	57.0
4.	upper working class	148	7.0	7.2
5.	working class	379	18.0	18.4
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.36 Background characteristics of partner – social and economic variables

V0400 I/42a Highest education (completed) of partner

The next questions are about your partner's education.

Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives. (refer to 4.2.5 below). Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which your partner received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	LA - elementary	161	7.7	11.1
2.	LB - extended elementary	22	1.0	1.5
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	319	15.2	22.0
4.	U - secondary	246	11.7	17.0
5.	UV - middle level vocational	93	4.4	6.4
6.	M - higher level secondary	119	5.7	8.2
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	166	7.9	11.5
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	183	8.7	12.6
9.	S - university level vocational	24	1.1	1.7
10.	H - university	115	5.5	7.9
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0401

I/42bc Education partner started afterwards

Did your partner start education at a higher level afterwards?

Showcard 19 used. (refer to 4.2.5 below).

Could you indicate by means of this card which education that is?

You can mention the number of the education.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

0.	no (further) education	1119	53.3	77.7
1.	LA - elementary	1	.0	.1
2.	LB - extended elementary	1	.0	.1
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	28	1.3	1.9
4.	U - secondary	31	1.5	2.2
5.	UV - middle level vocational	40	1.9	2.8
6.	M - higher level secondary	21	1.0	1.5
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	70	3.3	4.9
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	72	3.4	5.0
9.	S - university level vocational	20	1.0	1.4
10.	H - university	37	1.8	2.6
997.	DK	19	.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0402**I/43a Current occupational status of partner**

The next questions are about your partner's profession.

Showcard 20 presented, listing response alternatives.

Could you indicate by means of this card what your partner's daily activities are or which situation applies to your partner?

Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	paid job	935	44.5	64.1
2.	used to work	468	22.3	32.1
3.	has never worked	55	2.6	3.8
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0403**I/43aw Sector in which partner is currently employed**

Is your partner working in the public service, on the payroll, self-employed, or how?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

1.	public service	178	8.5	19.1
2.	on the payroll	627	29.8	67.1
3.	self-employed	123	5.9	13.2
4.	ambiguous	3	.1	.3
5.	cooperating in familybusiness	3	.1	.3
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1166	55.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0404**I/43ah Reason partner does not work**

Is that because of permanent disability or incapacitation to work, unemployment, being job-seeking, retirement, living off investments, domestic work, care for children, or is there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; v0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

1.	disability to work	81	3.9	17.3
2.	job-seeking	20	1.0	4.3
3.	retired	154	7.3	33.0
4.	domestic work	192	9.1	41.1
5.	study	4	.2	.9
6.	other	16	.8	3.4
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1633	77.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0405**I/43anw Reason partner never worked**

Is that because of study, unemployment, being job-seeking, domestic work, care for children, disability, or is there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, code 1, 2, 997)

1.	study	3	.1	5.5
2.	unemployment job-seeking	3	.1	5.5
3.	domestic word care for children	46	2.2	83.6
4.	disability	2	.1	3.6
5.	other reason	1	.0	1.8
999.	INAP	2046	97.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0406 **I/43b Partners current occupation (sbc92)**
What is your partner's profession or function?
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

V0407 **I/43b Partners current occupation (u&s92)**
Refer to V0406 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

V0408 **I/43b Partners current occupation (isei92)**
Refer to V0406 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

V0409**I/43b Partners current occupation (egp92)**

Refer to V0406 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

1.	I - higher controller	166	7.9	21.6
2.	II - lower controller	197	9.4	25.6
3.	IIIa - skilled nonmanual	110	5.2	14.3
4.	IIIb - semi-skilled nonmanual	76	3.6	9.9
5.	IVa - self-employed with employee(s)	17	.8	2.2
6.	IVb - self-employed without employees	22	1.0	2.9
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	21	1.0	2.7
8.	V - manual supervisor	31	1.5	4.0
9.	VI - skilled manual	47	2.2	6.1
10.	VIIa - semi-skilled manual	69	3.3	9.0
11.	VIIb - farm labor	13	.6	1.7
998.	NA	166	7.9	MD
999.	INAP	1166	55.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0410**I/43b Partners current occupation (isco88)**

Refer to V0406 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

V0411

I/43ef Number of employees supervised by partner

Does your partner supervise people in his/her profession or function?
How many persons does your partner supervise?

*999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997;
V0403, codes 3, 998)*

0.	none	548	26.1	68.3
1.	1-4	107	5.1	13.3
2.	5-9	52	2.5	6.5
3.	10-19	51	2.4	6.4
4.	20-49	28	1.3	3.5
5.	50-99	8	.4	1.0
6.	100 or more	8	.4	1.0
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1290	61.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0412 I/43gh Number of persons employed by self-employed partner
 Does your partner employ people?
 How many people does your partner employ?

*999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997;
 V0403, code 1, 2, 4, 5, 998)*

0.	none	65	3.1	52.8
1.	1-4	35	1.7	28.5
2.	5-9	8	.4	6.5
3.	10-19	5	.2	4.1
4.	20-49	7	.3	5.7
5.	50-99	1	.0	.8
6.	100 or more	2	.1	1.6
999.	INAP	1978	94.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0413**I/43i Number of hours partner works per week**

How many hours does your partner work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997)

1.	0 hours	2	.1	.2
2.	1- 12 hours	46	2.2	4.9
3.	12- 20 hours	113	5.4	12.1
4.	20- 30 hours	87	4.1	9.3
5.	30 hours or more	683	32.5	73.4
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1166	55.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0414

I/43j Reason why partner is not full-time working

Showcard 21 presented, listing response alternatives.

Your partner works less than 30 hours per week on average. Could you indicate by means of this card which situation applies to your partner?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 2, 3, 997; V0413, code 5, 997, 998)

1.	partial disability	10	.5	4.7
2.	unemployed. job-seeking	5	.2	2.3
3.	domestic work. care for family	176	8.4	82.6
4.	study	5	.2	2.3
5.	volunteer	4	.2	1.9
6.	no other activities	9	.4	4.2
7.	(early) retirement	4	.2	1.9
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	34	1.6	MD
999.	INAP	1853	88.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0415 I/43k Partners former occupation (sbc92)
 Your partner used to have a paid job. In which function has your partner worked?
Showcard 21 used.
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

V0416 I/43k Partners former occupation (u&s92)
 Refer to V0415 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
 99999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

V0417 I/43k Partners former occupation (isei92)
 Refer to V0415 for complete question text
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

V0418 I/43k Partners former occupation (egp92)
 Refer to V0415 for complete question text
 999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

1.	I - higher controller	28	1.3	100.0
998.	NA	440	20.9	MD
999.	INAP	1633	77.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0419 I/43k Partners former occupation (isco88)
 Refer to V0415 for complete question text
 Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
 9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

V0420

I/43mn Number of employees partner supervised in former occupation

Did your partner supervise people in his/her profession or function?
How many persons did your partner supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

0.	none	318	15.1	69.4
1.	1-4	59	2.8	12.9
2.	5-9	19	.9	4.1
3.	10-19	22	1.0	4.8
4.	20-49	19	.9	4.1
5.	50-99	8	.4	1.7
6.	100 or more	13	.6	2.8
997.	DK	8	.4	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1633	77.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0421

I/43o Number of hours partner worked per week

How many hours did your partner work on average per week?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

2.	1- 12 hours	18	.9	3.9
3.	12-20 hours	41	2.0	8.9
4.	20-30 hours	29	1.4	6.3
5.	30 hours or more	371	17.7	80.8
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1633	77.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0422

I/43p Former job partner ended in which year?

In which year did your partner have paid work most recently?

9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 3, 997)

V0423

I/43q Partner willing to work regularly?

Would your partner like to have paid work regularly?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0402, codes 1, 997)

1.	yes	78	3.7	27.5
2.	no	206	9.8	72.5
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1815	86.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.37 Background characteristics of partner – religion

V0424 I/44a Religious denomination of partner

Does your partner consider him/herself a member of a particular church or religious community, and if so, which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	Roman Catholic	468	22.3	32.3
2.	Dutch Reformed	177	8.4	12.2
3.	Calvinist	109	5.2	7.5
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	16	.8	1.1
5.	other Christian	37	1.8	2.5
6.	Islamic	20	1.0	1.4
7.	other religion	16	.8	1.1
8.	no religion	608	28.9	41.9
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0425

I/44b 1. Dutch Reformed denomination of partner

Does your partner consider him/herself a member of a specific group within the Dutch Reformed Church, and if so, which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0424, codes 1, 3-998)

0.	no direction	89	4.2	51.4
1.	Vrijzinnige Richting	30	1.4	17.3
2.	Midden Orthodox	17	.8	9.8
3.	Gereformeerde Bond	19	.9	11.0
4.	Confessioneel	17	.8	9.8
8.	other	1	.0	.6
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1924	91.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0426 I/44b 2. Calvinist denomination of partner
Which denomination?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0424, codes 1, 2, 4-998)

1.	Synodaal	69	3.3	65.7
2.	Vrijgemaakt (art.31)	12	.6	11.4
3.	Buiten Verband	4	.2	3.8
4.	Christelijk Gereformeerd	6	.3	5.7
5.	Gereformeerde Gemeenten	14	.7	13.3
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1992.	94.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0427 I/44b 3. Other Christian denomination of partner
Which?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0424, codes 1-4, 6-998)

1.	Apolistische beweging	7	.3	20.0
2.	Baptisten	2	.1	5.7
3.	Doopsgezind	2	.1	5.7
4.	Luthers	3	.1	8.6
5.	Remonstrantse broederschap	1	.0	2.9
6.	(vrije) evangelische gemeente	14	.7	40.0
7.	Zevendedagsadventisten	1	.0	2.9
10.	Syrisch orthodox	1	.0	2.9
11.	Jehova Getuigen	2	.1	5.7
12.	Leger des Heils	1	.0	2.9
88.	Other	1	.0	2.9
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	2064	98.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0428 I/44b 4. Other religious denomination of partner
 Which denomination?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0; V0424, codes 1-6, 8-998

1.	Joods	1	.0	6.7
2.	Hindoestaans	7	.3	46.7
7.	Boedhisme	3	.1	20.0
8.	other	4	.2	26.7
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	2085	99.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0429

I/44d Partners attendance of religious services

How often does your partner attend religious services?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	at least once a week	189	9.0	13.0
2.	2 or 3 times a month	86	4.1	5.9
3.	once a month	101	4.8	6.9
4.	several times a year	291	13.9	20.0
5.	(almost) never	791	37.6	54.3
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0430

I/44e Denomination in which partner was raised - first answer

Was your partner brought up in a particular religion or denomination, and if so, which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	Roman Catholic	616	29.3	42.5
2.	Dutch Reformed	252	12.0	17.4
3.	Calvinist	166	7.9	11.4
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	7	.3	.5
5.	other Christian	36	1.7	2.5
6.	Islamic	20	1.0	1.4
7.	other religion	11	.5	.8
8.	no religion	343	16.3	23.6
994.	uncodable	3	.1	MD
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0431

I/44e Denomination in which partner was raised - second answer

Refer to V0430 for a complete wording of the question.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0282, code 0)

1.	Roman Catholic	1	.0	14.3
2.	Dutch Reformed	2	.1	28.6
3.	Calvinist	3	.1	42.9
5.	other Christian	1	.0	14.3
995.	no second answer	1447	68.9	MD
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	641	30.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.38 Background characteristics of head of household – social and economic variables

V0435 I/45a Highest education (completed) of head of household

The next questions are about the main breadwinner's education. *Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives. (refer to 4.2.5 below).*

Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which the main breadwinner received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2)

1.	LA - elementary	19	.9	10.4
2.	LB - extended elementary	1	.0	.5
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	43	2.0	23.6
4.	U - secondary	25	1.2	13.7
5.	UV - middle level vocational	13	.6	7.1
6.	M - higher level secondary	11	.5	6.0
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	24	1.1	13.2
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	28	1.3	15.4
9.	S - university level vocational	2	.1	1.1
10.	H - university	16	.8	8.8
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1905	90.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0436**I/45bc Education head of household started afterwards**

Did the main breadwinner start education at a higher level afterwards?

Could you indicate by means of this card which education that is?

You can mention the number of the education.

Showcard 19 used. (refer to 4.2.5 below).

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2)

0.	no (further) education	152	7.2	84.0
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	3	.1	1.7
4.	U - secondary	1	.0	.6
5.	UV - middle level vocational	2	.1	1.1
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	9	.4	5.0
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	7	.3	3.9
10.	H - university	7	.3	3.9
997.	DK	13	.6	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1905	90.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0437

I/46a Current occupational status of head of household

The next questions are about the main breadwinner's profession.

Showcard 20 presented, listing response alternatives.

Could you indicate by means of this card what the main breadwinner's daily activities are or which situation applies to the main breadwinner?

Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2)

1.	paid job	156	7.4	80.8
2.	used to work	37	1.8	19.2
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1905	90.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0438 I/46aw Sector in which head of household is currently employed
 Is the main breadwinner working in the public service, on the payroll, self-employed, or how?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)

1.	public service	29	1.4	18.6
2.	on the payroll	101	4.8	64.7
3.	self-employed	25	1.2	16.0
4.	ambiguous	1	.0	.6
999.	INAP	1945	92.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0439**I/46ah Reason head of household does not work**

Is that because of permanent disability or incapacitation to work, unemployment, being job-seeking, retirement, living off investments, domestic work, care for children, or is there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 3-998)

1.	disability to work	22	1.0	59.5
2.	job-seeking	6	.3	16.2
3.	retired	5	.2	13.5
4.	domestic work	4	.2	10.8
999.	INAP	2064	98.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

- V0440 I/46b Head of households current occupation (sbc92)**
What is the main breadwinner's profession or function?
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)
- V0441 I/46b Head of households current occupation (u&s92)**
Refer to V0440 for complete question text.
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)
- V0442 I/46b Head of households current occupation (isei92)**
Refer to V0440 for complete question text
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)

V0443**I/46b Head of households current occupation (egp92)***Refer to V0440 for complete question text**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)*

1.	I - higher controller	25	1.2	17.1
2.	II - lower controller	39	1.9	26.7
3.	IIIa - skilled nonmanual	15	.7	10.3
4.	IIIb - semi-skilled nonmanual	5	.2	3.4
5.	IVa - self-employed with employee(s)	3	.1	2.1
6.	IVb - self-employed without employees	5	.2	3.4
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	9	.4	6.2
8.	V - manual supervisor	18	.9	12.3
9.	VI - skilled manual	9	.4	6.2
10.	VIIa - semi-skilled manual	18	.9	12.3
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
999.	INAP	1945	92.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0444**I/46b Head of households current occupation (isco88)***Refer to V0440 for complete question text**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**9999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)*

V0445

I/46ef Number of employees supervised by head of household

Does the main breadwinner supervise people in his/her profession or function?

How many persons does the main breadwinner supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)

0.	none	69	3.3	57.5
1.	1-4	18	.9	15.0
2.	5-9	13	.6	10.8
3.	10-19	13	.6	10.8
4.	20-49	5	.2	4.2
5.	50-99	2	.1	1.7
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1970	93.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0446 I/46gh Number of persons employed by self-employed head of household

Does the main breadwinner employ people?

How many people does the main breadwinner employ?

0.	none	14	.7	56.0
1.	1-4	5	.2	20.0
2.	5-9	2	.1	8.0
3.	10-19	4	.2	16.0
999.	INAP	2076	98.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0447

I/46i Number of hours head of household works per week

How many hours does the main breadwinner work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998)

2.	1- 12 hours	2	.1	1.3
3.	12- 20 hours	1	.0	.7
4.	20- 30 hours	8	.4	5.2
5.	30 hours or more	142	6.8	92.8
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1945	92.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0448 I/46j Reason why head of household is not full-time working

Showcard 21 presented, listing response alternatives.

The main breadwinner works less than 30 hours per week on average. Could you indicate by means of this card which situation applies to the main breadwinner?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 2, 997, 998; V0447, codes 5, 997, 998)

1.	partial disability	3	.1	37.5
3.	domestic work. care for family	4	.2	50.0
7.	(early) retirement	1	.0	12.5
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
999.	INAP	2090	99.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0449 I/46k Head of households former occupation (sbc92)
The main breadwinner used to have a paid job. In which function has the main breadwinner worked?
Showcard 21 used.

Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

V0450 I/46k Head of households former occupation (u&s92)
Refer to V0449 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

V0451 I/46k Head of households former occupation (isei92)
Refer to V0449 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

V0452**I/46k Head of households former occupation (egp92)**

Refer to V0449 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

1.	I - higher controller	3	.1	100.0
998.	NA	34	1.6	MD
999.	INAP	2064	98.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0453**I/46k Head of households former occupation (isco88)**

Refer to V0449 for complete question text. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

V0454 I/46mn Number of employees supervised by head of household in former job

Did the main breadwinner supervise people in his/her profession or function?

How many persons did the main breadwinner supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

0.	none	23	1.1	67.6
1.	1-4	3	.1	8.8
2.	5-9	1	.0	2.9
3.	10-19	4	.2	11.8
4.	20-49	2	.1	5.9
5.	50-99	1	.0	2.9
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
999.	INAP	2064	98.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0455

I/46o Number of hours head of household worked per week

How many hours did the main breadwinner work on average per week?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

4.	20-30 hours	3	.1	9.1
5.	30 hours or more	30	1.4	90.9
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	2064	98.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0456

I/46p Former job head of household ended in which year?

In which year did the main breadwinner have paid work most recently?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998)

V0457

I/46q Head of household willing to have work regularly?

Would the main breadwinner like to have paid work regularly?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0344, codes 1, 2; V0345, codes 1, 2; V0346, codes 1,2; V0437, codes 1, 997, 998; V0439, codes 1,3)

1.	yes	7	.3	77.8
2.	no	2	.1	22.2
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	2091	99.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.39 Background characteristics of father – social and economic variables

V0460 I/47 Highest education (completed) of father

The next question is about your father's education when you were 10-16 years old.

Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives. (refer to 4.2.5 below). Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which your father received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

999 (inappropriate) routing. This routing is too complicated to be summarized like the other routings. If the father was deceased (V0388, code 2); or the father is the only largest contributor to the household income (father is the only Head of Household) (V0344, V0345, V0346 in relation to V0290 and V0291), than this question was not asked.

1.	LA – elementary	741	35.3	43.5
2.	LB - extended elementary	34	1.6	2.0
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	302	14.4	17.7
4.	U – secondary	184	8.8	10.8
5.	UV - middle level vocational	56	2.7	3.3
6.	M - higher level secondary	73	3.5	4.3
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	81	3.9	4.8
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	123	5.9	7.2
9.	S - university level vocational	31	1.5	1.8
10.	H – university	80	3.8	4.7
997.	DK	132	6.3	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
999.	INAP	258	12.3	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0461

I/48a Occupational status of father during adolescence

The next questions are about your father's profession when you were 10-16 years old.

Showcard 20 presented, listing response alternatives.

Could you indicate by means of this card what your father's daily activities were then or which situation applied to your father?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0460, code 999).

1.	paid job	1591	75.7	88.3
2.	used to work	204	9.7	11.3
3.	has never worked	6	.3	.3
997.	DK	5	0.2	MD
998.	NA	37	1.8	MD
999.	INAP	258	12.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0462

I/48aw Sector in which father was employed

Was your father working in the public service, on the payroll, self-employed, or how?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, code 2 – 999)

1.	public service	287	13.7	18.0
2.	on the payroll	865	41.2	54.4
3.	self-employed	434	20.7	27.3
4.	ambiguous	5	.2	.3
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	470	22.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0463**I/48ah Reason father did not work**

Was that because of permanent disability or incapacitation to work, unemployment, being job-seeking, retirement, living off investments, domestic work, care for children, or was there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 1, 3-999)

1.	disability to work	51	2.4	25.1
2.	job-seeking	11	.5	5.4
3.	retired	94	4.5	46.3
4.	domestic work	2	.1	1.0
5.	study	2	.1	1.0
88.	died	43	2.0	21.2
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1858	88.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0464**I/48anw Reason father had never worked**

Was that because of study, unemployment, being job-seeking, domestic work, care for children, disability, or was there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 1, 2, 996-999)

1.	study	1	.0	9.1
3.	domestic word care for children	1	.0	9.1
4.	disability	2	.1	18.2
5.	other reason	7	.3	63.6
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	2050	97.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

- V0465 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (sbc92)**
What was your father's profession or function?
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 2- 999)
- V0466 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (u&s92)**
Refer to V0465 for complete question text.
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 2- 999)
- V0467 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (isei92)**
Refer to V0465 for complete question text.
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 2- 999)

V0468**I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (egp92)***Refer to V0465 for complete question text.**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 2- 999)*

1.	I - higher controller	260	12.4	17.2
2.	II - lower controller	148	7.0	9.8
3.	IIIa - skilled nonmanual	80	3.8	5.3
4.	IIIb - semi-skilled nonmanual	42	2.0	2.8
5.	IVa - self-employed with employee(s)	116	5.5	7.7
6.	IVb - self-employed without employees	71	3.4	4.7
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	152	7.2	10.1
8.	V - manual supervisor	100	4.8	6.6
9.	VI - skilled manual	71	3.4	4.7
10.	VIIa - semi-skilled manual	411	19.6	27.2
11.	VIIb - farm labor	58	2.8	3.8
998.	NA	82	3.9	MD
999.	INAP	510	24.3	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0469**I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (isco88)***Refer to V0465 for complete question text.**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 2- 999)*

V0470

I/48ef Number of employees supervised by father

Did your father supervise people in his profession or function?

How many persons did your father supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, code 2-999, V0462, 3, 997- 998)

0.	none	697	33.2	64.2
1.	1-4	110	5.2	10.1
2.	5-9	86	4.1	7.9
3.	10-19	88	4.2	8.1
4.	20-49	69	3.3	6.4
5.	50-99	18	.9	1.7
6.	100 or more	18	.9	1.7
997.	DK	71	3.4	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	905	43.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0471 I/48gh Number of persons employed by self-employed father
 Did your father employ people?

How many people did your father employ?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, code 2-999, V0462, 1-2, 4-998)

0.	none	185	8.8	43.9
1.	1-4	142	6.8	33.7
2.	5-9	39	1.9	9.3
3.	10-19	26	1.2	6.2
4.	20-49	20	1.0	4.8
5.	50-99	6	.3	1.4
6.	100 or more	3	.1	.7
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	46	2.2	MD
999.	INAP	1629	77.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0472 I/48i Number of hours father spent on work during adolescence
 How many hours did your father work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0462, codes 2-999)

3.	12-20 hours	3	.1	.2
4.	20-30 hours	5	.2	.3
5.	30 hours or more	1506	71.7	99.5
997.	DK	70	3.3	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	478	22.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0473

I/48j Reason why father was not full-time working

Showcard 21 presented, listing response alternatives.

Your father worked less than 30 hours per week on average. Could you indicate by means of this card which situation applied to your father?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0461, codes 997-999; V0472, codes 5-999)

1.	partial disability	2	.1	50.0
2.	unemployed, job-seeking	1	.0	25.0
6.	no other activities	1	.0	25.0
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	2058	98.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.40 Background characteristics of mother – social and economic variables

V0480 I/v49 Highest education (completed) of mother

The next question is about your mother's education when you were 10-16 years old.

Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives. (Refer to 4.2.5 below).

Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which your mother received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

999 (inappropriate) routing. This routing is too complicated to be summarized like the other routings. If the mother was deceased (V0389, code 2); or the mother is the only largest contributor to the household income (mother is the only Head of Household)(V0344, V0345, V0346 in relation to V0290 and V0291), than this question was not asked.

1.	LA – elementary	902	42.9	46.6
2.	LB - extended elementary	35	1.7	1.8
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	423	20.1	21.8
4.	U – secondary	317	15.1	16.4
5.	UV - middle level vocational	39	1.9	2.0
6.	M - higher level secondary	66	3.1	3.4
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	41	2.0	2.1
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	85	4.0	4.4
9.	S - university level vocational	8	.4	.4
10.	H – university	21	1.0	1.1
997.	DK	109	5.2	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	51	2.4	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0481

I/50a Occupational status of mother during adolescence

The next questions are about your mother's profession when you were 10-16 years old.

Showcard 20 presented, listing response alternatives.

Could you indicate by means of this card what your mother's daily activities were then or which situation applied to your mother?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0480, code 999)

1.	paid job	523	24.9	26.4
2.	used to work	679	32.3	34.3
3.	has never worked	777	37.0	39.3
997.	DK	29	1.4	MD
998.	NA	42	2.0	MD
999.	INAP	51	2.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0482**I/50aw Sector in which mother was employed**

Was your mother working in the public service, on the payroll, self-employed, or how?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0480, code 999; V0481 codes 2-998)

1.	public service	87	4.1	16.7
2.	on the payroll	302	14.4	58.0
3.	self-employed	114	5.4	21.9
4.	ambiguous	3	.1	.6
5.	cooperating in familybusiness	15	.7	2.9
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1539	73.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0483**I/50ah Reason mother did not work**

Was that because of permanent disability or incapacitation to work, unemployment, being job-seeking, retirement, living off investments, domestic work, care for children, or was there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0480, code 999; V0481, code 1, 3-998)

1.	disability to work	14	.7	2.1
2.	job-seeking	1	.0	.1
3.	retired	34	1.6	5.0
4.	domestic work	606	28.8	89.6
5.	study	1	.0	.1
6.	other	5	.2	.7
88.	died	15	.7	2.2
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1383	65.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0484**I/50an Reason mother had never worked**

Was that because of study, unemployment, being job-seeking, domestic work, care for children, disability, or was there another reason?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0480, code 999; V0481, code 1, 2, 997-998)

2.	unemployment job-seeking	3	.1	.4
3.	domestic word care for children	763	36.3	98.7
4.	disability	7	.3	.9
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1285	61.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

- V0485 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (sbc92)**
What was your mother's profession or function?
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999)
- V0486 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (u&s92)**
Refer to V0485 for complete question text.
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999)
- V0487 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (isei92)**
Refer to V0485 for complete question text.
Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable
999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999)

V0488**I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (egp92)***Refer to V0485 for complete question text.**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999)*

1.	I - higher controller	28	1.3	5.5
2.	II - lower controller	69	3.3	13.6
3.	IIIa - skilled nonmanual	72	3.4	14.2
4.	IIIb - semi-skilled nonmanual	76	3.6	15.0
5.	IVa - self-employed with employee(s)	34	1.6	6.7
6.	IVb - self-employed without employees	50	2.4	9.9
7.	IVc - self-employed farmer	15	.7	3.0
8.	V - manual supervisor	3	.1	.6
9.	VI - skilled manual	11	.5	2.2
10.	VIIa - semi-skilled manual	137	6.5	27.1
11.	VIIb - farm labor	11	.5	2.2
997.	DK	777	37.0	MD
998.	NA	49	2.3	MD
999.	INAP	769	36.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0489**I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (isco88)***Refer to V0485 for complete question text.**Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999)*

V0490

I/50ef Number of persons supervised by mother

Did your mother supervise people in her profession or function?

How many persons did your mother supervise?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999; V0482, code 3)

0.	none	361	17.2	91.2
1.	1-4	14	.7	3.5
2.	5-9	12	.6	3.0
3.	10-19	5	.2	1.3
4.	20-49	4	.2	1.0
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
998.	NA	43	2.0	MD
999.	INAP	1655	78.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0491 I/50gh Number of persons employed by self-employed mother
 Did your mother employ people?

How many people did your mother employ?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2- 999; V0482, code 1-2, 997-999)

0.	none	71	3.4	62.3
1.	1-4	34	1.6	29.8
2.	5-9	5	.2	4.4
3.	10-19	2	.1	1.8
4.	20-49	2	.1	1.8
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1948	92.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0492

I/50i Number of hours mother spent on work during adolescence

How many hours did your mother work on average per week, not counting unpaid hours?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0481, codes 2-999)

2.	1- 12 hours	57	2.7	12.2
3.	12-20 hours	146	6.9	31.3
4.	20-30 hours	85	4.0	18.2
5.	30 hours or more	179	8.5	38.3
997.	DK	52	2.5	MD
998.	NA	39	1.9	MD
999.	INAP	1543	73.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0493

I/50j Reason why mother was not full-time working

Showcard 21 presented, listing response alternatives.

Your mother worked less than 30 hours per week on average. Could you indicate by means of this card which situation applied to your mother?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0492, codes 5-999)

1.	partial disability	2	.1	.8
3.	domestic work. Care for family	244	11.6	98.8
6.	no other activities	1	.0	.4
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	43	2.0	MD
999.	INAP	1810	86.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.41 Interview information

V0500 0/ Interviewer wave 1 identification number

Interviewer identification number ranges from 13001 to 99001 .

V0501 0/ Interviewer wave 1 characteristic - age

Information contained in this variable was collected by the fieldwork organization.

V0502 0/ Interviewer wave 1 characteristic - sex

Information contained in this variable was collected by the fieldwork organization.

1.	male	580	27.6	27.7
2.	female	1513	72.0	72.3
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0503 0/ Week of interview Wave 1

14	356	16.9	16.9
15	446	21.2	21.2
16	413	19.7	19.7
17	450	21.4	21.4
18	436	20.8	20.8
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	2101	100.0	100.0

V0504 0/ Date of interview Wave 1 (yyyymmdd)

V0505 0/ Duration of interview Wave 1 (mmm)

V0506

I/53a Any person present at first interview?

This question has been answered by the interviewer.

Were there any other people in the room during the interview besides the respondent?

1.	yes	701	33.4	33.4
2.	no	1400	66.6	66.6
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0507

I/53c Present at first interview - children under 6 years

This question has been answered by the interviewer.

Please indicate of this/these person(s) whether they followed the conversation completely or in part, that is: listened actively, whether they intervened in the interview, that is: offered an own opinion, corrected the respondent; whether they suggested answers; etceteras

Children under 6 years old?

0.	not present	1996	95.0	95.0
1.	did not listen	75	3.6	3.6
2.	did listen	18	.9	.9
3.	did participate	12	.6	.6
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0508

I/53d Present at first interview - children 6 years and older

Refer to V0507 for the question text.

Children older than 6 years?

0.	not present	2008	95.6	95.6
1.	did not listen	46	2.2	2.2
2.	did listen	44	2.1	2.1
3.	did participate	3	.1	.1
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0509 I/53e Present at first interview - spouse or partner
Refer to V0507 for the question text.
Husband, wife, or partner?

0.	not present	1633	77.7	77.7
1.	did not listen	175	8.3	8.3
2.	did listen	247	11.8	11.8
3.	did participate	46	2.2	2.2
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0510 I/53f Present at first interview - other relatives
Refer to V0507 for the question text.
Other relatives?

0.	not present	2015	95.9	95.9
1.	did not listen	45	2.1	2.1
2.	did listen	36	1.7	1.7
3.	did participate	5	.2	.2
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0511 I/53g Present at first interview - other adults
Refer to V0507 for the question text.
Other adults?

0.	not present	2033	96.8	96.8
1.	did not listen	29	1.4	1.4
2.	did listen	34	1.6	1.6
3.	did participate	5	.2	.2
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0512**I/54a Disturbing influences first interview - first coding***This question has been answered by the interviewer.**Were there any disturbing influences during the interview, such as a television set or radio that were on, other people arriving for a visit, etceteras?*

0.	no disturbing influences	1966	93.6	93.8
1.	visitors	10	.5	.5
2.	tv, radio, loud music, loud noise	33	1.6	1.6
3.	children	36	1.7	1.7
4.	telephone	9	.4	.4
5.	pets	12	.6	.6
6.	partner	6	.3	.3
7.	interview not at home	6	.3	.3
8.	attitude/condition respondent	7	.3	.3
9.	other member of household	4	.2	.2
10.	talking of other people present (not specified)	7	.3	.3
994.	uncodable	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0513**I/54b Disturbing influences first interview - second coding***Refer to V0512 for the question text.*

1.	visitors	3	.1	11.5
2.	tv, radio, loud music, loud noise	2	.1	7.7
3.	children	8	.4	30.8
4.	telephone	2	.1	7.7
5.	pets	1	.0	3.8
6.	partner	3	.1	11.5
8.	attitude/condition respondent	3	.1	11.5
10.	talking of other people present (not specified)	4	.2	15.4
995.	no second coding	2075	98.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0514**I/52a Willingness to participate in next wave**

Thank you very much for your cooperation. After the elections, ITS would like to talk with you again about some topics which will receive a lot of attention by then. If you don't object, someone will come along after May 6.

1.	does not mind	2009	95.6	95.9
2.	does mind	86	4.1	4.1
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0515**I/52b Not willing to participate - reason**

Could you indicate why you object?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0514, codes 1, 997)

1.	is not interested in the subject/politics	16	.8	18.6
2.	does not feel like it	2	.1	2.3
3.	has poor knowledge of the subject/politics	9	.4	10.5
4.	it takes too much time	26	1.2	30.2
5.	does not see the point of participating	4	.2	4.7
6.	is fed up with it	7	.3	8.1
7.	is not available (holiday. emigration etc.)	6	.3	7.0
8.	has been bothered once too often by interviews	2	.1	2.3
11.	was disappointed with the previous interview	1	.0	1.2
14.	too old/ill	2	.1	2.3
88.	other	10	.5	11.6
93.	uncodable	1	.0	1.2
999.	INAP	2015	95.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.3.42 Text variables first wave

- V0550 I/10 Most important national problem - first answer (text)**
Refer to V0045 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 10. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0045.
- V0551 I/10 Most important national problem - second answer (text)**
Refer to V0045 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 10. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0046.
- V0552 I/10 Most important national problem - third answer (text)**
Refer to V0045 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 10. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0047.
- V0553 I/10 Most important national problem - fourth answer (text)**
Refer to V0045 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 10. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0048.
- V0554 I/10 Most important national problem - fifth answer (text)**
Refer to V0045 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 10. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0049.
- V0555 I/27c Reason for not voting in most recent municipal elections (text)**
Refer to V0169 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 27c. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0169.
- V0556 I/30.1. 1. Photo A - other name (text)**
Refer to V0190 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.1.1.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0190.
- V0557 I/30.1. 2. Party – other (text)**
Refer to V0191 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.1.2.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0191.
- V0558 I/30.1. 3. Political function - other (text)**
Refer to V0192 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.1.3.. The coded version

of this answer can be found in variable V0192.

- V0559 I/30.2. 1. Photo B - other name (text)**
Refer to V0193 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.2.1.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0193.
- V0560 I/30.2. 2. Party - other (text)**
Refer to V0194 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.2.2.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0194.
- V0561 I/30.2. 3. Political function - other (text)**
Refer to V0195 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.2.3.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0195.
- V0562 I/30.3. 1. Photo C - other name (text)**
Refer to V0196 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.3.1.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0196.
- V0563 I/30.3. 2. Party - other (text)**
Refer to V0197 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.3.2.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0197.
- V0564 I/30.3. 3. Political function - other (text)**
Refer to V0198 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.3.3.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0198.
- V0565 I/30.4. 1. Photo D - other name (text)**
Refer to V0199 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.4.1.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0199.
- V0566 I/30.4. 2. Party - other (text)**
Refer to V0200 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.4.2.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0200.
- V0567 I/30.4. 3. Political function - other (text)**
Refer to V0201 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 30.4.3.. The coded version of this answer can be found in variable V0201.

- V0568 I/39b Respondents current occupation (text)**
Refer to V0358 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 39b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0358, V0359, V0360 V0361 and V0362. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0569 I/39cd Branch of industry of respondents current occupation (text)**
What kind of company are you working for?
What kind of company do you own?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 39cd. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0570 I/39k Respondents former occupation (text)**
Refer to V0367 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 39k. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0367, V0368, V0369 V0370 and V0371. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0571 I/39l Branch of industry of respondents former occupation (text)**
What kind of company did you work for?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 39l. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0572 I/43b Partners current occupation (text)**
Refer to V0406 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 43b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0406, V0407, V0408 V0409 and V0410. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0573 I/43cd Branch of industry of partners current occupation (text)**
What kind of company is your partner working for?
What kind of company does your partner own?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 43cd. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0574 I/43k Partners former occupation (text)**
Refer to V0415 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 43k. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0415, V0416, V0417 V0418 and V0419. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the

coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

- V0575 I/43I Branch of industry of partners former occupation (text)**
What kind of company did your partner work for?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 43I. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0576 I/46b Head of households current occupation (text)**
Refer to V0440 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 46b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0440, V0441, V0442 V0443 and V0444. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0577 I/46cd Branch of industry of head of households current occupation (text)**
What kind of company is the main breadwinner working for?
What kind of company does the main breadwinner own?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 46cd. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0578 I/46k Head of households former occupation (text)**
Refer to V0449 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 39b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0449, V0450, V0451 V0452 and V0453. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0579 I/46I Branch of industry head of households former occupation (text)**
What kind of company did the main breadwinner work for?
This string variable contains the original answer to question 46I. This datafile does not contain a coded version of this variable.
- V0580 I/48b Fathers occupation during adolescence (text)**
Refer to V0465 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 48b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0465, V0466, V0467 V0468 and V0469. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.
- V0582 I/50b Mothers occupation during adolescence (text)**
Refer to V0485 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 50b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0485, V0486, V0487 V0488 and V0489. Refer to 4.2.4 below for additional information about the

coding of this variable. This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

- V0584 I/52b Not willing to participate - reason (text)**
Refer to V0515 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 52b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0515.
- V0585 I/54b Disturbing influences first interview (text)**
Refer to V0512 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 54b. The coded version of this answer can be found in V0512 and V0513.

3.3.43 Order variables first wave

- V0590 I/16 Order of statements about (not) voting**
This order variable refers to V0085 to V0093. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0591 I/18 Order of questions about poll results**
This order variable refers to V0102 to V0105. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0592 I/28 Order of questions about party sympathy**
This order variable refers to V0170 to V0180 . Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0593 I/29 Order of questions about sympathy of politicians**
This order variable refers to V0181 to V0189 . Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0594 I/32 Order of statements about the goals society should aim at**
This order variable refers to V0250 to V0266 . Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.

3.4 Documentation of variables of the main study: second wave

3.4.1 Interest and activities in campaign

V0600 II/2 Read campaign news in newspaper?

I would like to begin with a question about the campaign preceding the election of the Second Chamber on May 6. If there was news about the election campaign in the newspaper, how often did you read such news?

Showcard 1 presented, listing response alternatives

1.	(nearly) always	203	9.7	11.2
2.	often	319	15.2	17.6
3.	now and then	754	35.9	41.6
4.	seldom or never	403	19.2	22.2
5.	does not read papers	135	6.4	7.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0601**II/3a Has seen electoral debates?**

In the week prior to the election, debates were held on television, in which the leaders of the large political parties participated. Did you watch one of these debates, entirely or for the greater part?

1.	yes, all of it	280	13.3	15.4
2.	yes, parts of it	683	32.5	37.7
3.	no, not at all	851	40.5	46.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0602**II/3b Has seen electoral debate on eve of elections?**

Did you watch the debate of the party leaders on the eve of the election, entirely or for the greater part?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V601, code 3).

1.	yes, all of it	326	15.5	33.9
2.	yes, parts of it	337	16.0	35.1
3.	no, not at all	298	14.2	31.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	851	40.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0603**II/3a Influenced by electoral debate on eve of elections?**

Did the debate of the party leaders affect your vote choice?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V601, code 3)

1.	yes	136	6.5	14.3
2.	no	812	38.6	85.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	851	40.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0604	II/4 Campaign activity - displayed window posters				
	During the election campaign all kinds of activities are undertaken.				
	We have here a list of such activities. Would you tell which of the following activities you have undertaken during the recent campaign?				
	<i>Showcard 2 presented, listing response alternatives</i>				
	Displayed window posters				
	0.	not mentioned	1759	83.7	97.0
	1.	mentioned	55	2.6	3.0
	996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0	100.0

V0605	II/4 Campaign activity - tried to convince others				
	<i>Refer to V0604 for complete question text</i>				
	Tried to convince others				
	0.	not mentioned	1528	72.7	84.2
	1.	mentioned	286	13.6	15.8
	996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0	100.0

V0606	II/4 Campaign activity - contributed money to party				
	<i>Refer to V0604 for complete question text</i>				
	Contributed money to party				
	0.	not mentioned	1784	84.9	98.3
	1.	mentioned	30	1.4	1.7
	996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0	100.0

V0607	II/4 Campaign activity - attended election rallies				
	<i>Refer to V0604 for complete question text</i>				
	Attended election rallies				
	0.	not mentioned	1795	85.4	99.0
	1.	mentioned	19	.9	1.0
	996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.2 Voting behavior Second Chamber 1998

V0610 II/5 Did (not) vote in 1998 parliamentary elections

Did you vote in the parliamentary election on May 6?

1.	yes	1647	78.4	90.8
2.	no	167	7.9	9.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0611 II/6 Party voted for in 1998 parliamentary elections

Which party did you vote for?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	PVDA	480	22.8	29.4
2.	CDA	288	13.7	17.7
3.	VVD	358	17.0	22.0
4.	D66	191	9.1	11.7
5.	GroenLinks	141	6.7	8.7
6.	SGP	14	.7	.9
7.	GPV	21	1.0	1.3
8.	RPF	39	1.9	2.4
9.	Centrumdemocraten	4	.2	.2
10.	Unie 55+	2	.1	.1
11.	AOV	4	.2	.2
12.	SP	72	3.4	4.4
23.	Senioren 2000	4	.2	.2
61.	Nederland Mobiel	4	.2	.2
63.	De Groenen	6	.3	.4
64.	Natuurwetpartij	1	.0	.1
66.	VIP	1	.0	.1
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
995.	abstention	4	.2	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0612 II/7 Party choice - first reason
 Why did you vote [*party mentioned by respondent*]?
 999 (*inappropriate*) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, codes 997-998)
 Refer to 4.2.3 for a description of the codes and the frequencies

V0613 II/7 Party choice - second reason
 Refer to V0612 for complete question text and to 4.2.3 for a description of the codes and the frequencies

V0614 II/7 Party choice - third reason
 Refer to V0612 for complete question text and to 4.2.3 for a description of the codes and the frequencies

V0615 II/7 Party choice - fourth reason
 Refer to V0612 for complete question text and to 4.2.3 for a description of the codes and the frequencies

V0616 II/8 Party choice - when decided
 When did you decide to vote for [*party mentioned by respondent*]?
 Was this on the day of the election, during the last days before the election, during the last weeks before the election, a few months before the election, or did you know even longer beforehand for which party you would vote?
 999 (*inappropriate*) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	election day	137	6.5	8.3
2.	last days	276	13.1	16.8
3.	last weeks	245	11.7	14.9
4.	last months	216	10.3	13.1
5.	much earlier	772	36.7	46.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0617

II/9a Did (not) cast preferential vote

Did you vote for the first candidate on the party list?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

1.	no preferential vote	1243	59.2	76.5
2.	preferential vote	382	18.2	23.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0618 II/9b Reason for preferential vote - first reason

Why did you vote for another candidate?

999 (inappropriate) routing (999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, codes 994, 995, 997, 998; V0617, codes 997, 998)

1.	first woman	97	4.6	27.5
2.	acquaintance	77	3.7	21.8
3.	knew candidate (tv radio newspaper)	3	.1	.8
5.	negative about 1st candidate (general)	23	1.1	6.5
6.	negative about 1st candidate (effectiveness)	4	.2	1.1
7.	negative about 1st candidate (sympathy)	12	.6	3.4
10.	policy (environment/animals)	4	.2	1.1
11.	policy (foreigners)	2	.1	.6
12.	policy (agriculture)	4	.2	1.1
13.	policy (3rd world)	1	.0	.3
14.	regional candidate	36	1.7	10.2
15.	same sector/ background	4	.2	1.1
16.	2nd/3rd woman	9	.4	2.5
17.	candidate trait (general)	20	1.0	5.7
18.	candidate trait (retrospective)	14	.7	4.0
19.	candidate trait (sympathy)	17	.8	4.8
20.	candidate trait (integrity)	2	.1	.6
21.	candidate trait (age)	3	.1	.8
23.	candidate trait (trust)	3	.1	.8
24.	last candidate on list	6	.3	1.7
25.	second person	2	.1	.6
26.	no reason	10	.5	2.8
994.	uncodable	26	1.2	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1432	68.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0619 II/9b Reason for preferential vote - second reason
Refer to V0618 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, codes 994, 995, 997, 998; V0617, codes 997, 998)

10.	policy (environment/animals)	1	.0	7.7
14.	regional candidate	1	.0	7.7
16.	2nd/3rd woman	4	.2	30.8
18.	candidate trait (retrospective)	2	.1	15.4
19.	candidate trait (sympathy)	1	.0	7.7
21.	candidate trait (age)	3	.1	23.1
22.	candidate trait (expertise)	1	.0	7.7
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
995.	no second coding	367	17.5	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	1432	68.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0620 II/9c Did respondent authorize someone to vote by proxy?
 Did you authorize someone to vote for you by proxy?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	yes	169	8.0	10.3
2.	no	1478	70.3	89.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0621 II/9d Person authorized to vote by proxy
 Whom?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0620, code 2)

1.	partner	98	4.7	60.9
2.	(step/grand) parent	23	1.1	14.3
3.	(step/grand) child	9	.4	5.6
4.	other member of household	5	.2	3.1
5.	neighbor	19	.9	11.8
6.	brother/sister	2	.1	1.2
7.	friend	5	.2	3.1
994.	uncodable	2	.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1645	78.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0622 II/9e Has been authorized by someone to vote by proxy
 Were you authorized by anyone to vote by proxy?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0620, code 1)

1.	yes	204	9.7	13.8
2.	no	1274	60.6	86.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	336	16.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0623 II/9f Person who authorized respondent to vote by proxy
 By who?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0620, code 1, V0622, code 2)

1.	partner	129	6.1	64.8
2.	(step/grand) parent	13	.6	6.5
3.	(step/grand) child	28	1.3	14.1
4.	other member of household	7	.3	3.5
5.	neighbor	18	.9	9.0
7.	friend	1	.0	.5
10.	other member of family	2	.1	1.0
11.	ex family/household member	1	.0	.5
994.	uncodable	3	.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1610	76.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0624 II/9g What time did you vote?
 At what time did you vote?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0620, code 1)

1.	between 8 and 9 a.m.	169	8.0	11.4
2.	between 9 and 12 a.m.	325	15.5	22.0
3.	between 12 and 2 p.m.	137	6.5	9.3
4.	between 2 and 6 p.m.	404	19.2	27.4
5.	between 6 and 7 p.m.	226	10.8	15.3
6.	between 7 and 8 p.m.	216	10.3	14.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	336	16.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0625

II/10a Previous voting behavior of respondent

You voted for [*party mentioned by respondent*]. Have you always voted for [*party mentioned by respondent*] or have you at times voted for [*another party/ a party*]?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	always this party	550	26.2	33.5
2.	sometimes other party	998	47.5	60.7
3.	not entitled to vote	68	3.2	4.1
4.	did not vote before	27	1.3	1.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0626

II/11 Party previously voted for - first answer

Which other party or parties have you voted for?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0625, codes 1,3,4, 997)

1.	PVDA	209	9.9	21.1
2.	CDA	217	10.3	21.9
3.	VVD	135	6.4	13.6
4.	D66	204	9.7	20.6
5.	GroenLinks	44	2.1	4.4
6.	SGP	8	.4	.8
7.	GPV	11	.5	1.1
8.	RPF	5	.2	.5
9.	Centrumdemocraten	6	.3	.6
10.	Unie 55+	3	.1	.3
11.	AOV	10	.5	1.0
12.	SP	22	1.0	2.2
13.	Centrumpartij	4	.2	.4
14.	EVP	2	.1	.2
15.	KVP/RKSP	29	1.4	2.9
16.	CHU	3	.1	.3
17.	ARP	16	.8	1.6
19.	CPN	15	.7	1.5
20.	PPR	13	.6	1.3
21.	PSP	20	1.0	2.0
22.	BoerenPartij	8	.4	.8
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	.1
24.	DS70	2	.1	.2
30.	local party	2	.1	.2
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.1
68.	NCPN	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	816	38.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0627 II/11 Party previously voted for - second answer
Refer to V0626 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0625, codes 1,3,4, 997)

1.	PVDA	49	2.3	17.7
2.	CDA	42	2.0	15.2
3.	VVD	25	1.2	9.0
4.	D66	52	2.5	18.8
5.	GroenLinks	25	1.2	9.0
6.	SGP	1	.0	.4
7.	GPV	4	.2	1.4
8.	RPF	3	.1	1.1
9.	Centrumdemocraten	2	.1	.7
10.	Unie 55+	2	.1	.7
11.	AOV	1	.0	.4
12.	SP	9	.4	3.2
13.	Centrumpartij	2	.1	.7
14.	EVP	1	.0	.4
15.	KVP\RKSP	9	.4	3.2
16.	CHU	4	.2	1.4
17.	ARP	2	.1	.7
19.	CPN	10	.5	3.6
20.	PPR	14	.7	5.1
21.	PSP	15	.7	5.4
22.	BoerenPartij	2	.1	.7
24.	DS70	1	.0	.4
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.4
64.	Natuurwetpartij	1	.0	.4
995.	abstention	714	34.0	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	816	38.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0628

II/11 Party previously voted for - third answer

Refer to V0626 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0625, codes 1,3,4, 997)

1.	PVDA	6	.3	13.6
2.	CDA	3	.1	6.8
3.	VVD	7	.3	15.9
4.	D66	4	.2	9.1
5.	GroenLinks	5	.2	11.4
7.	GPV	1	.0	2.3
12.	SP	1	.0	2.3
13.	Centrumpartij	1	.0	2.3
14.	EVP	3	.1	6.8
15.	KVP/RKSP	3	.1	6.8
17.	ARP	1	.0	2.3
19.	CPN	1	.0	2.3
21.	PSP	6	.3	13.6
22.	BoerenPartij	1	.0	2.3
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	2.3
995.	abstention	947	45.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	816	38.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0629 II/11 Party previously voted for - fourth answer
Refer to V0626 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0625, codes 1,3,4, 997)

1.	PVDA	1	.0	12.5
2.	CDA	1	.0	12.5
4.	D66	2	.1	25.0
5.	GroenLinks	3	.1	37.5
16.	CHU	1	.0	12.5
995.	abstention	983	46.8	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	816	38.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0630 II/11 Party previously voted for - fifth answer
Refer to V0626 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0625, codes 1,3,4, 997)

21.	PSP	2	.1	100.0
995.	abstention	989	47.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	816	38.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0631

II/12a Considered not to vote

Have you seriously considered not to vote in the parliamentary election of May 6?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	yes	90	4.3	5.5
2.	no	1557	74.1	94.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0632

II/12b Did hesitate about party choice

And have you seriously considered to vote for [*a different party than [party mentioned by respondent]/a party*] in the parliamentary election of May 6?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	yes	512	24.4	31.1
2.	no	1133	53.9	68.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	167	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0633

II/13 First party considered as alternative choice

Which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; v0632, code 2, 997)

1.	PVDA	112	5.3	22.5
2.	CDA	57	2.7	11.5
3.	VVD	73	3.5	14.7
4.	D66	77	3.7	15.5
5.	GroenLinks	117	5.6	23.5
6.	SGP	3	.1	.6
7.	GPV	5	.2	1.0
8.	RPF	7	.3	1.4
10.	Unie 55+	2	.1	.4
11.	AOV	1	.0	.2
12.	SP	37	1.8	7.4
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	.2
61.	Nederland Mobiel	2	.1	.4
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.2
65.	KPP	1	.0	.2
66.	VIP	1	.0	.2
993.	invalid	1	.0	MD
994.	uncodable	5	.2	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1302	62.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0634

II/13 Second party considered as alternative choice

Refer to V0633 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; v0632, code 2, 997

1.	PVDA	9	.4	12.7
2.	CDA	7	.3	9.9
3.	VVD	10	.5	14.1
4.	D66	13	.6	18.3
5.	GroenLinks	16	.8	22.5
7.	GPV	4	.2	5.6
12.	SP	11	.5	15.5
23.	Senioren 2000	1	.0	1.4
995.	no second party mentioned	432	20.6	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1302	62.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0635 II/13 Third party considered as alternative choice
Refer to V0633 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; v0632, code 2, 997)

3.	VVD	1	.0	16.7
4.	D66	2	.1	33.3
5.	GroenLinks	1	.0	16.7
7.	GPV	1	.0	16.7
8.	RPF	1	.0	16.7
995.	no second party mentioned	497	23.7	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1302	62.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0636 II/13 Fourth party considered as alternative choice
Refer to V0633 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; v0632, code 2, 997)

4.	D66	1	.0	100.0
995.	no second party mentioned	502	23.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1302	62.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0637 II/13 Fifth party considered as alternative choice
Refer to V0633 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; v0632, code 2, 997)

5.	GroenLinks	1	.0	100.0
995.	no second party mentioned	502	23.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	1302	62.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0638 II/14 Did not vote - first reason
 Why didn't you vote?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 1)

10.	physical/personal circumstances	2	.1	1.2
11.	handicapped/ill	16	.8	9.7
12.	family matters	2	.1	1.2
20.	absence (not in town. abroad)	6	.3	3.6
21.	no time	13	.6	7.9
22.	too late	6	.3	3.6
23.	had to work	7	.3	4.2
24.	vacation	10	.5	6.1
30.	no interest	18	.9	10.9
31.	does not care	4	.2	2.4
32.	forgot to vote	6	.3	3.6
41.	religious beliefs	3	.1	1.8
42.	principle	7	.3	4.2
50.	could not make a choice	17	.8	10.3
60.	protest	2	.1	1.2
61.	disagrees with parties	3	.1	1.8
62.	rejects party system	1	.0	.6
72.	politicians do not keep promises	1	.0	.6
73.	does not trust politicians	1	.0	.6
74.	it is no use to vote	18	.9	10.9
76.	politics is a mess	2	.1	1.2
81.	no political knowledge	10	.5	6.1
91.	did not receive summons	1	.0	.6
92.	lost summons	7	.3	4.2
101.	forgot to give proxy	1	.0	.6
102.	proxy did not vote	1	.0	.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1647	78.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0639

II/14 Did not vote - second reason

Refer to V0638 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 1)

12.	family matters	1	.0	2.4
13.	weather	1	.0	2.4
20.	absence (not in town. abroad)	1	.0	2.4
21.	no time	3	.1	7.1
22.	too late	2	.1	4.8
24.	vacation	1	.0	2.4
30.	no interest	2	.1	4.8
31.	does not care	2	.1	4.8
32.	forgot to vote	2	.1	4.8
50.	could not make a choice	6	.3	14.3
60.	protest	1	.0	2.4
61.	disagrees with parties	2	.1	4.8
62.	rejects party system	3	.1	7.1
72.	politicians do not keep promises	1	.0	2.4
73.	does not trust politicians	3	.1	7.1
74.	it is no use to vote	3	.1	7.1
75.	it does not yield to vote	3	.1	7.1
81.	no political knowledge	4	.2	9.5
101.	forgot to give proxy	1	.0	2.4
995.	no other reason mentioned	125	5.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	1647	78.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0640**II/14 Did not vote - third reason***Refer to V0638 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 1)*

21.	no time	1	.0	16.7
61.	disagrees with parties	1	.0	16.7
73.	does not trust politicians	1	.0	16.7
74.	it is no use to vote	3	.1	50.0
995.	no other reason mentioned	161	7.7	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	1647	78.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0641**II/15 Did not vote - when decided**

When did it become clear that you would not vote? Was that on the day of the election, during the last days before the election, the last weeks before the election, a few months beforehand or did you know even longer beforehand that you would not vote?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 1)

1.	election day	46	2.2	28.0
2.	last days	35	1.7	21.3
3.	last weeks	21	1.0	12.8
4.	last months	5	.2	3.0
5.	much earlier	57	2.7	34.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
999.	INAP	1647	78.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0642

II/16 Preferred party of non-voters

Supposed that you would in fact have voted, which party would you have voted for?

1.	PVDA	56	2.7	43.8
2.	CDA	17	.8	13.3
3.	VVD	30	1.4	23.4
4.	D66	7	.3	5.5
5.	GroenLinks	9	.4	7.0
6.	SGP	1	.0	.8
7.	GPV	1	.0	.8
8.	RPF	1	.0	.8
12.	SP	5	.2	3.9
66.	VIP	1	.0	.8
993.	invalid	1	.0	MD
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	32	1.5	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1647	78.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.3 Coalition preference after elections

V0650 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - D66

After the election, a new cabinet is formed. This card shows five parties. Could you tell which combination of two or more parties you yourself would prefer most to form the government?

Showcard 3 presented, showing the five largest parties

0.	not mentioned	753	35.8	42.9
1.	mentioned	1001	47.6	57.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0651 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - PvdA

Refer to V0650 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	189	9.0	10.8
1.	mentioned	1565	74.5	89.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0652 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - CDA

Refer to V0650 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1119	53.3	63.8
1.	mentioned	635	30.2	36.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0653 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - VVD
Refer to V0650 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	626	29.8	35.7
1.	mentioned	1128	53.7	64.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0654 II/17 Party to be included in coalition - GroenLinks
Refer to V0650 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1265	60.2	72.1
1.	mentioned	489	23.3	27.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.4 (Post)materialist values

V0655 II/18a (Post-)materialist priorities - first choice

This card lists several goals one could strive for. Suppose you would have to make a choice out of these, which goal would you find most desirable?

Showcard 4 presented, listing the four political goals

1.	maintaining order	804	38.3	44.7
2.	more say in politics	259	12.3	14.4
3.	fight rising prices	167	7.9	9.3
4.	freedom of speech	569	27.1	31.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0656 II/18b (Post-)materialist priorities - second choice

And which do you find desirable in the second place?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0655, code 997)

1.	maintaining order	518	24.7	29.2
2.	more say in politics	358	17.0	20.2
3.	fight rising prices	334	15.9	18.8
4.	freedom of speech	566	26.9	31.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	23	1.1	MD
999.	INAP	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0657 II/18c (Post-)materialist priorities - third choice
And after that?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0655, code 997; V0656, code 997)

1.	maintaining order	306	14.6	17.9
2.	more say in politics	543	25.8	31.8
3.	fight rising prices	501	23.8	29.3
4.	freedom of speech	359	17.1	21.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	67	3.2	MD
999.	INAP	38	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0658 II/18d (Post-)materialist priorities - fourth choice
And after that?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0655, code 997; V0656, code 997; V0657, code 997)

1.	maintaining order	141	6.7	8.3
2.	more say in politics	575	27.4	33.6
3.	fight rising prices	728	34.7	42.6
4.	freedom of speech	265	12.6	15.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
999.	INAP	105	5.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.5 Importance of issues

V0660 II/19a Importance - income differences

The Second Chamber deals with a variety of issues. Not everyone finds these issues equally important. I now mention to you a number of issues. Could you indicate for each of these issues how important or unimportant it is for you? Whether you agree with what the Second Chamber does, is not what matters here.

Showcard 5 presented, listing a horizontal line with 10 categories numbered 1 to 10, and one category 'Do not know'. Category 1 is labeled 'very unimportant' and category 10 is labeled 'very important'
 On this card, '1' means that you consider this topic to be very unimportant and '10' means that you consider this topic to be very important.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Income differences

1.	very unimportant	17	.8	.9
2.	2	18	.9	1.0
3.	3	30	1.4	1.7
4.	4	67	3.2	3.7
5.	5	175	8.3	9.7
6.	6	276	13.1	15.2
7.	7	474	22.6	26.2
8.	8	467	22.2	25.8
9.	9	153	7.3	8.5
10.	very important	133	6.3	7.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0661

II/19b Importance - integration ethnic minorities

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Integration of ethnic minorities

1.	very unimportant	30	1.4	1.7
2.	2	20	1.0	1.1
3.	3	45	2.1	2.5
4.	4	71	3.4	4.0
5.	5	193	9.2	10.8
6.	6	266	12.7	14.9
7.	7	428	20.4	23.9
8.	8	457	21.8	25.5
9.	9	159	7.6	8.9
10.	very important	121	5.8	6.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	24	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0662

II/19c Importance - nuclear plants

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Nuclear plants

1.	very unimportant	84	4.0	4.7
2.	2	72	3.4	4.0
3.	3	107	5.1	6.0
4.	4	127	6.0	7.1
5.	5	207	9.9	11.6
6.	6	288	13.7	16.1
7.	7	287	13.7	16.1
8.	8	324	15.4	18.2
9.	9	150	7.1	8.4
10.	very important	139	6.6	7.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	29	1.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0663

II/19d Importance - heavy traffic on highways

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Heavy traffic on the highways

1.	very unimportant	17	.8	.9
2.	2	30	1.4	1.7
3.	3	52	2.5	2.9
4.	4	78	3.7	4.3
5.	5	194	9.2	10.8
6.	6	306	14.6	17.0
7.	7	398	18.9	22.1
8.	8	438	20.8	24.3
9.	9	143	6.8	7.9
10.	very important	146	6.9	8.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0664

II/19e Importance - environmental pollution

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Environmental pollution

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.2
2.	2	6	.3	.3
3.	3	17	.8	.9
4.	4	23	1.1	1.3
5.	5	69	3.3	3.8
6.	6	164	7.8	9.1
7.	7	333	15.8	18.4
8.	8	577	27.5	31.9
9.	9	343	16.3	19.0
10.	very important	271	12.9	15.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0665

II/19f Importance - unemployment

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Unemployment

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.2
2.	2	3	.1	.2
3.	3	3	.1	.2
4.	4	9	.4	.5
5.	5	46	2.2	2.5
6.	6	125	5.9	6.9
7.	7	353	16.8	19.5
8.	8	670	31.9	37.1
9.	9	331	15.8	18.3
10.	very important	264	12.6	14.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0666

II/19g Importance - euthanasia

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Euthanasia

1.	very unimportant	29	1.4	1.6
2.	2	19	.9	1.1
3.	3	46	2.2	2.6
4.	4	53	2.5	2.9
5.	5	120	5.7	6.7
6.	6	260	12.4	14.5
7.	7	362	17.2	20.1
8.	8	445	21.2	24.7
9.	9	239	11.4	13.3
10.	very important	225	10.7	12.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0667

II/19h Importance - European Union

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

European Union

1.	very unimportant	51	2.4	2.9
2.	2	49	2.3	2.8
3.	3	61	2.9	3.5
4.	4	116	5.5	6.6
5.	5	242	11.5	13.7
6.	6	355	16.9	20.1
7.	7	385	18.3	21.8
8.	8	343	16.3	19.4
9.	9	108	5.1	6.1
10.	very important	54	2.6	3.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	50	2.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0668

II/19i Importance - financial deficit of the state

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Financial deficit of the state

1.	very unimportant	22	1.0	1.2
2.	2	15	.7	.8
3.	3	43	2.0	2.4
4.	4	58	2.8	3.3
5.	5	181	8.6	10.2
6.	6	320	15.2	17.9
7.	7	466	22.2	26.1
8.	8	400	19.0	22.4
9.	9	189	9.0	10.6
10.	very important	89	4.2	5.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	31	1.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0669

II/19j Importance - level social benefits

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Level of social benefits

3.	3	5	.2	.3
4.	4	21	1.0	1.2
5.	5	66	3.1	3.7
6.	6	206	9.8	11.4
7.	7	515	24.5	28.6
8.	8	580	27.6	32.2
9.	9	254	12.1	14.1
10.	very important	154	7.3	8.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0670

II/19k Importance - securing good provision for old age

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Securing a good provision for the old age

1.	very unimportant	1	.0	.1
2.	2	3	.1	.2
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	5	.2	.3
5.	5	33	1.6	1.8
6.	6	96	4.6	5.3
7.	7	258	12.3	14.3
8.	8	572	27.2	31.6
9.	9	418	19.9	23.1
10.	very important	422	20.1	23.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0671

II/19I Importance - misusing social benefits

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Misusing social benefits

1.	very unimportant	10	.5	.6
2.	2	14	.7	.8
3.	3	19	.9	1.1
4.	4	27	1.3	1.5
5.	5	71	3.4	3.9
6.	6	131	6.2	7.3
7.	7	299	14.2	16.6
8.	8	465	22.1	25.8
9.	9	349	16.6	19.4
10.	very important	418	19.9	23.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0672

II/19m Importance - seeking for asylum

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Refugees and asylum seekers

1.	very unimportant	27	1.3	1.5
2.	2	31	1.5	1.7
3.	3	42	2.0	2.3
4.	4	64	3.0	3.5
5.	5	150	7.1	8.3
6.	6	263	12.5	14.6
7.	7	425	20.2	23.6
8.	8	486	23.1	27.0
9.	9	197	9.4	10.9
10.	very important	118	5.6	6.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0673**II/19n Importance - crime**

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Crime

1.	very unimportant	1	.0	.1
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	9	.4	.5
5.	5	24	1.1	1.3
6.	6	55	2.6	3.0
7.	7	194	9.2	10.7
8.	8	517	24.6	28.6
9.	9	484	23.0	26.7
10.	very important	522	24.8	28.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0674

II/19o Importance - health care

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0915 for the order in which the questions were asked.

Health service

3.	3	3	.1	.2
4.	4	2	.1	.1
5.	5	9	.4	.5
6.	6	33	1.6	1.8
7.	7	148	7.0	8.2
8.	8	542	25.8	29.9
9.	9	526	25.0	29.0
10.	very important	550	26.2	30.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.6 Political parties importance of issues – unemployment

V0680 II/20a Importance unemployment - CDA

Showcard 5 used

Not all parties find every issue equally important. One party devotes special attention to crime prevention, while another party pays more attention to a cleaner environment. I would like to ask you how important or unimportant according to you several issues are for the various parties. When an issue is very unimportant for a party, you can indicate this with a '1'. When an issue is very important for a party, you can indicate that with a '10'.

The first issue is unemployment. How important or unimportant does CDA find this issue?

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
2.	2	4	.2	.3
3.	3	9	.4	.6
4.	4	19	.9	1.2
5.	5	70	3.3	4.5
6.	6	183	8.7	11.8
7.	7	610	29.0	39.2
8.	8	480	22.8	30.8
9.	9	133	6.3	8.5
10.	very important	46	2.2	3.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	258	12.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0681 II/20b Importance unemployment - PvdA
 And PVDA?

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	3	.1	.2
5.	5	17	.8	1.0
6.	6	48	2.3	2.9
7.	7	241	11.5	14.6
8.	8	666	31.7	40.4
9.	9	488	23.2	29.6
10.	very important	182	8.7	11.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	165	7.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0682 II/20c Importance unemployment - VVD
 And VVD?

1.	very unimportant	3	.1	.2
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	11	.5	.7
4.	4	28	1.3	1.7
5.	5	74	3.5	4.6
6.	6	273	13.0	17.0
7.	7	505	24.0	31.4
8.	8	499	23.8	31.1
9.	9	166	7.9	10.3
10.	very important	45	2.1	2.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	208	9.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0683 II/20d Importance unemployment - D66
 And D66?

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.3
3.	3	1	.0	.1
4.	4	8	.4	.5
5.	5	49	2.3	3.2
6.	6	192	9.1	12.6
7.	7	533	25.4	34.9
8.	8	551	26.2	36.1
9.	9	147	7.0	9.6
10.	very important	40	1.9	2.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	288	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0684 II/20e Importance unemployment - GroenLinks
 GroenLinks?

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.3
2.	2	4	.2	.3
3.	3	7	.3	.5
4.	4	19	.9	1.3
5.	5	61	2.9	4.1
6.	6	179	8.5	12.1
7.	7	393	18.7	26.6
8.	8	448	21.3	30.3
9.	9	282	13.4	19.1
10.	very important	80	3.8	5.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	337	16.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0685 II/20f Importance unemployment - GPV
GPV?**

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.6
2.	2	3	.1	.3
3.	3	6	.3	.7
4.	4	17	.8	1.9
5.	5	74	3.5	8.5
6.	6	193	9.2	22.1
7.	7	316	15.0	36.1
8.	8	206	9.8	23.5
9.	9	43	2.0	4.9
10.	very important	12	.6	1.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	939	44.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0686

II/20g Importance unemployment - party voted for (II/6)

And how important does [party respondent voted for if this is another party than those already mentioned] find this issue according to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

1.	very unimportant	1	.0	.1
3.	3	3	.1	.2
4.	4	5	.2	.3
5.	5	26	1.2	1.7
6.	6	91	4.3	6.0
7.	7	372	17.7	24.6
8.	8	581	27.7	38.5
9.	9	321	15.3	21.3
10.	very important	110	5.2	7.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	120	5.7	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.7 Political parties importance of issues – cleaner environment

V0687 II/21a Importance cleaner environment - CDA

And a cleaner environment? How important or unimportant does CDA find this issue?

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.3
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	7	.3	.5
4.	4	14	.7	.9
5.	5	100	4.8	6.5
6.	6	340	16.2	22.0
7.	7	629	29.9	40.7
8.	8	344	16.4	22.3
9.	9	74	3.5	4.8
10.	very important	30	1.4	1.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	270	12.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0688 II/21b Importance cleaner environment - PvdA
And PVDA?**

1.	very unimportant	3	.1	.2
4.	4	9	.4	.6
5.	5	40	1.9	2.5
6.	6	216	10.3	13.5
7.	7	587	27.9	36.8
8.	8	564	26.8	35.3
9.	9	138	6.6	8.6
10.	very important	40	1.9	2.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	217	10.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0689 II/21c Importance cleaner environment - VVD
 And VVD?

1.	very unimportant	8	.4	.5
2.	2	6	.3	.4
3.	3	19	.9	1.2
4.	4	63	3.0	4.0
5.	5	160	7.6	10.2
6.	6	441	21.0	28.3
7.	7	540	25.7	34.6
8.	8	250	11.9	16.0
9.	9	57	2.7	3.7
10.	very important	17	.8	1.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	253	12.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0690 II/21d Importance cleaner environment - D66
 And D66?

1.	very unimportant	3	.1	.2
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	13	.6	.9
5.	5	51	2.4	3.3
6.	6	182	8.7	11.9
7.	7	547	26.0	35.8
8.	8	547	26.0	35.8
9.	9	148	7.0	9.7
10.	very important	35	1.7	2.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	285	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0691

II/21e Importance cleaner environment - GroenLinks
GroenLinks?

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	4	.2	.2
4.	4	8	.4	.5
5.	5	15	.7	.9
6.	6	36	1.7	2.2
7.	7	111	5.3	6.9
8.	8	363	17.3	22.7
9.	9	601	28.6	37.5
10.	very important	461	21.9	28.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	212	10.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0692 II/21f Importance cleaner environment - GPV
GPV?**

1.	very unimportant	3	.1	.3
2.	2	9	.4	1.0
3.	3	6	.3	.7
4.	4	21	1.0	2.4
5.	5	82	3.9	9.3
6.	6	204	9.7	23.2
7.	7	343	16.3	39.0
8.	8	163	7.8	18.5
9.	9	34	1.6	3.9
10.	very important	14	.7	1.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	934	44.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0693

II/21g Importance environment - party voted for (II/6)

And how important does [party respondent voted for if this is another party than those already mentioned] find this issue according to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	6	.3	.4
5.	5	47	2.2	3.2
6.	6	173	8.2	11.6
7.	7	497	23.7	33.3
8.	8	494	23.5	33.1
9.	9	194	9.2	13.0
10.	very important	77	3.7	5.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	138	6.6	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.8 Political parties importance of issues – fighting crime

V0694 II/22a Importance fighting crime - CDA

And crime prevention? How important or unimportant does CDA find this issue?

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
2.	2	4	.2	.3
4.	4	7	.3	.5
5.	5	24	1.1	1.5
6.	6	109	5.2	7.0
7.	7	399	19.0	25.7
8.	8	613	29.2	39.4
9.	9	282	13.4	18.1
10.	very important	114	5.4	7.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	260	12.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0695 II/22b Importance fighting crime - PvdA
And PVDA?**

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.3
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	4	.2	.3
5.	5	33	1.6	2.1
6.	6	153	7.3	9.6
7.	7	494	23.5	31.1
8.	8	625	29.7	39.3
9.	9	198	9.4	12.5
10.	very important	76	3.6	4.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	224	10.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0696 II/22c Importance fighting crime - VVD
 And VVD?

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.3
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	1	.0	.1
4.	4	11	.5	.7
5.	5	34	1.6	2.2
6.	6	105	5.0	6.7
7.	7	339	16.1	21.5
8.	8	589	28.0	37.3
9.	9	358	17.0	22.7
10.	very important	135	6.4	8.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	236	11.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0697 II/22d Importance fighting crime - D66
 And D66?

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.3
2.	2	1	.0	.1
4.	4	10	.5	.7
5.	5	48	2.3	3.2
6.	6	182	8.7	12.1
7.	7	548	26.1	36.3
8.	8	530	25.2	35.1
9.	9	131	6.2	8.7
10.	very important	53	2.5	3.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	306	14.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0698 II/22e Importance fighting crime - GroenLinks
 GroenLinks?

1.	very unimportant	7	.3	.5
2.	2	5	.2	.3
3.	3	11	.5	.8
4.	4	26	1.2	1.8
5.	5	81	3.9	5.6
6.	6	236	11.2	16.3
7.	7	475	22.6	32.8
8.	8	403	19.2	27.8
9.	9	155	7.4	10.7
10.	very important	49	2.3	3.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	366	17.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0699 II/22f Importance fighting crime - GPV
GPV?**

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.4
2.	2	2	.1	.2
3.	3	4	.2	.4
4.	4	12	.6	1.3
5.	5	33	1.6	3.6
6.	6	103	4.9	11.2
7.	7	239	11.4	25.9
8.	8	311	14.8	33.7
9.	9	149	7.1	16.1
10.	very important	66	3.1	7.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	891	42.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0700

II/22g Importance fighting crime - party voted for (II/6)

And how important does [party respondent voted for if this is another party than those already mentioned] find this issue according to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	2	.1	.1
5.	5	19	.9	1.3
6.	6	74	3.5	5.0
7.	7	380	18.1	25.7
8.	8	597	28.4	40.4
9.	9	279	13.3	18.9
10.	very important	122	5.8	8.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	153	7.3	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.9 Political parties importance of issues – refugees

V0701 II/23a Importance refugees - CDA

And the problem of refugees? How important or unimportant does CDA find this issue?

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.3
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	7	.3	.5
4.	4	23	1.1	1.5
5.	5	103	4.9	6.8
6.	6	265	12.6	17.4
7.	7	579	27.6	38.1
8.	8	416	19.8	27.4
9.	9	94	4.5	6.2
10.	very important	28	1.3	1.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	292	13.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0702 II/23b Importance refugees - PvdA
 And PVDA?

2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	3	.1	.2
4.	4	13	.6	.8
5.	5	52	2.5	3.3
6.	6	159	7.6	10.0
7.	7	495	23.6	31.1
8.	8	600	28.6	37.7
9.	9	215	10.2	13.5
10.	very important	52	2.5	3.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	223	10.6	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0703 II/23c Importance refugees - VVD
 And VVD?

1.	very unimportant	7	.3	.4
2.	2	9	.4	.6
3.	3	31	1.5	2.0
4.	4	83	4.0	5.2
5.	5	160	7.6	10.1
6.	6	293	13.9	18.5
7.	7	290	13.8	18.3
8.	8	373	17.8	23.5
9.	9	250	11.9	15.8
10.	very important	90	4.3	5.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	227	10.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0704 II/23d Importance refugees - D66
 And D66?

1.	very unimportant	6	.3	.4
3.	3	7	.3	.5
4.	4	17	.8	1.1
5.	5	73	3.5	4.9
6.	6	270	12.9	18.1
7.	7	574	27.3	38.6
8.	8	429	20.4	28.8
9.	9	90	4.3	6.0
10.	very important	22	1.0	1.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	325	15.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0705 II/23e Importance refugees - GroenLinks
 GroenLinks?

1.	very unimportant	11	.5	.8
2.	2	6	.3	.4
3.	3	14	.7	1.0
4.	4	20	1.0	1.4
5.	5	83	4.0	5.7
6.	6	172	8.2	11.9
7.	7	362	17.2	25.1
8.	8	445	21.2	30.8
9.	9	240	11.4	16.6
10.	very important	92	4.4	6.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	368	17.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0706 II/23f Importance refugees - GPV
GPV?**

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.6
2.	2	6	.3	.7
3.	3	18	.9	2.0
4.	4	33	1.6	3.7
5.	5	94	4.5	10.6
6.	6	212	10.1	23.9
7.	7	279	13.3	31.5
8.	8	189	9.0	21.3
9.	9	35	1.7	3.9
10.	very important	16	.8	1.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	925	44.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0707

II/23g Importance refugees - party voted for (II/6)

And how important does [party respondent voted for if this is another party than those already mentioned] find this issue according to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.3
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	5	.2	.3
4.	4	18	.9	1.2
5.	5	44	2.1	3.0
6.	6	154	7.3	10.4
7.	7	409	19.5	27.7
8.	8	554	26.4	37.5
9.	9	226	10.8	15.3
10.	very important	63	3.0	4.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	150	7.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.10 Political parties importance of issues – health care

V0708 II/24a Importance health care - CDA

And health service? How important or unimportant does CDA find this issue?

1.	very unimportant	2	.1	.1
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	1	.0	.1
4.	4	12	.6	.8
5.	5	46	2.2	2.9
6.	6	124	5.9	7.9
7.	7	479	22.8	30.3
8.	8	608	28.9	38.5
9.	9	236	11.2	14.9
10.	very important	69	3.3	4.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	235	11.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0709 II/24b Importance health care - PvdA
 And PVDA?

1.	very unimportant	1	.0	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	3	.1	.2
5.	5	36	1.7	2.2
6.	6	112	5.3	6.9
7.	7	429	20.4	26.4
8.	8	682	32.5	42.0
9.	9	276	13.1	17.0
10.	very important	81	3.9	5.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	192	9.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0710 II/24c Importance health care - VVD
 And VVD?

1.	very unimportant	4	.2	.3
2.	2	1	.0	.1
3.	3	9	.4	.6
4.	4	27	1.3	1.7
5.	5	88	4.2	5.6
6.	6	297	14.1	18.9
7.	7	554	26.4	35.3
8.	8	436	20.8	27.8
9.	9	118	5.6	7.5
10.	very important	37	1.8	2.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	243	11.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0711 II/24d Importance health care - D66
 And D66?

1.	very unimportant	5	.2	.3
3.	3	4	.2	.3
4.	4	10	.5	.6
5.	5	41	2.0	2.6
6.	6	121	5.8	7.7
7.	7	350	16.7	22.4
8.	8	553	26.3	35.4
9.	9	351	16.7	22.5
10.	very important	128	6.1	8.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	251	11.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0712 II/24e Importance health care - GroenLinks
GroenLinks?

1.	very unimportant	6	.3	.4
2.	2	2	.1	.1
3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	15	.7	1.0
5.	5	54	2.6	3.7
6.	6	143	6.8	9.8
7.	7	398	18.9	27.2
8.	8	554	26.4	37.9
9.	9	231	11.0	15.8
10.	very important	56	2.7	3.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	353	16.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0713 II/24f Importance health care - GPV
GPV?**

1.	very unimportant	3	.1	.3
2.	2	2	.1	.2
3.	3	5	.2	.6
4.	4	14	.7	1.6
5.	5	54	2.6	6.0
6.	6	151	7.2	16.8
7.	7	295	14.0	32.8
8.	8	287	13.7	31.9
9.	9	67	3.2	7.5
10.	very important	21	1.0	2.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	915	43.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0714

II/24g Importance health care - party voted for (II/6)

And how important does [party respondent voted for if this is another party than those already mentioned] find this issue according to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2; V0611, code 994, 995, 997, 998)

3.	3	2	.1	.1
4.	4	7	.3	.5
5.	5	21	1.0	1.4
6.	6	72	3.4	4.8
7.	7	360	17.1	23.8
8.	8	647	30.8	42.8
9.	9	299	14.2	19.8
10.	very important	102	4.9	6.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	120	5.7	MD
999.	INAP	184	8.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.11 Social participation

V0720 II/25 How active: environmental organization

On this card several kinds of clubs and organizations are presented. Do these include organizations of which you are a member? You can name the number of the club or organization of which you are a member.

Showcard 6 presented, listing nine types of clubs and organizations

INT: ask for each club and the like, of which respondent is a member:

Are you very active, fairly active, or not so active?

Environment and nature conservation, such as Greenpeace, Natuurmonumenten

1.	very active	6	.3	.3
2.	reasonably active	45	2.1	2.5
3.	not active	551	26.2	30.4
4.	not member	1208	57.5	66.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0721**II/25 How active: traffic organization**

Traffic and transportation, such as ANWB, ENFB or the organization of travelers ROVER

1.	very active	2	.1	.1
2.	reasonably active	33	1.6	1.8
3.	not active	966	46.0	53.4
4.	not member	808	38.5	44.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0722**II/25 How active: housing organization**

Organizations of tenants or home-owners, such as Vereniging Eigen Huis

1.	very active	11	.5	.6
2.	reasonably active	26	1.2	1.4
3.	not active	317	15.1	17.5
4.	not member	1456	69.3	80.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0723**II/25 How active: third world or human rights**

Third world, human rights and peace, such as Terre des Hommes or Amnesty International

1.	very active	9	.4	.5
2.	reasonably active	58	2.8	3.2
3.	not active	232	11.0	12.8
4.	not member	1511	71.9	83.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0724**II/25 How active: union**

Trade unions, such as FNV or CNV

1.	very active	12	.6	.7
2.	reasonably active	48	2.3	2.7
3.	not active	380	18.1	21.0
4.	not member	1370	65.2	75.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0725 II/25 How active: professional organization
Professional organization

1.	very active	10	.5	.6
2.	reasonably active	37	1.8	2.0
3.	not active	131	6.2	7.2
4.	not member	1632	77.7	90.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0726 II/25 How active: neighborhood organization
Neighborhood or district organization

1.	very active	41	2.0	2.3
2.	reasonably active	104	5.0	5.7
3.	not active	156	7.4	8.6
4.	not member	1509	71.8	83.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0727 II/25 How active: womens organization
 Women's organizations or - unions

1.	very active	31	1.5	1.7
2.	reasonably active	39	1.9	2.2
3.	not active	56	2.7	3.1
4.	not member	1684	80.2	93.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0728 II/25 How active: sports organization
 Sporting clubs

1.	very active	226	10.8	12.5
2.	reasonably active	304	14.5	16.8
3.	not active	161	7.7	8.9
4.	not member	1119	53.3	61.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0729

II/25b Other members of household member of union?

Are other members of your household member of a trade union?

1.	yes	384	18.3	21.6
2.	no	1394	66.3	78.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	25	1.2	MD
998.	NA	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.12 Political (position) issues – social benefits

V0730 II/28a Social benefits - position of CDA

Some people and parties consider the social benefits to be too high. Others consider the social benefits to be too low. Of course, there are also people whose opinion lies somewhere in between. At the beginning of this line are people and parties who consider the social benefits to be too low (at number 1). At the end of the line are people and parties who consider the social benefits to be too high (at number 7).

Showcard 8 presented, listing a horizontal line with seven categories numbered 1 to 7 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'the social benefits are much too low' and category 7 is labeled 'the social benefits are much too high'.

Where would you place the CDA at this line?

1.	much too low	14	.7	.9
2.	2	48	2.3	3.2
3.	3	266	12.7	17.9
4.	4	608	28.9	40.9
5.	5	426	20.3	28.6
6.	6	104	5.0	7.0
7.	much too high	22	1.0	1.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	326	15.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0731

II/28b Social benefits - position of PvdA
And the PvdA?

1.	much too low	82	3.9	5.2
2.	2	312	14.9	19.6
3.	3	544	25.9	34.2
4.	4	272	12.9	17.1
5.	5	204	9.7	12.8
6.	6	139	6.6	8.7
7.	much too high	37	1.8	2.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	224	10.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0732 II/28c Social benefits - position of VVD
 And VVD?

1.	much too low	6	.3	.4
2.	2	30	1.4	1.9
3.	3	107	5.1	6.9
4.	4	319	15.2	20.6
5.	5	488	23.2	31.4
6.	6	468	22.3	30.2
7.	much too high	134	6.4	8.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	262	12.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0733 II/28d Social benefits - position of D66
 And D66?

1.	much too low	7	.3	.5
2.	2	80	3.8	5.5
3.	3	339	16.1	23.2
4.	4	604	28.7	41.4
5.	5	327	15.6	22.4
6.	6	85	4.0	5.8
7.	much too high	18	.9	1.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	354	16.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0734 II/28e Social benefits - position of GroenLinks
And GroenLinks?**

1.	much too low	141	6.7	10.0
2.	2	394	18.8	28.0
3.	3	343	16.3	24.4
4.	4	244	11.6	17.4
5.	5	138	6.6	9.8
6.	6	92	4.4	6.5
7.	much too high	54	2.6	3.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	408	19.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0735 II/28f Social benefits - position of GPV
And GPV?**

1.	much too low	9	.4	1.1
2.	2	28	1.3	3.4
3.	3	124	5.9	15.2
4.	4	343	16.3	42.0
5.	5	211	10.0	25.8
6.	6	89	4.2	10.9
7.	much too high	13	.6	1.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	997	47.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0736 II/28g Social benefits - position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself?

1.	much too low	114	5.4	6.6
2.	2	200	9.5	11.5
3.	3	360	17.1	20.8
4.	4	569	27.1	32.8
5.	5	296	14.1	17.1
6.	6	139	6.6	8.0
7.	much too high	56	2.7	3.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	80	3.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.13 Political (position) issues – nuclear plants

V0737 II/27a Nuclear plants - position of CDA

Now I would like to ask you some questions about political affairs that are regularly in the news. As you may know, some people fear that within the foreseeable future a shortage of energy will occur in the world. One means of supply this shortage is to build nuclear power plants. Some people therefore believe that the Netherlands should quickly increase the number of nuclear power plants. Others, on the other hand, consider the dangers too great and think that no nuclear power plants should be built at all.

At the beginning of this line are people and parties who think that additional nuclear plants should be built in the Netherlands (at number 1); at the end of this line are people and parties who think that no nuclear plants should be built at all (at number 7).

Showcard 7 presented, listing a horizontal line with seven categories numbered 1 to 7 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'additional nuclear plants should be built in the Netherlands' and category 7 is labeled 'no new nuclear plants should be built at all in the Netherlands'.

Where would you place the CDA on this line?

1.	more nuclear plants	10	.5	.9
2.	2	38	1.8	3.3
3.	3	207	9.9	18.1
4.	4	362	17.2	31.6
5.	5	300	14.3	26.2
6.	6	166	7.9	14.5
7.	no nuclear plants	62	3.0	5.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	669	31.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0738

II/27b Nuclear plants- position of PvdA
And the PVDA?

1.	more nuclear plants	9	.4	.7
2.	2	33	1.6	2.7
3.	3	107	5.1	8.6
4.	4	223	10.6	18.0
5.	5	358	17.0	28.9
6.	6	352	16.8	28.4
7.	no nuclear plants	157	7.5	12.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	575	27.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0739 II/27c Nuclear plants - position of VVD
 And the VVD?

1.	more nuclear plants	50	2.4	4.1
2.	2	224	10.7	18.4
3.	3	364	17.3	29.8
4.	4	232	11.0	19.0
5.	5	177	8.4	14.5
6.	6	125	5.9	10.2
7.	no nuclear plants	48	2.3	3.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	594	28.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0740 II/27d Nuclear plants - position of D66
And D66?**

1.	more nuclear plants	6	.3	.5
2.	2	31	1.5	2.6
3.	3	117	5.6	9.8
4.	4	308	14.7	25.7
5.	5	326	15.5	27.2
6.	6	282	13.4	23.5
7.	no nuclear plants	128	6.1	10.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	616	29.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0741 II/27e Nuclear plants - position of GroenLinks
And GroenLinks?**

1.	more nuclear plants	36	1.7	2.7
2.	2	30	1.4	2.3
3.	3	38	1.8	2.9
4.	4	44	2.1	3.3
5.	5	67	3.2	5.1
6.	6	271	12.9	20.5
7.	no nuclear plants	836	39.8	63.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	492	23.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0742 II/27f Nuclear plants - position of GPV
And GPV?**

1.	more nuclear plants	7	.3	1.0
2.	2	27	1.3	3.8
3.	3	102	4.9	14.3
4.	4	226	10.8	31.7
5.	5	176	8.4	24.7
6.	6	114	5.4	16.0
7.	no nuclear plants	61	2.9	8.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1099	52.3	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0743 II/27g Social benefits – position of respondent
 And where would you place yourself?

1.	much too low	43	2.0	2.5
2.	2	71	3.4	4.2
3.	3	137	6.5	8.1
4.	4	274	13.0	16.2
5.	5	223	10.6	13.2
6.	6	329	15.7	19.4
7.	much too high	616	29.3	36.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	121	5.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.14 Satisfaction with (Dutch) democracy

V0745 II/29a Satisfaction with democracy in the Netherlands

On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the Netherlands?

1.	very satisfied	234	11.1	13.0
2.	satisfied	1353	64.4	75.3
3.	not very satisfied	174	8.3	9.7
4.	not at all satisfied	35	1.7	1.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	18	.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0746 II/29b Fairness of elections in the Netherlands

In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last elections in the Netherlands, where would you place it on this scale of '1' to '5', where '1' means that the last election was conducted fairly and '5' means that the last election was conducted unfairly?

Showcard 9 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'elections were conducted fairly' and category 5 is labeled 'elections were conducted unfairly'.

1.	elections were fair	1270	60.4	70.9
2.	2	373	17.8	20.8
3.	3	114	5.4	6.4
4.	4	23	1.1	1.3
5.	elections unfair	11	.5	.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	23	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0747 II/29c Reason unfairness elections in the Netherlands

What exactly, do you believe, was unfair?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0746, codes 1-3, 997)

1.	campaign	4	.2	13.3
2.	not everyone votes	2	.1	6.7
3.	no trust in voting machines	3	.1	10.0
4.	counting of the votes	11	.5	36.7
5.	government composition. Losers in coalition	3	.1	10.0
6.	no trust (general)	5	.2	16.7
7.	political parties unfair	2	.1	6.7
994.	uncodable	3	.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	1780	84.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0748

II/29d Parties (not) interested in opinions of people

Some people say that political parties in the Netherlands care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in the Netherlands don't care what ordinary people think. On this card, '1' means that the parties care about what ordinary people think, and '5' means that the parties do not care what ordinary people think. Which number applies to you?

Showcard 10 presented listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'political parties care about what ordinary people think' and category 5 is labeled 'political parties do not care about what ordinary people think'.

1.	interested	156	7.4	8.7
2.	2	610	29.0	33.9
3.	3	630	30.0	35.0
4.	4	309	14.7	17.2
5.	not interested	95	4.5	5.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0749

II/29e Parties necessary for functioning of democracy

Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work. Others think that political parties are not needed in our country. On this card '1' means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and '5' means that political parties are not needed in the Netherlands). Which number applies to you?

Showcard 11 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'political parties are needed in our political system' and category 5 is labeled 'political parties are not needed in our political system'.

1.	necessary	1080	51.4	60.5
2.	2	525	25.0	29.4
3.	3	123	5.9	6.9
4.	4	41	2.0	2.3
5.	not necessary	17	.8	1.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	28	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0750

II/29f Evaluation of Dutch economy

What do you think of the general economic situation these days in the Netherlands? Do you think that it is very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad of very bad?

1.	very good	271	12.9	15.0
2.	good	1250	59.5	69.3
3.	not good / not bad	261	12.4	14.5
4.	bad	21	1.0	1.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0751

II/29ghi Dutch economy compared to 12 months ago

If you compare the present economic situation with that of twelve months ago, has this economic situation gotten better, stayed the same, or gotten worse?

Would you say much better or somewhat better?

Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?

1.	much improved	134	6.4	7.6
2.	improved	724	34.5	41.3
3.	remained the same	825	39.3	47.0
4.	worse	60	2.9	3.4
5.	much worse	11	.5	.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	60	2.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0752

II/29j Members of Dutch parliament are aware of opinions of ordinary people

Some people think that members of the Second Chamber are well aware of what ordinary people think. Others say that members of the Second Chamber do not know what ordinary people think. On this card '1' means that the members of the Second Chamber are well aware of what ordinary people think, and '5' means that the members of the Second Chamber do not know what ordinary people think. Which number applies to you?

Showcard 12 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'Members of the Second Chamber are well aware of what ordinary people think' and category 5 is labeled 'Members of the Second Chamber do not know what ordinary people think'.

1.	MPs well informed	70	3.3	3.9
2.	2	427	20.3	24.0
3.	3	676	32.2	37.9
4.	4	461	21.9	25.9
5.	MPs not well informed	148	7.0	8.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0753

II/29k Did you have contact with member of parliament?

Have you, during the past year, had any contact with a member of the Second Chamber?

1.	yes	98	4.7	5.4
2.	no	1715	81.6	94.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0754

II/29l How much does it matter which party one votes for?

Some people think that whatever one votes, does not make any difference to what happens. Others say that what one votes for, does make a difference to what happens. On this card, '1' means that whatever one votes does not make a difference, and '5' means that what one votes for does make a difference. Which number applies to you?

Showcard 13 presented listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'Whatever one votes, does not make a difference for what happens' and category 5 is labeled 'What one votes for, does make a difference for what happens'.

1.	does not matter	95	4.5	5.3
2.	2	178	8.5	9.9
3.	3	280	13.3	15.5
4.	4	679	32.3	37.6
5.	matters	575	27.4	31.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0755

II/29m How much does it matter who is in charge?

Some people think it does not make a difference who is in power. Others think that it does make a difference who is in power. On this card '1' means that it does not make a difference who is in power, and '5' means that it does make a difference who is in power. Which number applies to you?

Showcard 14 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'it does not make a difference who is in power' and category 5 is labeled 'it does make a difference who is in power'.

1.	does not matter	60	2.9	3.3
2.	2	94	4.5	5.2
3.	3	145	6.9	8.0
4.	4	623	29.7	34.5
5.	matters	882	42.0	48.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0756

II/29n Are people open about their political opinion?

When people are asked for their opinion on political matters, do you expect that most people in the Netherlands usually say what they really think or do you expect that they hide this? On this card '1' means that most people in the Netherlands usually say what they think about political matters, and '5' means that most people usually hide what they think about political matters. Which number applies to you?

Showcard 15 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 5 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'most people say what they think about political matters' and category 5 is labeled 'most people hide what they think about political matters'.

1.	say what they think	276	13.1	15.5
2.	2	573	27.3	32.1
3.	3	451	21.5	25.3
4.	4	361	17.2	20.2
5.	withhold opinion	125	5.9	7.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	28	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.15 Regional differences

V0757 II/30a Not enough attention paid to regional differences in composition parliament

I now read to you some statements about politicians and parties. Could you say for each statement whether you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree?

In the composition of the Second Chamber, too little attention is paid to regional differences in the Netherlands.

Showcard 16 presented, listing the response categories.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0917 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	103	4.9	6.0
2.	agree	781	37.2	45.8
3.	agree nor disagree	473	22.5	27.7
4.	disagree	331	15.8	19.4
5.	fully disagree	19	.9	1.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	107	5.1	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0758 II/30b Every region/city should have representative

Every region and large city should be represented by at least one member in the Second Chamber

Showcard 16 used.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0917 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	141	6.7	7.9
2.	agree	931	44.3	52.3
3.	agree nor disagree	270	12.9	15.2
4.	disagree	403	19.2	22.6
5.	fully disagree	36	1.7	2.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.16 Foreigners

V0759 II/31a Enfranchise foreigners in municipal elections

Some people think that foreigners who have lived in the Netherlands for considerable time, should be enfranchised. Others are of the opinion that only people with Dutch nationality should be enfranchised. Could you say of the following statement whether you agree completely, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or disagree completely?

Foreigners should be enfranchised for municipal elections.

Showcard 16 used.

INT.: If respondent says: 'but they already are', say: 'that is right, but do you think they should be enfranchised?'

1.	fully agree	172	8.2	9.5
2.	agree	890	42.4	49.3
3.	agree nor disagree	204	9.7	11.3
4.	disagree	434	20.7	24.0
5.	fully disagree	105	5.0	5.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0760

II/31b Enfranchise foreigners in parliamentary elections

Foreigners should be enfranchised for the elections of the Second Chamber.

Showcard 16 used.

1.	fully agree	120	5.7	6.7
2.	agree	733	34.9	40.7
3.	agree nor disagree	257	12.2	14.3
4.	disagree	551	26.2	30.6
5.	fully disagree	140	6.7	7.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.17 Faith in prospective premiers

V0765 II/32 Faith in Jaap de Hoop Scheffer as prime minister

I will now mention to you the name of a possible candidate for the position of prime minister, one at a time. Could you indicate how much faith you would have in this person as prime minister? You can mention the number that applies to the candidate. If you do not know the candidate, please do not hesitate to say so.

Jaap de Hoop Scheffer?

Showcard 17 presented, listing a horizontal line with five categories numbered 1 to 7 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'no faith at all' and category 5 is labeled 'very much faith'.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0918 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	no faith at all	171	8.1	10.6
2.	2	234	11.1	14.4
3.	3	357	17.0	22.0
4.	4	367	17.5	22.7
5.	5	334	15.9	20.6
6.	6	126	6.0	7.8
7.	a lot of faith	31	1.5	1.9
993.	person unknown	164	7.8	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0766 II/32 Faith in Wim Kok as prime minister
 Wim Kok?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0918 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	no faith at all	5	.2	.3
2.	2	21	1.0	1.2
3.	3	30	1.4	1.7
4.	4	87	4.1	4.8
5.	5	233	11.1	12.9
6.	6	706	33.6	39.2
7.	a lot of faith	718	34.2	39.9
993.	person unknown	4	.2	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0767 II/32 Faith in Frits Bolkestein as prime minister
 Frits Bolkestein?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0918 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	no faith at all	179	8.5	10.1
2.	2	196	9.3	11.1
3.	3	285	13.6	16.1
4.	4	326	15.5	18.4
5.	5	359	17.1	20.3
6.	6	316	15.0	17.9
7.	a lot of faith	106	5.0	6.0
993.	person unknown	30	1.4	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0768 II/32 Faith in Els Borst as prime minister
 Els Borst?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0918 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	no faith at all	126	6.0	7.2
2.	2	202	9.6	11.6
3.	3	327	15.6	18.8
4.	4	413	19.7	23.7
5.	5	408	19.4	23.5
6.	6	202	9.6	11.6
7.	a lot of faith	61	2.9	3.5
993.	person unknown	50	2.4	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	24	1.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.18 Left-right

V0770 II/33 Left-right self-rating (10 point scale)

It is often said of political beliefs that they are left or right. When you think of your own political beliefs, where would you place yourself on this line? Please tell the number that applies to you.

Showcard 18 presented, listing a horizontal line with ten categories numbered 1 to 10 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'left' and category 10 is labeled 'right'.

1.	left	43	2.0	2.5
2.	2	79	3.8	4.7
3.	3	224	10.7	13.3
4.	4	245	11.7	14.5
5.	5	270	12.9	16.0
6.	6	291	13.9	17.2
7.	7	284	13.5	16.8
8.	8	191	9.1	11.3
9.	9	36	1.7	2.1
10.	right	27	1.3	1.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	123	5.9	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0775

II/34a Left-right rating of PvdA (10 point scale)

It is also said of political parties that they are left or right. Would you please indicate the degree to which you think that a party is left or right?

The PVDA?

Showcard 18 used.

1.	left	89	4.2	5.5
2.	2	154	7.3	9.4
3.	3	313	14.9	19.2
4.	4	431	20.5	26.4
5.	5	299	14.2	18.3
6.	6	168	8.0	10.3
7.	7	97	4.6	5.9
8.	8	55	2.6	3.4
9.	9	12	.6	.7
10.	right	15	.7	.9
993.	party unknown	4	.2	MD
994.	could not position party	123	5.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	53	2.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0776 II/34b Left-right rating of VVD (10 point scale)
 And the VVD?

1.	left	7	.3	.4
2.	2	22	1.0	1.4
3.	3	40	1.9	2.5
4.	4	65	3.1	4.0
5.	5	135	6.4	8.3
6.	6	187	8.9	11.6
7.	7	308	14.7	19.0
8.	8	530	25.2	32.8
9.	9	241	11.5	14.9
10.	right	82	3.9	5.1
993.	party unknown	4	.2	MD
994.	could not position party	136	6.5	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	56	2.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0777 II/34c Left-right rating of D66 (10 point scale)
 And D66?

1.	left	15	.7	1.0
2.	2	66	3.1	4.2
3.	3	148	7.0	9.4
4.	4	288	13.7	18.3
5.	5	422	20.1	26.8
6.	6	408	19.4	25.9
7.	7	169	8.0	10.7
8.	8	44	2.1	2.8
9.	9	12	.6	.8
10.	right	4	.2	.3
993.	party unknown	4	.2	MD
994.	could not position party	171	8.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	62	3.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0778 II/34d Left-right rating of GroenLinks(10 point scale)
And GroenLinks**

1.	left	283	13.5	17.6
2.	2	525	25.0	32.7
3.	3	395	18.8	24.6
4.	4	187	8.9	11.6
5.	5	101	4.8	6.3
6.	6	54	2.6	3.4
7.	7	38	1.8	2.4
8.	8	17	.8	1.1
9.	9	4	.2	.2
10.	right	3	.1	.2
993.	party unknown	4	.2	MD
994.	could not position party	145	6.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	57	2.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0779 II/34e Left-right rating of CDA (10 point scale)
 And the CDA?

1.	left	7	.3	.4
2.	2	22	1.0	1.4
3.	3	50	2.4	3.1
4.	4	116	5.5	7.2
5.	5	286	13.6	17.7
6.	6	422	20.1	26.1
7.	7	387	18.4	24.0
8.	8	231	11.0	14.3
9.	9	65	3.1	4.0
10.	right	29	1.4	1.8
993.	party unknown	3	.1	MD
994.	could not position party	143	6.8	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	52	2.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0780 II/34f Left-right rating of SGP (10 point scale)
 And the SGP?

1.	left	11	.5	1.0
2.	2	27	1.3	2.4
3.	3	35	1.7	3.2
4.	4	54	2.6	4.9
5.	5	73	3.5	6.6
6.	6	106	5.0	9.6
7.	7	182	8.7	16.4
8.	8	265	12.6	23.9
9.	9	252	12.0	22.7
10.	right	103	4.9	9.3
993.	party unknown	187	8.9	MD
994.	could not position party	411	19.6	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	107	5.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0781 II/34g Left-right rating of GPV (10 point scale)
 And the GPV?

1.	left	7	.3	.6
2.	2	7	.3	.6
3.	3	33	1.6	3.0
4.	4	38	1.8	3.4
5.	5	97	4.6	8.8
6.	6	121	5.8	11.0
7.	7	198	9.4	18.0
8.	8	269	12.8	24.4
9.	9	241	11.5	21.9
10.	right	91	4.3	8.3
993.	party unknown	162	7.7	MD
994.	could not position party	437	20.8	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	112	5.3	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0782 II/34h Left-right rating of RPF (10 point scale)
 And the RPF?

1.	left	12	.6	1.2
2.	2	10	.5	1.0
3.	3	30	1.4	3.0
4.	4	37	1.8	3.7
5.	5	74	3.5	7.3
6.	6	100	4.8	9.9
7.	7	195	9.3	19.3
8.	8	282	13.4	27.9
9.	9	200	9.5	19.8
10.	right	71	3.4	7.0
993.	party unknown	211	10.0	MD
994.	could not position party	469	22.3	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	122	5.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0783

II/34i Left-right rating of Centrumdemocraten (10 point scale)

And the Centrumdemocraten?

1.	left	159	7.6	11.3
2.	2	26	1.2	1.9
3.	3	28	1.3	2.0
4.	4	21	1.0	1.5
5.	5	27	1.3	1.9
6.	6	21	1.0	1.5
7.	7	25	1.2	1.8
8.	8	40	1.9	2.9
9.	9	120	5.7	8.6
10.	right	934	44.5	66.7
993.	party unknown	47	2.2	MD
994.	could not position party	276	13.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	83	4.0	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0784 II/34j Left-right rating of SP (10 point scale)
 And the SP?

1.	left	430	20.5	30.4
2.	2	423	20.1	29.9
3.	3	196	9.3	13.8
4.	4	114	5.4	8.1
5.	5	93	4.4	6.6
6.	6	47	2.2	3.3
7.	7	45	2.1	3.2
8.	8	43	2.0	3.0
9.	9	15	.7	1.1
10.	right	10	.5	.7
993.	party unknown	70	3.3	MD
994.	could not position party	250	11.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	76	3.6	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0785 II/34k Left-right rating of AOV/Unie 55+ (10 point scale)
 And AOV/Unie 55+?

1.	left	6	.3	.6
2.	2	26	1.2	2.4
3.	3	55	2.6	5.1
4.	4	98	4.7	9.1
5.	5	254	12.1	23.5
6.	6	314	14.9	29.0
7.	7	188	8.9	17.4
8.	8	107	5.1	9.9
9.	9	23	1.1	2.1
10.	right	11	.5	1.0
993.	party unknown	104	5.0	MD
994.	could not position party	511	24.3	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	115	5.5	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.19 External political efficacy

V0790 II/35a MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me

I shall now read you a number of statements. Would you tell me for each statement if, according to you, it is true or false?

Members of parliament do not care about the opinions of people like me

Showcard 19 presented, listing the response categories 'true' and 'false'.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0919 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	true	654	31.1	36.8
2.	not true	1123	53.5	63.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0791 II/35b Parties only interested in my vote. not in my opinion

Political parties are only interested in my vote and not in my opinions.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0919 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	true	832	39.6	46.7
2.	not true	951	45.3	53.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0792

II/35c People like me have no influence on politics

People like me have absolutely no influence on governmental policy

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0919 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	true	736	35.0	40.9
2.	not true	1062	50.5	59.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0793

II/35d So many people vote, my vote does not matter

So many people vote in elections that my vote does not matter.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0919 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	true	166	7.9	9.2
2.	not true	1641	78.1	90.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0794

II/35e MPs quickly lose contact with citizens

Usually our representatives in the Second Chamber quickly lose contact with the people in the country.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0919 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	true	1223	58.2	70.3
2.	not true	517	24.6	29.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	73	3.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0795

II/index External political efficacy score q35

Constructed from V0790 to V0794. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this index. The H-value of this index is 0.54.

0.	low	97	4.6	5.3
1.	1	333	15.8	18.4
2.	2	276	13.1	15.2
3.	3	347	16.5	19.1
4.	4	437	20.8	24.1
5.	high	324	15.4	17.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.20 Internal political efficacy

V0796 II/36a Consider myself qualified for politics

Would you tell me whether you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with the following statements.

I am well qualified to play an active role in politics

Showcard 20 presented, listing the response categories.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0920 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	38	1.8	2.1
2.	agree	400	19.0	22.1
3.	disagree	892	42.5	49.4
4.	fully disagree	477	22.7	26.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0797 II/36b Well informed about political problems

I have a good understanding of the important political problems in our country.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0920 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	65	3.1	3.6
2.	agree	1088	51.8	60.4
3.	disagree	551	26.2	30.6
4.	fully disagree	98	4.7	5.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0798 II/36c Politics sometimes too complicated

Sometimes politics seems so complicated that people like me cannot really understand what is going on.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0920 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	241	11.5	13.3
2.	agree	876	41.7	48.3
3.	disagree	633	30.1	34.9
4.	fully disagree	62	3.0	3.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0799 II/index Internal political efficacy score q36

Constructed from V0796 to V0798. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this index. The H-value of this index is 0.47.

0.	low	473	22.5	26.1
1.	1	661	31.5	36.4
2.	2	415	19.8	22.9
3.	high	265	12.6	14.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.21 Political cynicism

V0800 II/37a Politicians promise more than they can deliver

Although they know better, politicians promise more than they can deliver.

Showcard 20 used.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0921 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	307	14.6	17.1
2.	agree	1269	60.4	70.9
3.	disagree	211	10.0	11.8
4.	fully disagree	4	.2	.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	23	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0801 II/37b Ministers primarily self-interested

Ministers and state secretaries are primarily concerned about their personal interests.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0921 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	65	3.1	3.7
2.	agree	441	21.0	25.1
3.	disagree	1174	55.9	66.7
4.	fully disagree	80	3.8	4.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	53	2.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0802

II/37c Friends more important than abilities to become MP

One is more likely to become a member of parliament because of one's political friends than because of one's abilities.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0921 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	78	3.7	4.5
2.	agree	589	28.0	34.3
3.	disagree	999	47.5	58.3
4.	fully disagree	49	2.3	2.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	98	4.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0803

II/index Political cynicism score q37

Constructed from V0800 to V0802. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this index. The H-value of this index is 0.51.

0.	low	196	9.3	10.8
1.	1	805	38.3	44.4
2.	2	495	23.6	27.3
3.	high	318	15.1	17.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.22 Social isolation

V0804 II/38 Can one trust other people?

In general, do you think that most people can be trusted or do you think one can not be careful enough in contacts with other people?

1.	people can be trusted	1060	50.5	58.9
2.	one should be careful	739	35.2	41.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0805

II/39a When you have problems, you are on your own

I now read to you some statements. Could you indicate by means of this card to what extent you agree or disagree with these statements. Please mention the number which applies to you.

When you really are in trouble, in the end you are on your own.

Showcard 22 presented, listing a horizontal line with ten categories numbered 1 to 10 and a 'Do not know' category. Category 1 is labeled 'fully disagree' and category 10 is labeled 'fully agree'.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	541	25.7	29.9
2.	2	339	16.1	18.7
3.	3	225	10.7	12.4
4.	4	136	6.5	7.5
5.	5	78	3.7	4.3
6.	6	81	3.9	4.5
7.	7	112	5.3	6.2
8.	8	127	6.0	7.0
9.	9	69	3.3	3.8
10.	fully agree	102	4.9	5.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0806

II/39b Nobody has special interest in you

There is nobody who has a special interest in you.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	749	35.6	41.5
2.	2	421	20.0	23.3
3.	3	255	12.1	14.1
4.	4	97	4.6	5.4
5.	5	89	4.2	4.9
6.	6	66	3.1	3.7
7.	7	44	2.1	2.4
8.	8	37	1.8	2.0
9.	9	23	1.1	1.3
10.	fully agree	25	1.2	1.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0807

II/39c There is always someone you can ask for help

There is always someone I can call upon when I need help.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	30	1.4	1.7
2.	2	30	1.4	1.7
3.	3	32	1.5	1.8
4.	4	34	1.6	1.9
5.	5	53	2.5	2.9
6.	6	46	2.2	2.5
7.	7	108	5.1	6.0
8.	8	321	15.3	17.7
9.	9	368	17.5	20.3
10.	fully agree	790	37.6	43.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0808

II/39d Even closest family not interested

Even from your closest family you cannot expect much interest.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	713	33.9	39.4
2.	2	403	19.2	22.3
3.	3	209	9.9	11.6
4.	4	90	4.3	5.0
5.	5	79	3.8	4.4
6.	6	83	4.0	4.6
7.	7	80	3.8	4.4
8.	8	63	3.0	3.5
9.	9	24	1.1	1.3
10.	fully agree	65	3.1	3.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0809 II/39e I often feel abandoned
 I often feel abandoned.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	635	30.2	35.1
2.	2	469	22.3	25.9
3.	3	267	12.7	14.7
4.	4	99	4.7	5.5
5.	5	94	4.5	5.2
6.	6	89	4.2	4.9
7.	7	56	2.7	3.1
8.	8	59	2.8	3.3
9.	9	16	.8	.9
10.	fully agree	27	1.3	1.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0810

II/39f I do not know whom to ask for help. besides my family

When I need help from outside the family, I would not even know whom to turn to.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0922 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully disagree	716	34.1	41.7
2.	2	393	18.7	22.9
3.	3	234	11.1	13.6
4.	4	78	3.7	4.5
5.	5	50	2.4	2.9
6.	6	46	2.2	2.7
7.	7	55	2.6	3.2
8.	8	68	3.2	4.0
9.	9	27	1.3	1.6
10.	fully agree	50	2.4	2.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
999.	INAP	93	4.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.23 Bases of accepting political decisions

V0815 II/41a Acceptance political decisions - legality

Do you fully agree, agree, neither agree, nor disagree, disagree or fully disagree with the following statements?

If a government decision is made according to the rules, I accept it
Showcard 24 presented, listing the response categories.

1.	fully agree	163	7.8	9.0
2.	agree	1211	57.6	67.2
3.	agree nor disagree	278	13.2	15.4
4.	disagree	144	6.9	8.0
5.	fully disagree	7	.3	.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0816

II/41b Acceptance political decisions - tradition

I accept government decisions because this ought to be so as of old.

1.	fully agree	39	1.9	2.2
2.	agree	465	22.1	25.8
3.	agree nor disagree	331	15.8	18.3
4.	disagree	845	40.2	46.8
5.	fully disagree	124	5.9	6.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0817

II/41c No acceptance political decisions - self-interest

If a government decision is not in my interest, I do not accept it.

1.	fully agree	19	.9	1.1
2.	agree	196	9.3	10.9
3.	agree nor disagree	358	17.0	19.9
4.	disagree	1124	53.5	62.5
5.	fully disagree	102	4.9	5.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0818

II/41d No acceptance political decisions - principles

If a government decision is contrary to my principles, I do not accept it.

1.	fully agree	96	4.6	5.3
2.	agree	755	35.9	42.1
3.	agree nor disagree	409	19.5	22.8
4.	disagree	509	24.2	28.4
5.	fully disagree	26	1.2	1.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0819

II/41e No acceptance political decisions - feelings

If a government decision is not in accordance with my feelings, I do not accept it

1.	fully agree	54	2.6	3.0
2.	agree	537	25.6	29.9
3.	agree nor disagree	463	22.0	25.8
4.	disagree	699	33.3	38.9
5.	fully disagree	43	2.0	2.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0820

II/41f Action when political decisions are illegal

In which of the following situations would you take action against government decisions?

I will take action when a government decision is not made according to the rules.

1.	yes	1069	50.9	59.7
2.	no	723	34.4	40.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	21	1.0	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0821

II/41g Action when political decisions are against self-interest

I will take action when a government decision is not in my interest.

1.	yes	247	11.8	13.8
2.	no	1537	73.2	86.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	30	1.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0822

II/41h Action when political decisions are against principles

I will take action when a government decision is contrary to my principles.

1.	yes	870	41.4	48.9
2.	no	910	43.3	51.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0823

II/41i Action when political decisions are against feelings

I will take action if a government decision is not in accordance with my feelings

1.	yes	465	22.1	26.3
2.	no	1303	62.0	73.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	46	2.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.24 Vote probability

V0830 II/43a Probability of future vote for PvdA

Some people are quite certain that they will always vote for the same party. Others reconsider each time to which party they will give their vote. I will mention a number of parties. Would you indicate for each party how probable it is that you will ever vote for that party? Tell me the number that applies to the party. If you do not know a party or if you do not know the answer, do not hesitate to say so and we will continue with the next party.

The PvdA?

Showcard 26 presented, listing a horizontal line with ten categories numbered 1 to 10, and one separate category, named 'Do not know'. Category 1 is labeled 'I will certainly never vote for this party', category 10 is labeled 'I will vote for this party some time certainly'.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	126	6.0	7.0
2.	2	60	2.9	3.3
3.	3	73	3.5	4.1
4.	4	88	4.2	4.9
5.	5	135	6.4	7.5
6.	6	173	8.2	9.6
7.	7	265	12.6	14.7
8.	8	270	12.9	15.0
9.	9	218	10.4	12.1
10.	sometime certainly	394	18.8	21.9
993.	party unknown	3	.1	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0831 II/43b Probability of future vote for VVD
 And the VVD?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	345	16.4	19.3
2.	2	126	6.0	7.0
3.	3	132	6.3	7.4
4.	4	131	6.2	7.3
5.	5	154	7.3	8.6
6.	6	151	7.2	8.4
7.	7	172	8.2	9.6
8.	8	208	9.9	11.6
9.	9	143	6.8	8.0
10.	sometime certainly	227	10.8	12.7
993.	party unknown	10	.5	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0832 II/43c Probability of future vote for D66
And D66?**

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	243	11.6	13.7
2.	2	90	4.3	5.1
3.	3	124	5.9	7.0
4.	4	130	6.2	7.3
5.	5	186	8.9	10.5
6.	6	260	12.4	14.6
7.	7	256	12.2	14.4
8.	8	262	12.5	14.8
9.	9	102	4.9	5.7
10.	sometime certainly	123	5.9	6.9
993.	party unknown	18	.9	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	20	1.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0833 II/43d Probability of future vote for GroenLinks
And GroenLinks?**

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	311	14.8	17.5
2.	2	143	6.8	8.1
3.	3	138	6.6	7.8
4.	4	138	6.6	7.8
5.	5	181	8.6	10.2
6.	6	188	8.9	10.6
7.	7	222	10.6	12.5
8.	8	209	9.9	11.8
9.	9	126	6.0	7.1
10.	sometime certainly	120	5.7	6.8
993.	party unknown	21	1.0	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0834 II/43e Probability of future vote for CDA
 And the CDA?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	298	14.2	16.7
2.	2	119	5.7	6.7
3.	3	148	7.0	8.3
4.	4	153	7.3	8.6
5.	5	188	8.9	10.5
6.	6	199	9.5	11.1
7.	7	197	9.4	11.0
8.	8	181	8.6	10.1
9.	9	103	4.9	5.8
10.	sometime certainly	203	9.7	11.3
993.	party unknown	9	.4	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0835 II/43f Probability of future vote for SGP
 And the SGP?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	812	38.6	53.6
2.	2	250	11.9	16.5
3.	3	140	6.7	9.2
4.	4	101	4.8	6.7
5.	5	73	3.5	4.8
6.	6	51	2.4	3.4
7.	7	37	1.8	2.4
8.	8	24	1.1	1.6
9.	9	13	.6	.9
10.	sometime certainly	14	.7	.9
993.	party unknown	260	12.4	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	39	1.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0836 II/43g Probability of future vote for GPV
 And the GPV?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	781	37.2	51.6
2.	2	243	11.6	16.1
3.	3	131	6.2	8.7
4.	4	94	4.5	6.2
5.	5	76	3.6	5.0
6.	6	64	3.0	4.2
7.	7	45	2.1	3.0
8.	8	30	1.4	2.0
9.	9	32	1.5	2.1
10.	sometime certainly	18	.9	1.2
993.	party unknown	262	12.5	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	38	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0837 II/43h Probability of future vote for RPF
 And the RPF?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	790	37.6	53.3
2.	2	212	10.1	14.3
3.	3	154	7.3	10.4
4.	4	95	4.5	6.4
5.	5	58	2.8	3.9
6.	6	61	2.9	4.1
7.	7	28	1.3	1.9
8.	8	33	1.6	2.2
9.	9	18	.9	1.2
10.	sometime certainly	33	1.6	2.2
993.	party unknown	295	14.0	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	36	1.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0838 II/43i Probability of future vote for Centrumdemocraten
 And the Centrumdemocraten?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	1560	74.3	89.1
2.	2	73	3.5	4.2
3.	3	34	1.6	1.9
4.	4	21	1.0	1.2
5.	5	21	1.0	1.2
6.	6	14	.7	.8
7.	7	10	.5	.6
8.	8	9	.4	.5
9.	9	1	.0	.1
10.	sometime certainly	8	.4	.5
993.	party unknown	51	2.4	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

**V0839 II/43j Probability of future vote for SP
And SP?**

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	578	27.5	34.5
2.	2	242	11.5	14.4
3.	3	153	7.3	9.1
4.	4	111	5.3	6.6
5.	5	135	6.4	8.1
6.	6	126	6.0	7.5
7.	7	130	6.2	7.8
8.	8	89	4.2	5.3
9.	9	51	2.4	3.0
10.	sometime certainly	61	2.9	3.6
993.	party unknown	111	5.3	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	27	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0840 II/43k Probability of future vote for AOV/Unie 55+
 And AOV/Unie 55+?

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0924 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	certainly never	622	29.6	37.9
2.	2	200	9.5	12.2
3.	3	131	6.2	8.0
4.	4	130	6.2	7.9
5.	5	149	7.1	9.1
6.	6	150	7.1	9.1
7.	7	132	6.3	8.0
8.	8	83	4.0	5.1
9.	9	23	1.1	1.4
10.	sometime certainly	21	1.0	1.3
993.	party unknown	133	6.3	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	40	1.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.25 Civic competence and civic political participation

V0842 II/44a Chance acting against unjust national bill

Suppose that the Second Chamber considers a bill that you feel is extremely unjust or wrong. How great is the chance that you would attempt to do something about it? Is this chance very big, big, small or very small?

1.	very big	78	3.7	4.3
2.	big	437	20.8	24.2
3.	small	803	38.2	44.5
4.	very small	485	23.1	26.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0845 II/44b Did (not) contact cabinet minister

This card lists what you could do if the Second Chamber considers a bill that you felt to be unjust or wrong. Would you please have a look at these options and then tell me which of those you have ever used? You can mention the numbers of the options you have ever used. *Showcard 27 presented, listing 13 possible forms of action.*

0.	not mentioned	1742	82.9	96.0
1.	mentioned	72	3.4	4.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0846 II/44b Did (not) contact member of parliament

Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1712	81.5	94.4
1.	mentioned	102	4.9	5.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0847 II/44b Did (not) sign a petition
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	625	29.7	34.5
1.	mentioned	1189	56.6	65.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0848 II/44b Did (not) try to activate interest group
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1604	76.3	88.4
1.	mentioned	210	10.0	11.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0849 II/44b Did (not) try to activate radio or TV
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1713	81.5	94.4
1.	mentioned	101	4.8	5.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0850 II/44b Did (not) try to activate political party
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1687	80.3	93.0
1.	mentioned	127	6.0	7.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0851 II/44b Did (not) contact mayor or alderman
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1561	74.3	86.1
1.	mentioned	253	12.0	13.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0852 II/44b Did (not) contact municipal councilor
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1553	73.9	85.6
1.	mentioned	261	12.4	14.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0853 II/44b Did (not) join civic action group
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1530	72.8	84.3
1.	mentioned	284	13.5	15.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0854 II/44b Did (not) join demonstration
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1359	64.7	74.9
1.	mentioned	455	21.7	25.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0855 II/44b Did (not) try to activate newspaper
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1657	78.9	91.3
1.	mentioned	157	7.5	8.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0856 II/44b Did (not) lodge a complaint
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1477	70.3	81.4
1.	mentioned	337	16.0	18.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0857 II/44b Did (not) contact department official
Refer to V0845 for complete question text

0.	not mentioned	1711	81.4	94.3
1.	mentioned	103	4.9	5.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0858 II/index Civic participation score q44b
Constructed from V0845 to V0857. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this index. The H-value of this index is 0.36.

0.	low	381	18.1	21.0
1.	1	609	29.0	33.6
2.	2	310	14.8	17.1
3.	3	221	10.5	12.2
4.	4	98	4.7	5.4
5.	5	64	3.0	3.5
6.	6	47	2.2	2.6
7.	7	26	1.2	1.4
8.	8	14	.7	.8
9.	9	13	.6	.7
10.	10	7	.3	.4
11.	11	9	.4	.5
12.	12	10	.5	.6
13.	high	5	.2	.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.26 Confessional attitude

V0860 II/45ab Should there also be confessional parties?

Now I would like to ask you some questions about politics and religion. Do you think that political parties should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional parties, that is, parties based on religion?

When you think about these confessional political parties, do you find that there should be separate Roman Catholic and Protestant parties, or should these parties according to you be included in a general Christian association?

Note: the questions in this set have been slightly altered as compared to previous versions of the DPES. In prior versions people were asked whether they preferred having confessional parties (schools; unions; radio and tv) to having secular parties (schools; unions; radio and tv). The word 'also' was included because the original question had become obsolete and because it was felt that the original question was interpreted by respondents as 'also' having confessional parties (schools; unions; radio and tv) instead of 'only' confessional parties (schools; unions; radio and tv)

1.	secular	721	34.3	40.5
2.	also religious	63	3.0	3.5
3.	also confessional	289	13.8	16.2
4.	also interconfessional	707	33.7	39.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0861

II/45c Should there also be confessional unions?

And unions? Do you think that unions should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional unions?

Refer to note included in the documentation of V0860 above.

1.	secular	1089	51.8	62.1
2.	also religious	666	31.7	37.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	58	2.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0862

II/45de Should there also be confessional schools?

Do you think that schools should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional schools, that is, schools based on religion?

Refer to note included in the documentation of V0860 above.

When you think about these confessional schools, do you find that there should be separate Roman Catholic and Protestant schools, or should they according to you be included in a general Christian association?

1.	secular	549	26.1	30.8
2.	also religious	37	1.8	2.1
3.	also confessional	509	24.2	28.6
4.	also interconfessional	686	32.7	38.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0863

II/45fg Should there also be confessional radio and tv?

Do you think that broadcasting organizations should be completely separated from religion, or do you think that there should also be confessional broadcasting organizations, that is broadcasting organizations based on religion?

Refer to note included in the documentation of V0860 above.

1.	secular	606	28.8	34.4
2.	also religious	60	2.9	3.4
3.	also confessional	452	21.5	25.7
4.	also interconfessional	644	30.7	36.5
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	52	2.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0864

II/46 Religion is a good guide in politics

Do you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with the following statement?

Religion is a good guide in politics

1.	fully agree	112	5.3	6.4
2.	agree	577	27.5	32.8
3.	disagree	829	39.5	47.2
4.	fully disagree	239	11.4	13.6
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	56	2.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0865**II/index Confessional attitude score q45-q46**

Constructed from V0860 to V0864. Refer to 4.1.1 for the construction of this index. The H-value of this index is 0.50. Also refer to the note included in the documentation of V0860 above.

0.	low	257	12.2	14.2
1.		276	13.1	15.2
2.		311	14.8	17.1
3.		279	13.3	15.4
4.		388	18.5	21.4
5.	high	303	14.4	16.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.27 Social class

V0870 II/47 Social class - self image

One sometimes speaks of the existence of various social classes and groups. If you were to assign yourself to a particular social class, which one would that be?

Showcard 28 presented, listing the response categories.

1.	upper class	31	1.5	1.7
2.	upper middle class	308	14.7	17.2
3.	middle class	985	46.9	55.0
4.	upper working class	122	5.8	6.8
5.	working class	346	16.5	19.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	14	.7	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.28 Right-wing orientations

V0875 II/48a An important job is to teach children patriotism

On this card several views are shown which have recently been put forward. Opinions about these views differ strongly. We would like to know your opinion. Could you indicate whether you fully agree, agree, neither agree, nor disagree, disagree, or fully disagree with each statement. If you don't know about a statement, or if you do not want to tell your opinion, please do not hesitate to say so.

An important job for schools is to teach the pupils patriotism
Showcard 29 presented, listing the response categories

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	107	5.1	5.9
2.	agree	666	31.7	36.8
3.	agree nor disagree	388	18.5	21.4
4.	disagree	541	25.7	29.9
5.	fully disagree	108	5.1	6.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0876

II/48b Our social provisions are not meant for foreigners

Our social provisions are not meant for foreign workers.

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	28	1.3	1.6
2.	agree	224	10.7	12.4
3.	agree nor disagree	289	13.8	16.0
4.	disagree	1031	49.1	57.2
5.	fully disagree	232	11.0	12.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0877

II/48c Every Dutchman should observe our national symbols

Every Dutchman should show due respect for our national symbols,
like the flag and the national anthem

*This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925
for the order in which the questions were asked.*

1.	fully agree	196	9.3	10.8
2.	agree	978	46.5	54.0
3.	agree nor disagree	330	15.7	18.2
4.	disagree	247	11.8	13.6
5.	fully disagree	61	2.9	3.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0878 II/48d Foreigners are a threat to our culture
 Foreigners are a threat to our culture

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	87	4.1	4.8
2.	agree	410	19.5	22.6
3.	agree nor disagree	344	16.4	19.0
4.	disagree	712	33.9	39.3
5.	fully disagree	258	12.3	14.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0879

II/48e Youngsters should learn self-control and determination

Youngsters should in the first place learn self-control and determination

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	312	14.9	17.2
2.	agree	1030	49.0	56.9
3.	agree nor disagree	237	11.3	13.1
4.	disagree	215	10.2	11.9
5.	fully disagree	16	.8	.9
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	4	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0880

II/48f Foreigners abuse social provisions more than Dutchmen

Foreigners make more abuse of the social provisions than Dutch people

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	135	6.4	7.8
2.	agree	441	21.0	25.3
3.	agree nor disagree	469	22.3	27.0
4.	disagree	587	27.9	33.7
5.	fully disagree	108	5.1	6.2
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	73	3.5	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0881

II/48g Children should learn obedience and respect for authorities

The most important thing children should learn is obedience and respect for authorities

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	268	12.8	14.8
2.	agree	848	40.4	46.8
3.	agree nor disagree	296	14.1	16.3
4.	disagree	351	16.7	19.4
5.	fully disagree	48	2.3	2.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0882

II/48h Differences between ethnic groups are natural

Differences between ethnic groups are innate

This variable is part of a randomized set of questions. Refer to V0925 for the order in which the questions were asked.

1.	fully agree	56	2.7	3.1
2.	agree	610	29.0	34.3
3.	agree nor disagree	298	14.2	16.7
4.	disagree	680	32.4	38.2
5.	fully disagree	137	6.5	7.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	33	1.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.29 Interview characteristics

V0883 II/49 Reason to participate in this survey

We have reached the end of the questionnaire. We are grateful that you have participated in this research. This research is held around every election. Luckily, each time many people like you are willing to participate in this kind of surveys, but there are always as well people not willing to participate. We would like to know from you what has been the reason for you to participate in this research.

1.	not thought about it	158	7.5	8.7
2.	research is interesting/fun	511	24.3	28.2
3.	being interviewed is nice	23	1.1	1.3
4.	interviewer seemed nice person	49	2.3	2.7
5.	did not want to disappoint interviewer	61	2.9	3.4
6.	curious about the questions	209	9.9	11.5
7.	duty	115	5.5	6.3
8.	politics is interesting	165	7.9	9.1
9.	other	523	24.9	28.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0885 II/ Interviewer Wave 2 identification number

Interviewer identification number ranges from 13004 to 99001.

V0886 0/ Interviewer wave 2 characteristic - age

This variable was based upon information collected by the fieldwork organization.

V0887 0/ Interviewer wave 2 characteristic - sex

This variable was based upon information collected by the fieldwork organization.

1.	Male	445	21.2	24.5
2.	Female	1369	65.2	75.5
996.	PA	287	13.6	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0888 II/ Date of second interview (yyyymmdd)

V0889 II/ Duration of second interview (mmm)

V0890	II/51a Any person present at second interview?			
	<i>INT: Write down without asking</i>			
	<i>Were there any other people in the room besides the respondent during the interview?</i>			
	1. yes	441	21.0	24.3
	2. no	1373	65.3	75.7
	996. PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0891	II/51c Present at second interview - children under 6 years			
	<i>INT: Would you indicate of these persons whether they followed the conversation completely or in part; that is, listened actively, whether they intruded into the interview; that is, offered an own opinion, corrected the respondent, offered suggestions, and the like?</i>			
	<i>Children under 6 year?</i>			
	0. not present	1736	82.6	95.7
	1. did not listen	68	3.2	3.7
	2. did listen	5	.2	.3
	3. did participate	5	.2	.3
	996. PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0892	II/51d Present at second interview - children 6 years and older			
	<i>Children over 6 years?</i>			
	0. not present	1757	83.6	96.9
	1. did not listen	37	1.8	2.0
	2. did listen	19	.9	1.0
	3. did participate	1	.0	.1
	996. PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0893 II/51e Present at second interview - spouse or partner
Husband, wife, or partner?

0.	not present	1555	74.0	85.7
1.	did not listen	121	5.8	6.7
2.	did listen	120	5.7	6.6
3.	did participate	18	.9	1.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0894 II/51f Present at second interview - other relatives
Other relatives?

0.	not present	1750	83.3	96.5
1.	did not listen	42	2.0	2.3
2.	did listen	20	1.0	1.1
3.	did participate	2	.1	.1
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0895 II/51g Present at second interview - other adults
Other adults?

0.	not present	1769	84.2	97.5
1.	did not listen	37	1.8	2.0
2.	did listen	8	.4	.4
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0896

II/52b Disturbing influences second interview - first coding

INT: Where there any disturbing influences during the interview, such as a television set or radio that was on, other people arriving for a visit, etc.?

The disturbing factors were

0.	no disturbing influences	1733	82.5	95.7
1.	visitors	6	.3	.3
2.	tv, radio, loud music, loud noise	31	1.5	1.7
3.	children	26	1.2	1.4
4.	telephone	2	.1	.1
5.	pets	3	.1	.2
6.	partner	1	.0	.1
7.	interview not at home	8	.4	.4
994.	uncodable	4	.2	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0897

II/52b Disturbing influences second interview - second coding

The disturbing factors were

0.	no disturbing influences	1733	82.5	99.5
1.	visitors	1	.0	.1
2.	tv, radio, loud music, loud noise	2	.1	.1
3.	children	3	.1	.2
4.	telephone	1	.0	.1
5.	pets	1	.0	.1
995.	no second coding	73	3.5	MD
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0898

II/50a1 Accepted lottery ticket

We really appreciate your opinion very much, which is why I may offer you this lottery ticket.

1.	yes	1794	85.4	99.0
2.	no	19	.9	1.0
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0899

II/50a1 Promised to fill in self-completion questionnaire

Here I have a written questionnaire with a few questions. Would you fill in this questionnaire and send it back in this postage-paid envelope?

1.	yes	1754	83.5	96.7
2.	no	59	2.8	3.3
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0900

II/50b Willingness to participate in next wave

Around the next election for the Second Chamber, ITS would like to interview everybody who participated in this survey for the last time. The questions will be more or less the same as this time. Do you mind if by then we contact you one more time?

1.	not willing	78	3.7	4.3
2.	willing	1731	82.4	95.7
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
997.	DK	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0901 II/50c Reason for not willing to participate in next wave
 Could you perhaps indicate why you object?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0900, code 2)

1.	is not interested in the subject/politics	16	.8	20.5
2.	does not feel like it	1	.0	1.3
3.	has poor knowledge of the subject/politics	2	.1	2.6
4.	it takes too much time	5	.2	6.4
5.	does not see the point of participating	1	.0	1.3
6.	is fed up with it	17	.8	21.8
7.	is not available (holiday. emigration etc.)	4	.2	5.1
8.	has been bothered once too often by interviews	1	.0	1.3
10.	never participates in interviews	2	.1	2.6
11.	was disappointed with the previous interview	2	.1	2.6
12.	too difficult	1	.0	1.3
13.	let others do the job/let others have a chance too	8	.4	10.3
14.	too old/ill	8	.4	10.3
15.	does not want to commit himself/herself	2	.1	2.6
88.	other	5	.2	6.4
93.	uncodable	3	.1	3.8
996.	PA	287	13.7	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	1731	82.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.4.30 Text variables second wave

- V0905 II/7 Party choice - reason (text)**
Refer to V0612 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 7 (wave 2). Respondents answering to have voted, but not able or willing to mention a party, were asked 'why did you vote'. This answer was not coded. The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0612, V0613, V0614 and V0615.
- V0906 II/9b Other reason for preferential vote (text)**
Refer to V0618 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 9b (wave 2). The coded version of the answers can be found in V0618.
- V0907 II/14a Did not vote - first reason (text)**
Refer to V0638 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original first answer to question 14 (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0638.
- V0908 II/14b Did not vote - second reason (text)**
Refer to V0638 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original second answer to question 14 (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0639.
- V0909 II/14c Did not vote - third reason (text)**
Refer to V0638 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original third answer to question 14 (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0640.
- V0910 II/14d Did not vote - fourth reason (text)**
Refer to V0638 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original fourth answer to question 14 (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0641.
- V0911 II/14e Did not vote - fifth reason (text)**
Refer to V0638 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original fifth answer to question 14 (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0642.
- V0912 II/29c Reason elections in the Netherlands are unfair (text)**

Refer to V0747 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original first answer to question 29c (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0747.

V0913 II/50c Reason for not willing to participate in next wave (text)

Refer to V0901 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original first answer to question 50c (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0901.

V0914 II/52b Disturbing influences second interview (text)

Refer to V0896 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the original answer to question 52b (wave 2). The coded version of the valid answers can be found in V0896 and V0897.

3.4.31 Order variables second wave

- V0915 II/19 Order of questions about the importance of issues**
This order variable refers to V0660 to V0674. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0916 II/20-24 Order of questions about the importance parties attach to issues**
This order variable refers to V0680 to V0714 (issues are randomized, not parties). Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0917 II/30 Order of questions about territorial representation**
This order variable refers to V0757 and V0758. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0918 II/32 Order of questions about prospective prime ministers**
This order variable refers to V0765 to V0768. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0919 II/35 Order of questions about external efficacy**
This order variable refers to V0790 to V0794. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0920 II/36 Order of questions about internal efficacy**
This order variable refers to V0796 to V0798. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0921 II/37 Order of questions about cynicism**
This order variable refers to V0800 to V0802. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0922 II/39 Order of questions about political trust**
This order variable refers to V0805 to V0810. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.
- V0923 II/41 Order of questions about legitimacy**
This order variable refers to V0815 to V0823. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.

V0924 **II/43 Order of questions about probability future vote**
This order variable refers to V0830 to V0840. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.

V0925 **II/48 Order of questions about right wing-extremism and xenophobia**
This order variable refers to V0875 to V0882. Refer to 4.5 below for an explanation of the meaning of order variables.

3.5 Documentation of variables of the main study: self-completion questionnaire

You have just participated in a research that ITS is conducting by order of a number of Dutch universities. We sincerely thank you for the time and effort that you have spent in having two interviews with our interviewer. As part of this research, this questionnaire was left with you. This questionnaire contains some questions about how people get information and opinions on political matters. In an oral survey these questions cannot be dealt with adequately. Would you please fill in the questionnaire and return it to ITS in the envelope provided?

Thank you very much in advance.

The following questions are about people you regularly have contact with.

3.5.1 Number of relevant others mentioned

V0950 S/1 Number of relevant persons mentioned

With which three persons in your surroundings do you discuss things that you consider important? It is not your partner who is meant here, but friends or the rest of the family.

0.	no persons mentioned	45	2.1	3.7
1.	1	108	5.1	9.0
2.	2	133	6.3	11.1
3.	3	915	43.6	76.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.2 Relevant others – age, sex and marital status

V0951 S/2 Age first relevant person

What is the age of this person? (If you do not know the exact age, estimate it)

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

V0952 S/2 Age second relevant person

Refer to V0951 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

V0953 S/2 Age third relevant person

Refer to V0951 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

V0954 S/3 Sex first relevant person
Is this person a man or a woman?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	male	576	27.4	50.1
2.	female	573	27.3	49.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0955 S/3 Sex second relevant person
Refer to V0954 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	male	502	23.9	48.1
2.	female	542	25.8	51.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	4	.2	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0956 S/3 Sex third relevant person
Refer to V0954 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	male	432	20.6	47.5
2.	female	477	22.7	52.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0957 S/4 Marital status first relevant person

What is the marital status of this person?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	not married	291	13.9	25.4
2.	married	730	34.7	63.6
3.	divorced	52	2.5	4.5
4.	widow(er)	74	3.5	6.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0958 S/4 Marital status second relevant person

Refer to V0957 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	not married	244	11.6	23.5
2.	married	697	33.2	67.1
3.	divorced	56	2.7	5.4
4.	widow(er)	42	2.0	4.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0959 S/4 Marital status third relevant person

Refer to V0957 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	not married	257	12.2	28.2
2.	married	541	25.7	59.5
3.	divorced	62	3.0	6.8
4.	widow(er)	50	2.4	5.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.3 Relevant others – relationship to respondent

V0960 S/5 First relevant person is - member of family
 What is the relationship of this person to you?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	513	24.4	45.1
1.	yes	625	29.7	54.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0961 S/5 First relevant person is - colleague
 Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	1049	49.9	92.2
1.	yes	89	4.2	7.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0962 S/5 First relevant person is - member of same club
 Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	1098	52.3	96.5
1.	yes	40	1.9	3.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0963 S/5 First relevant person is - member of same church
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	1104	52.5	97.0
1.	yes	34	1.6	3.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0964 S/5 First relevant person is - neighbor
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	1082	51.5	95.1
1.	yes	56	2.7	4.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0965 S/5 First relevant person is - friend
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	758	36.1	66.6
1.	yes	380	18.1	33.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0966

S/5 First relevant person is - other

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	no	1133	53.9	99.6
1.	yes	5	.2	.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0967 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of family
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	528	25.1	51.4
1.	yes	500	23.8	48.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0968 S/5 Second relevant person is - colleague
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	929	44.2	90.4
1.	yes	99	4.7	9.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0969 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of same club
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	1002	47.7	97.5
1.	yes	26	1.2	2.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0970 S/5 Second relevant person is - member of same church

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	1004	47.8	97.7
1.	yes	24	1.1	2.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0971 S/5 Second relevant person is - neighbor

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	963	45.8	93.7
1.	yes	65	3.1	6.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0972 S/5 Second relevant person is - friend

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	669	31.8	65.1
1.	yes	359	17.1	34.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0973

S/5 Second relevant person is - other

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	no	1018	48.5	99.0
1.	yes	10	.5	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	20	1.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0974 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of family
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	550	26.2	61.2
1.	yes	348	16.6	38.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0975 S/5 Third relevant person is - colleague
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	766	36.5	85.3
1.	yes	132	6.3	14.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0976 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of same club
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	870	41.4	96.9
1.	yes	28	1.3	3.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0977 S/5 Third relevant person is - member of same church
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	859	40.9	95.7
1.	yes	39	1.9	4.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0978 S/5 Third relevant person is - neighbor
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	842	40.1	93.8
1.	yes	56	2.7	6.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0979 S/5 Third relevant person is - friend
Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	538	25.6	59.9
1.	yes	360	17.1	40.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0980

S/5 Third relevant person is - other

Refer to V0960 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	no	889	42.3	99.0
1.	yes	9	.4	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.4 Relevant others – religious denomination

V0981 S/6 Religious denomination of first relevant person

Does this person consider himself/herself to belong to a particular church or religious community, and if so, to which one?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	Roman Catholic	329	15.7	28.9
2.	Dutch reformed	156	7.4	13.7
3.	Calvinist	88	4.2	7.7
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	5	.2	.4
5.	Other Christian	21	1.0	1.8
6.	Islamic	7	.3	.6
7.	other	75	3.6	6.6
8.	no religion	456	21.7	40.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0982 S/6 Religious denomination of second relevant person

Refer to V0981 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	Roman Catholic	289	13.8	28.1
2.	Dutch reformed	122	5.8	11.9
3.	Calvinist	79	3.8	7.7
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	4	.2	.4
5.	Other Christian	14	.7	1.4
6.	Islamic	7	.3	.7
7.	other	79	3.8	7.7
8.	no religion	434	20.7	42.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	19	.9	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0983

S/6 Religious denomination of third relevant person

Refer to V0981 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	Roman Catholic	234	11.1	26.1
2.	Dutch reformed	101	4.8	11.3
3.	Calvinist	77	3.7	8.6
4.	General Protestant Christian (SOW)	3	.1	.3
5.	Other Christian	23	1.1	2.6
6.	Islamic	6	.3	.7
7.	other	93	4.4	10.4
8.	no religion	359	17.1	40.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	19	.9	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.5 Relevant others – employment status

V0984 S/7 Employment status of first relevant person

Which situation applies to this person?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	(self-)employed	686	32.7	60.9
2.	not employed anymore	379	18.0	33.6
3.	never been employed	62	3.0	5.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	29	1.4	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0985 S/7 Employment status of second relevant person

Refer to V0984 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	(self-)employed	649	30.9	63.3
2.	not employed anymore	320	15.2	31.2
3.	never been employed	56	2.7	5.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	23	1.1	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0986 S/7 Employment status of third relevant person

Refer to V0984 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	(self-)employed	590	28.1	65.8
2.	not employed anymore	232	11.0	25.9
3.	never been employed	74	3.5	8.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	19	.9	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.6 Relevant others – frequency of contact

V0987 S/9 How often do you speak with first relevant person
How often do you speak to this person, in person or on the telephone?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	(almost) every day	417	19.8	36.4
2.	at least once a week	543	25.8	47.4
3.	at least once a month	153	7.3	13.4
4.	less than once a month	32	1.5	2.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	11	.5	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0988 S/9 How often do you speak with second relevant person
Refer to V0987 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	(almost) every day	279	13.3	27.0
2.	at least once a week	518	24.7	50.0
3.	at least once a month	196	9.3	18.9
4.	less than once a month	42	2.0	4.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0989 S/9 How often do you speak with third relevant person
Refer to V0987 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	(almost) every day	239	11.4	26.4
2.	at least once a week	389	18.5	43.0
3.	at least once a month	223	10.6	24.6
4.	less than once a month	54	2.6	6.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD

999.	INAP	<u>286</u>	<u>13.6</u>	<u>MD</u>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.7 Relevant others – political variables

V0990 S/10 Political interest of first relevant person

Is this person very interested in political topics, fairly interested or not interested?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	very interested	197	9.4	17.3
2.	fairly interested	656	31.2	57.5
3.	not interested	288	13.7	25.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	15	.7	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0991 S/10 Political interest of second relevant person

Refer to V0990 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	very interested	165	7.9	16.0
2.	fairly interested	602	28.7	58.3
3.	not interested	265	12.6	25.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0992 S/10 Political interest of third relevant person

Refer to V0990 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	very interested	129	6.1	14.3
2.	fairly interested	525	25.0	58.2
3.	not interested	248	11.8	27.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0993 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with first relevant person
 How often do you discuss politics with this person?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	always	52	2.5	4.5
2.	sometimes	591	28.1	51.7
3.	seldom	321	15.3	28.1
4.	never	179	8.5	15.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0994 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with second relevant person

Refer to V0993 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	always	31	1.5	3.0
2.	sometimes	495	23.6	47.7
3.	seldom	326	15.5	31.4
4.	never	186	8.9	17.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0995 S/11 Frequency talking about politics with third relevant person

Refer to V0993 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

1.	always	25	1.2	2.8
2.	sometimes	380	18.1	41.9
3.	seldom	327	15.6	36.1
4.	never	175	8.3	19.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

2101 100.0 100.0

V0996**S/12 Political agreement with first relevant person**

When you discuss politics with this person, how often do you agree with each other?

When you never discuss politics with a person (question 11), you do not have to fill in anything for this person.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0; V0993, code 4)

1.	often	477	22.7	50.6
2.	sometimes	409	19.5	43.4
3.	seldom	47	2.2	5.0
4.	never	9	.4	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	35	1.7	MD
999.	INAP	224	10.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0997**S/12 Political agreement with second relevant person**

Refer to V0996 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1; V0994, code 4)

1.	often	360	17.1	43.3
2.	sometimes	399	19.0	48.0
3.	seldom	66	3.1	7.9
4.	never	7	.3	.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	30	1.4	MD
999.	INAP	339	16.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0998**S/12 Political agreement with third relevant person**

Refer to V0996 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2; V0995, code 4)

1.	often	283	13.5	39.8
2.	sometimes	369	17.6	51.9
3.	seldom	48	2.3	6.8
4.	never	11	.5	1.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	29	1.4	MD

999.	INAP	<u>461</u>	<u>21.9</u>	<u>MD</u>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V0999

S/13 Left-right rating of first relevant person (11 point scale)

It is often said of political beliefs that they are left or right. When you think of the political beliefs of these three persons, where would you place them on a scale running from 0 to 10? 0 means left and 10 means right. Please write down the number that applies.

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

0.	left	59	2.8	5.6
1.	1	25	1.2	2.4
2.	2	65	3.1	6.2
3.	3	110	5.2	10.5
4.	4	133	6.3	12.7
5.	5	210	10.0	20.1
6.	6	101	4.8	9.6
7.	7	141	6.7	13.5
8.	8	132	6.3	12.6
9.	9	27	1.3	2.6
10.	right	44	2.1	4.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	109	5.2	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1000 S/13 Left-right rating of second relevant person (11 point scale)
Refer to V0999 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

0.	left	41	2.0	4.3
1.	1	11	.5	1.1
2.	2	65	3.1	6.8
3.	3	89	4.2	9.3
4.	4	109	5.2	11.4
5.	5	211	10.0	22.0
6.	6	127	6.0	13.3
7.	7	119	5.7	12.4
8.	8	124	5.9	12.9
9.	9	34	1.6	3.5
10.	right	28	1.3	2.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	90	4.3	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1001 S/13 Left-right rating of third relevant person (11 point scale)
Refer to V0999 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)

0.	left	28	1.3	3.3
1.	1	19	.9	2.3
2.	2	57	2.7	6.8
3.	3	79	3.8	9.4
4.	4	103	4.9	12.2
5.	5	174	8.3	20.6
6.	6	102	4.9	12.1
7.	7	130	6.2	15.4
8.	8	103	4.9	12.2
9.	9	24	1.1	2.8
10.	right	24	1.1	2.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	72	3.4	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1002

S/14 Left right self-rating (11 point scale)

And where would you place yourself?

0.	left	36	1.7	3.3
1.	1	45	2.1	4.2
2.	2	94	4.5	8.7
3.	3	139	6.6	12.8
4.	4	119	5.7	11.0
5.	5	193	9.2	17.8
6.	6	108	5.1	10.0
7.	7	149	7.1	13.7
8.	8	126	6.0	11.6
9.	9	39	1.9	3.6
10.	right	36	1.7	3.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	117	5.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1003**S/15 Party first relevant person voted for**

Which party did this person vote for in the election of the Second Chamber of May 6?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0)

1.	PVDA	239	11.4	32.8
2.	CDA	162	7.7	22.2
3.	VVD	150	7.1	20.6
4.	D66	68	3.2	9.3
5.	GroenLinks	54	2.6	7.4
6.	SGP	13	.6	1.8
7.	GPV	8	.4	1.1
8.	RPF	15	.7	2.1
9.	Centrumdemocraten	1	.0	.1
10.	Unie 55+	3	.1	.4
11.	AOV	15	.7	2.1
63.	De Groenen	1	.0	.1
993.	not allowed to vote	11	.5	MD
995.	abstention	52	2.5	MD
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	364	17.3	MD
999.	INAP	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1004 S/15 Party second relevant person voted for
Refer to V1003 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1)

1.	PVDA	179	8.5	30.4
2.	CDA	108	5.1	18.3
3.	VVD	138	6.6	23.4
4.	D66	70	3.3	11.9
5.	GroenLinks	49	2.3	8.3
6.	SGP	8	.4	1.4
7.	GPV	9	.4	1.5
8.	RPF	16	.8	2.7
11.	AOV	10	.5	1.7
30.	local party	1	.0	.2
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	.2
993.	not allowed to vote	9	.4	MD
994.	uncodable	1	.0	MD
995.	abstention	49	2.3	MD
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	400	19.0	MD
999.	INAP	153	7.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1005**S/15 Party third relevant person voted for***Refer to V1003 for complete question text**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0950, code 0,1,2)*

1.	PVDA	128	6.1	26.7
2.	CDA	106	5.0	22.1
3.	VVD	117	5.6	24.4
4.	D66	41	2.0	8.6
5.	GroenLinks	49	2.3	10.2
6.	SGP	6	.3	1.3
7.	GPV	10	.5	2.1
8.	RPF	6	.3	1.3
10.	Unie 55+	5	.2	1.0
11.	AOV	10	.5	2.1
12.	SP	1	.0	.2
993.	not allowed to vote	11	.5	MD
995.	abstention	40	1.9	MD
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	385	18.3	MD
999.	INAP	286	13.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.8 Frequency contact with others

V1010 S/16 Frequency contact with - family

How often do you have contact with:

(Please do not include members of your household)

Contact: talking on the telephone as well as paying a visit or speaking to someone in person

NOTE This note pertains to an error in the self-completion questionnaire. The third category of question 16 was erroneously labeled as "once a week" instead of "once a month". This error was discovered in the first week of the second wave of interviews. The interviewers were immediately instructed to correct the mistake before handing over the self-completion questionnaire to the respondents. Some self-completion questionnaires containing the mistake had already been handed over to respondents interviewed in the first week. The returned questionnaires containing the uncorrected label have been interpreted as if the respondents were aware of the mistake and understood that the label should have read "once a month".

1.	at least once a week	743	35.4	65.3
2.	once every two weeks	277	13.2	24.3
3.	once a month	91	4.3	8.0
4.	never	27	1.3	2.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	63	3.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1011**S/16 Frequency contact with - friends***Refer to V1010 for complete question text*

1.	at least once a week	646	30.7	57.7
2.	once every two weeks	346	16.5	30.9
3.	once a month	90	4.3	8.0
4.	never	37	1.8	3.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	82	3.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1012 S/16 Frequency contact with - neighbors
Refer to V1010 for complete question text

1.	at least once a week	598	28.5	55.2
2.	once every two weeks	244	11.6	22.5
3.	once a month	100	4.8	9.2
4.	never	141	6.7	13.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	118	5.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1013 S/16 Frequency contact with - colleagues
Refer to V1010 for complete question text

1.	at least once a week	620	29.5	65.6
2.	once every two weeks	53	2.5	5.6
3.	once a month	43	2.0	4.6
4.	never	229	10.9	24.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	256	12.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1014 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - family
 Do you discuss personal problems with:

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1010, code 4)

1.	yes	907	43.2	83.1
2.	no	184	8.8	16.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	83	4.0	MD
999.	INAP	27	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1015 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - friends
Refer to V1014 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1011, code 4)

1.	yes	871	41.5	82.2
2.	no	188	8.9	17.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	105	5.0	MD
999.	INAP	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1016 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - neighbors
Refer to V1014 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1012, code 4)

1.	yes	172	8.2	19.0
2.	no	733	34.9	81.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	155	7.4	MD
999.	INAP	141	6.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1017 S/17 Discusses personal matters with - colleagues
Refer to V1014 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1013, code 4)

1.	yes	266	12.7	37.7
2.	no	439	20.9	62.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	267	12.7	MD
999.	INAP	229	10.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.9 Trust in political institutions

V1020 S/18 Trust in churches

Would you indicate to me for each of the following Dutch institutions whether you trust them very much, fairly much, not so much, or not at all?

1.	very much	93	4.4	7.9
2.	fairly much	345	16.4	29.4
3.	not so much	540	25.7	46.0
4.	not at all	196	9.3	16.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	27	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1021 S/18 Trust in army

Refer to V1020 for complete question text

1.	very much	38	1.8	3.3
2.	fairly much	431	20.5	37.3
3.	not so much	541	25.7	46.8
4.	not at all	146	6.9	12.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1022 S/18 Trust in judges

Refer to V1020 for complete question text

1.	very much	132	6.3	11.4
2.	fairly much	687	32.7	59.5
3.	not so much	278	13.2	24.1
4.	not at all	57	2.7	4.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	47	2.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1023**S/18 Trust in press***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	20	1.0	1.7
2.	fairly much	335	15.9	28.9
3.	not so much	650	30.9	56.0
4.	not at all	155	7.4	13.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	41	2.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1024**S/18 Trust in police***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	74	3.5	6.4
2.	fairly much	721	34.3	61.9
3.	not so much	312	14.9	26.8
4.	not at all	58	2.8	5.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	36	1.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1025**S/18 Trust in parliament***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	56	2.7	4.8
2.	fairly much	667	31.7	57.5
3.	not so much	374	17.8	32.3
4.	not at all	62	3.0	5.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	42	2.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1026**S/18 Trust in administration***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	11	.5	1.0
2.	fairly much	396	18.8	34.2
3.	not so much	648	30.8	56.0
4.	not at all	102	4.9	8.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	44	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1027**S/18 Trust in big companies***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	30	1.4	2.6
2.	fairly much	430	20.5	37.1
3.	not so much	585	27.8	50.4
4.	not at all	115	5.5	9.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	41	2.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1028**S/18 Trust in European Union***Refer to V1020 for complete question text*

1.	very much	31	1.5	2.7
2.	fairly much	427	20.3	36.9
3.	not so much	551	26.2	47.7
4.	not at all	147	7.0	12.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	45	2.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1029

S/18 Trust in NATO

Refer to V1020 for complete question text

1.	very much	60	2.9	5.2
2.	fairly much	526	25.0	45.6
3.	not so much	455	21.7	39.4
4.	not at all	113	5.4	9.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	47	2.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.10 Media consumption

V1030 S/19 Frequency watching - Netwerk

Now some questions about yourself. We start with television and radio programs.

On the average, how often per week do you watch the following programs?

(per program, only one answer is possible)

1.	never	383	18.2	32.3
2.	less than once a week	318	15.1	26.8
3.	once or twice a week	277	13.2	23.4
4.	3 or 4 times a week	109	5.2	9.2
5.	(almost) always	98	4.7	8.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1031 S/19 Frequency watching - NOS 8 uur journaal (Ned.1)

Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	166	7.9	13.9
2.	less than once a week	162	7.7	13.6
3.	once or twice a week	218	10.4	18.3
4.	3 or 4 times a week	217	10.3	18.2
5.	(almost) always	429	20.4	36.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1032 S/19 Frequency watching - NOS 6 uur journaal (Ned.2)

Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	428	20.4	36.0
2.	less than once a week	232	11.0	19.5
3.	once or twice a week	183	8.7	15.4
4.	3 or 4 times a week	126	6.0	10.6
5.	(almost) always	221	10.5	18.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

2101 100.0 100.0

V1033 S/19 Frequency watching - 2 Vandaag (Ned.2)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	429	20.4	36.4
2.	less than once a week	315	15.0	26.7
3.	once or twice a week	243	11.6	20.6
4.	3 or 4 times a week	80	3.8	6.8
5.	(almost) always	113	5.4	9.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	21	1.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1034 S/19 Frequency watching - Jeugdjournaal (Ned.3)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	666	31.7	56.1
2.	less than once a week	281	13.4	23.7
3.	once or twice a week	134	6.4	11.3
4.	3 or 4 times a week	46	2.2	3.9
5.	(almost) always	61	2.9	5.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1035 S/19 Frequency watching - Barend & Witteman (Ned.3)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	572	27.2	48.2
2.	less than once a week	335	15.9	28.2
3.	once or twice a week	187	8.9	15.8
4.	3 or 4 times a week	49	2.3	4.1
5.	(almost) always	44	2.1	3.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1036 S/19 Frequency watching - Lingo (Ned.3)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	649	30.9	54.4
2.	less than once a week	267	12.7	22.4
3.	once or twice a week	165	7.9	13.8
4.	3 or 4 times a week	45	2.1	3.8
5.	(almost) always	67	3.2	5.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1037 S/19 Frequency watching - Achter het Nieuws (ceased to exist 22/09/92)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

Note: The newsshow 'Achter het News', once a well-known TV-programm, ceased to exist on september 22, 1992. The name was included in question 19 of the self-completion questionnaire for methodological purposes.

1.	never	536	25.5	45.5
2.	less than once a week	344	16.4	29.2
3.	once or twice a week	187	8.9	15.9
4.	3 or 4 times a week	60	2.9	5.1
5.	(almost) always	50	2.4	4.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	24	1.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1038 S/19 Frequency watching – NOS 10 uur journaal (Ned.3)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	338	16.1	28.3
2.	less than once a week	244	11.6	20.4
3.	once or twice a week	266	12.7	22.2
4.	3 or 4 times a week	175	8.3	14.6
5.	(almost) always	173	8.2	14.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1039 S/19 Frequency watching - NOVA-Den Haag Vandaag (Ned.3)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	359	17.1	30.1
2.	less than once a week	312	14.9	26.1
3.	once or twice a week	278	13.2	23.3
4.	3 or 4 times a week	132	6.3	11.1
5.	(almost) always	113	5.4	9.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1040 S/19 Frequency watching - RTL4-journaal (RTL4)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	294	14.0	24.6
2.	less than once a week	243	11.6	20.3
3.	once or twice a week	226	10.8	18.9
4.	3 or 4 times a week	183	8.7	15.3
5.	(almost) always	249	11.9	20.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1041 S/19 Frequency watching - 5 Uur Show (RTL4)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	884	42.1	73.9
2.	less than once a week	164	7.8	13.7
3.	once or twice a week	78	3.7	6.5
4.	3 or 4 times a week	29	1.4	2.4
5.	(almost) always	41	2.0	3.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	5	.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1042 S/19 Frequency watching - The Bold and the Beautiful (RTL4)*Refer to V1030 for complete question text*

1.	never	937	44.6	78.1
2.	less than once a week	73	3.5	6.1
3.	once or twice a week	48	2.3	4.0
4.	3 or 4 times a week	36	1.7	3.0
5.	(almost) always	105	5.0	8.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	2	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1043 S/19 Frequency watching - Goede Tijden Slechte Tijden (RTL4)*Refer to V1030 for complete question text*

1.	never	783	37.3	65.4
2.	less than once a week	106	5.0	8.8
3.	once or twice a week	87	4.1	7.3
4.	3 or 4 times a week	56	2.7	4.7
5.	(almost) always	166	7.9	13.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	3	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1044 S/19 Frequency watching - RTL5-journaal (RTL5)*Refer to V1030 for complete question text*

1.	never	638	30.4	53.5
2.	less than once a week	305	14.5	25.6
3.	once or twice a week	158	7.5	13.3
4.	3 or 4 times a week	47	2.2	3.9
5.	(almost) always	44	2.1	3.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1045 S/19 Frequency watching - Vijf in het Land (RTL5)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	723	34.4	60.7
2.	less than once a week	238	11.3	20.0
3.	once or twice a week	143	6.8	12.0
4.	3 or 4 times a week	54	2.6	4.5
5.	(almost) always	34	1.6	2.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1046 S/19 Frequency watching - Hart van Nederland (SBS6)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	565	26.9	47.4
2.	less than once a week	234	11.1	19.6
3.	once or twice a week	192	9.1	16.1
4.	3 or 4 times a week	101	4.8	8.5
5.	(almost) always	100	4.8	8.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1047 S/19 Frequency watching - Actienieuws (SBS6)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	737	35.1	62.2
2.	less than once a week	196	9.3	16.5
3.	once or twice a week	137	6.5	11.6
4.	3 or 4 times a week	56	2.7	4.7
5.	(almost) always	59	2.8	5.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1048 S/19 Frequency watching - Goudkust (SBS6)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	1059	50.4	88.7
2.	less than once a week	40	1.9	3.4
3.	once or twice a week	32	1.5	2.7
4.	3 or 4 times a week	13	.6	1.1
5.	(almost) always	50	2.4	4.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1049 S/19 Frequency watching - Onderweg naar Morgen (Veronica)
Refer to V1030 for complete question text

1.	never	1049	49.9	87.8
2.	less than once a week	53	2.5	4.4
3.	once or twice a week	25	1.2	2.1
4.	3 or 4 times a week	22	1.0	1.8
5.	(almost) always	46	2.2	3.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	6	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.11 Media consumption – radio

V1055 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 1

On the average, how many hours per week do you listen to the following radio stations? (per station, only one answer is possible)

1.	never	787	37.5	66.4
2.	less than one hour a week	187	8.9	15.8
3.	1-8 hours a week	146	6.9	12.3
4.	8-16 hours a week	41	2.0	3.5
5.	more than16 hours a week	25	1.2	2.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1056 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 2

Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	814	38.7	68.6
2.	less than one hour a week	182	8.7	15.3
3.	1-8 hours a week	110	5.2	9.3
4.	8-16 hours a week	42	2.0	3.5
5.	more than16 hours a week	39	1.9	3.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1057 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 3

Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	660	31.4	55.5
2.	less than one hour a week	249	11.9	20.9
3.	1-8 hours a week	172	8.2	14.5
4.	8-16 hours a week	49	2.3	4.1
5.	more than16 hours a week	59	2.8	5.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1058 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 4
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	972	46.3	82.2
2.	less than one hour a week	126	6.0	10.7
3.	1-8 hours a week	56	2.7	4.7
4.	8-16 hours a week	23	1.1	1.9
5.	more than 16 hours a week	6	.3	.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	18	.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1059 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 5
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	1021	48.6	86.2
2.	less than one hour a week	107	5.1	9.0
3.	1-8 hours a week	41	2.0	3.5
4.	8-16 hours a week	11	.5	.9
5.	more than 16 hours a week	4	.2	.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1060 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 10 Gold
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	782	37.2	65.7
2.	less than one hour a week	192	9.1	16.1
3.	1-8 hours a week	134	6.4	11.3
4.	8-16 hours a week	34	1.6	2.9
5.	more than 16 hours a week	49	2.3	4.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1061 S/20 Frequency listening to - Veronica
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	844	40.2	70.9
2.	less than one hour a week	169	8.0	14.2
3.	1-8 hours a week	115	5.5	9.7
4.	8-16 hours a week	39	1.9	3.3
5.	more than16 hours a week	24	1.1	2.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1062 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio 538
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	888	42.3	74.7
2.	less than one hour a week	124	5.9	10.4
3.	1-8 hours a week	105	5.0	8.8
4.	8-16 hours a week	43	2.0	3.6
5.	more than16 hours a week	28	1.3	2.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1063 S/20 Frequency listening to - Sky Radio
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	623	29.7	52.3
2.	less than one hour a week	198	9.4	16.6
3.	1-8 hours a week	215	10.2	18.1
4.	8-16 hours a week	73	3.5	6.1
5.	more than16 hours a week	82	3.9	6.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1064 S/20 Frequency listening to - Radio Klassiek
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	935	44.5	78.6
2.	less than one hour a week	121	5.8	10.2
3.	1-8 hours a week	102	4.9	8.6
4.	8-16 hours a week	19	.9	1.6
5.	more than 16 hours a week	12	.6	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	12	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1065 S/20 Frequency listening to - Regional network
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	668	31.8	56.3
2.	less than one hour a week	170	8.1	14.3
3.	1-8 hours a week	200	9.5	16.8
4.	8-16 hours a week	66	3.1	5.6
5.	more than 16 hours a week	83	4.0	7.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1066 S/20 Frequency listening to - other
Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	never	970	46.2	84.6
2.	less than one hour a week	40	1.9	3.5
3.	1-8 hours a week	61	2.9	5.3
4.	8-16 hours a week	27	1.3	2.4
5.	more than 16 hours a week	48	2.3	4.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	55	2.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1067

S/20 Other radiostation respondent listens to

Refer to V1055 for complete question text

1.	Noordzee	42	2.0	29.4
2.	jfk	15	.7	10.5
3.	talkradio	5	.2	3.5
5.	classic	11	.5	7.7
6.	foreign	26	1.2	18.2
7.	regional	18	.9	12.6
8.	ndr	5	.2	3.5
9.	other	21	1.0	14.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
997.	DK	6	.3	MD
998.	NA	1052	50.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.12 Media consumption – newspapers

V1070 S/21 Frequency reading - Telegraaf

On the average, how many hours per day do you spend reading the following newspapers? (per newspaper, only one answer is possible)

1.	never	845	40.2	71.3
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	134	6.4	11.3
3.	15 - 30 minutes a day	105	5.0	8.9
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	75	3.6	6.3
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	26	1.2	2.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1071 S/21 Frequency reading - Algemeen Dagblad

Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	955	45.5	80.6
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	109	5.2	9.2
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	63	3.0	5.3
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	46	2.2	3.9
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	12	.6	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	16	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1072 S/21 Frequency reading - NRC Handelsblad

Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	1055	50.2	88.8
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	53	2.5	4.5
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	30	1.4	2.5
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	39	1.9	3.3
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	11	.5	.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	13	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1073 S/21 Frequency reading - Volkskrant
Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	955	45.5	80.7
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	93	4.4	7.9
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	53	2.5	4.5
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	66	3.1	5.6
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	17	.8	1.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	17	.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1074 S/21 Frequency reading - Trouw
Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	1118	53.2	93.8
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	29	1.4	2.4
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	14	.7	1.2
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	19	.9	1.6
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	12	.6	1.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1075 S/21 Frequency reading - Parool
Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	1142	54.4	95.7
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	23	1.1	1.9
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	12	.6	1.0
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	9	.4	.8
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	7	.3	.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1076 S/21 Frequency reading - Regional newspaper
Refer to V1070 for complete question text

1.	never	297	14.1	25.0
2.	less than 15 minutes a day	220	10.5	18.5
3.	15 – 30 minutes a day	281	13.4	23.7
4.	30 – 60 minutes a day	266	12.7	22.4
5.	more than 60 minutes a day	122	5.8	10.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.13 Media consumption – magazines

V1080 S/22 Frequency reading - De Groene Amsterdammer

On the average, how many hours per week do you spend reading the following magazines? (per magazine, only one answer is possible)

1.	never	1172	55.8	98.2
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	19	.9	1.6
3.	2 hours a week	1	.0	.1
4.	2-3 hours a week	1	.0	.1
5.	more than 3 hours a week	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1081 S/22 Frequency reading - Elsevier

Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	1041	49.5	87.3
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	111	5.3	9.3
3.	2 hours a week	22	1.0	1.8
4.	2-3 hours a week	12	.6	1.0
5.	more than 3 hours a week	6	.3	.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	9	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1082 S/22 Frequency reading - Vrij Nederland

Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	1075	51.2	90.1
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	82	3.9	6.9
3.	2 hours a week	19	.9	1.6
4.	2-3 hours a week	8	.4	.7
5.	more than 3 hours a week	9	.4	.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1083 S/22 Frequency reading - Story
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	993	47.3	83.7
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	173	8.2	14.6
3.	2 hours a week	14	.7	1.2
4.	2-3 hours a week	5	.2	.4
5.	more than 3 hours a week	2	.1	.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1084 S/22 Frequency reading - Weekend
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	1037	49.4	87.4
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	138	6.6	11.6
3.	2 hours a week	10	.5	.8
4.	2-3 hours a week	1	.0	.1
5.	more than 3 hours a week	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	14	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1085 S/22 Frequency reading – Privé
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	991.	47.2	83.6
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	171	8.1	14.4
3.	2 hours a week	16	.8	1.3
4.	2-3 hours a week	4	.2	.3
5.	more than 3 hours a week	4	.2	.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	15	.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1086 S/22 Frequency reading - Panorama
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	974	46.4	81.8
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	186	8.9	15.6
3.	2 hours a week	26	1.2	2.2
4.	2-3 hours a week	2	.1	.2
5.	more than 3 hours a week	2	.1	.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	11	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1087 S/22 Frequency reading - Viva
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	1097	52.2	92.0
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	86	4.1	7.2
3.	2 hours a week	10	.5	.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1088 S/22 Frequency reading - Margriet
Refer to V1080 for complete question text

1.	never	909	43.3	76.2
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	211	10.0	17.7
3.	2 hours a week	47	2.2	3.9
4.	2-3 hours a week	19	.9	1.6
5.	more than 3 hours a week	7	.3	.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1089**S/22 Frequency reading - Libelle***Refer to V1080 for complete question text*

1.	never	854	40.6	71.7
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	243	11.6	20.4
3.	2 hours a week	64	3.0	5.4
4.	2-3 hours a week	22	1.0	1.8
5.	more than 3 hours a week	8	.4	.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1090**S/22 Frequency reading - Other***Refer to V1080 for complete question text*

1.	never	703	33.5	60.6
2.	less than 60 minutes a week	224	10.7	19.3
3.	2 hours a week	129	6.1	11.1
4.	2-3 hours a week	55	2.6	4.7
5.	more than 3 hours a week	49	2.3	4.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	41	2.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.14 Political television commercials

V1095 S/23 Seen television commercial of PvdA

During the election period, have you seen a promotional film or a broadcast of a political party on television? (per party, only one answer is possible)

1.	yes	628	29.9	55.9
2.	no	496	23.6	44.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	77	3.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1096 S/23 Seen television commercial of CDA

Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	527	25.1	47.5
2.	no	582	27.7	52.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	92	4.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1097 S/23 Seen television commercial of VVD

Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	520	24.8	47.1
2.	no	584	27.8	52.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	97	4.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1098 S/23 Seen television commercial of D66
Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	480	22.8	43.7
2.	no	618	29.4	56.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	103	4.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1099 S/23 Seen television commercial of GroenLinks
Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	438	20.8	39.9
2.	no	661	31.5	60.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	102	4.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1100 S/23 Seen television commercial of SP
Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	319	15.2	29.5
2.	no	762	36.3	70.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	120	5.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1101 S/23 Seen television commercial of other party
Refer to V1095 for complete question text

1.	yes	102	4.9	9.9
2.	no	927	44.1	90.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	172	8.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1105**S/24 Evaluation television commercial of PVDA**

How did you like this promotional film? (per party, only one answer is possible)

When you have not seen a promotional film of a party (question 23), you do not have to fill in anything for that party

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1095, code 2)

1.	good	242	11.5	46.7
2.	mediocre	257	12.2	49.6
3.	bad	19	.9	3.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	187	8.9	MD
999.	INAP	496	23.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1106**S/24 Evaluation television commercial of CDA**

Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1096, code 2)

1.	good	85	4.0	19.6
2.	mediocre	256	12.2	59.1
3.	bad	92	4.4	21.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	186	8.9	MD
999.	INAP	582	27.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1107**S/24 Evaluation television commercial of VVD**

Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1097, code 2)

1.	good	126	6.0	29.9
2.	mediocre	240	11.4	56.9
3.	bad	56	2.7	13.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	195	9.3	MD
999.	INAP	584	27.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1108 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of D66
Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1098, code 2)

1.	good	98	4.7	25.5
2.	mediocre	227	10.8	59.0
3.	bad	60	2.9	15.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	198	9.4	MD
999.	INAP	618	29.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1109 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of GroenLinks
Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1099, code 2)

1.	good	146	6.9	41.6
2.	mediocre	158	7.5	45.0
3.	bad	47	2.2	13.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	189	9.0	MD
999.	INAP	661	31.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1110 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of SP
Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1100, code 2)

1.	good	83	4.0	33.2
2.	mediocre	124	5.9	49.6
3.	bad	43	2.0	17.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	189	9.0	MD
999.	INAP	762	36.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1111 S/24 Evaluation television commercial of other party
Refer to V1105 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1101, code 2)

1.	good	14	.7	22.6
2.	mediocre	23	1.1	37.1
3.	bad	25	1.2	40.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	212	10.1	MD
999.	INAP	927	44.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.15 Internet

V1115 S/25 Used internet to find information about electoral campaign

During the election period, did you ever use the Internet to get information on politics?

1.	yes	72	3.4	6.1
2.	no	1110	52.8	93.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	19	.9	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.16 Approached by a political party

V1120 S/26 Approached by mail by PvdA

During the election period, have you been contacted by a politician of a political party through mail or through a talk on the street?

0.	no	1015	48.3	84.6
1.	yes	185	8.8	15.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1121 S/26 Approached on the street by PvdA

Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1173	55.8	97.8
1.	yes	27	1.3	2.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1122 S/26 Approached in another way by PvdA

Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1173	55.8	97.8
1.	yes	27	1.3	2.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1123 S/26 Approached by mail by CDA
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1029	49.0	85.8
1.	yes	171	8.1	14.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1124 S/26 Approached on the street by CDA
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1164	55.4	97.0
1.	yes	36	1.7	3.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1125 S/26 Approached in another way by CDA
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1182	56.3	98.5
1.	yes	18	.9	1.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1126 S/26 Approached by mail by VVD
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1066	50.7	88.8
1.	yes	134	6.4	11.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1127 S/26 Approached on the street by VVD
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1175	55.9	97.9
1.	yes	25	1.2	2.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1128 S/26 Approached in another way by VVD
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1178	56.1	98.2
1.	yes	22	1.0	1.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1129 S/26 Approached by mail by D66
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1081	51.5	90.1
1.	yes	119	5.7	9.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1130 S/26 Approached on the street by D66
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1176	56.0	98.0
1.	yes	24	1.1	2.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1131 S/26 Approached in another way by D66
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1177	56.0	98.1
1.	yes	23	1.1	1.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1132 S/26 Approached by mail by GroenLinks
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1090	51.9	90.8
1.	yes	110	5.2	9.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1133 S/26 Approached on the street by GroenLinks
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1179	56.1	98.3
1.	yes	21	1.0	1.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1134 S/26 Approached in another way by GroenLinks
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1180	56.2	98.3
1.	yes	20	1.0	1.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1135 S/26 Approached by mail by SGP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1152	54.8	96.0
1.	yes	48	2.3	4.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1136 S/26 Approached on the street by SGP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1196	56.9	99.7
1.	yes	4	.2	.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1137 S/26 Approached in another way by SGP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1192	56.7	99.3
1.	yes	8	.4	.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1138 S/26 Approached by mail by GPV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1162	55.3	96.8
1.	yes	38	1.8	3.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1139 S/26 Approached on the street by GPV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1195	56.9	99.6
1.	yes	5	.2	.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1140 S/26 Approached in another way by GPV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1197	57.0	99.8
1.	yes	3	.1	.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1141 S/26 Approached by mail by RPF
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1161	55.3	96.8
1.	yes	39	1.9	3.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1142 S/26 Approached on the street by RPF
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1194	56.8	99.5
1.	yes	6	.3	.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1143 S/26 Approached in another way by RPF
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1193	56.8	99.4
1.	yes	7	.3	.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1144 S/26 Approached by mail by Centrumdemocraten
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1184	56.4	98.7
1.	yes	16	.8	1.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1145 S/26 Approached on the street by Centrumdemocraten
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1199	57.1	99.9
1.	yes	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1146 S/26 Approached in another way by Centrumdemocraten
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1198	57.0	99.8
1.	yes	2	.1	.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1147 S/26 Approached by mail by UNIE 55+
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1177	56.0	98.1
1.	yes	23	1.1	1.9
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1148 S/26 Approached on the street by UNIE 55+
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1195	56.9	99.6
1.	yes	5	.2	.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1149 S/26 Approached in another way by UNIE 55+
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1196	56.9	99.7
1.	yes	4	.2	.3
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1150 S/26 Approached by mail by AOV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1178	56.1	98.2
1.	yes	22	1.0	1.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1151 S/26 Approached on the street by AOV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1198	57.0	99.8
1.	yes	2	.1	.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1152 S/26 Approached in another way by AOV
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1195	56.9	99.6
1.	yes	5	.2	.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1153 S/26 Approached by mail by SP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1087	51.7	90.6
1.	yes	113	5.4	9.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1154 S/26 Approached on the street by SP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1183	56.3	98.6
1.	yes	17	.8	1.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1155 S/26 Approached in another way by SP
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1178	56.1	98.2
1.	yes	22	1.0	1.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1156 S/26 Approached by mail by Seniorens 2000
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1182	56.3	98.5
1.	yes	18	.9	1.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1157 S/26 Approached on the street by Seniorens 2000
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1198	57.0	99.8
1.	yes	2	.1	.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1158 S/26 Approached in another way by Seniorens 2000
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1199	57.1	99.9
1.	yes	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1159 S/26 Approached by mail by other party
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1192	56.7	99.3
1.	yes	8	.4	.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1160 S/ 26 Approached on the street by other party
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1199	57.1	99.9
1.	yes	1	.0	.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1161 S/26 Approached in another way by other party
Refer to V1120 for complete question text

0.	no	1191	56.7	99.3
1.	yes	9	.4	.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	1	.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.17 Political interest

V1164 S/27 Interested in politics?

Are you very interested in political topics, fairly interested or not interested?

1.	very interested	111	5.3	9.3
2.	fairly interested	817	38.9	68.5
3.	not interested	265	12.6	22.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	8	.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1165 S/28 Political interest - municipality

Are you very, fairly, not much, or not at all interested in political matters in ...?

(per event only one answer is possible)

Your Municipality

1.	very much	97	4.6	8.3
2.	fairly much	517	24.6	44.1
3.	little	500	23.8	42.6
4.	not at all	59	2.8	5.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	28	1.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1166 S/28 Political interest - neighborhood

Refer to V1165 for complete question text

Your Neighbourhood

1.	very much	110	5.2	9.7
2.	fairly much	445	21.2	39.2
3.	little	488	23.2	43.0
4.	not at all	92	4.4	8.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	66	3.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1167 S/28 Political interest - province
Refer to V1165 for complete question text
 Your Province

1.	very much	27	1.3	2.4
2.	fairly much	341	16.2	30.1
3.	little	638	30.4	56.4
4.	not at all	126	6.0	11.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	69	3.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1168 S/28 Political interest - Europe
Refer to V1165 for complete question text
 Europe

1.	very much	62	3.0	5.5
2.	fairly much	360	17.1	31.8
3.	little	549	26.1	48.5
4.	not at all	161	7.7	14.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	69	3.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1169 S/28 Political interest - rest of the world
Refer to V1165 for complete question text
 The rest of the world.

1.	very much	70	3.3	6.2
2.	fairly much	417	19.8	36.8
3.	little	492	23.4	43.5
4.	not at all	153	7.3	13.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	69	3.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.18 Reading (inter)national news

V1170 S/29 Reads national news in national newspaper

In which papers do you read national news:
national newspaper

0.	no	553	26.3	47.5
1.	yes	611	29.1	52.5
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1171 S/29 Reads national news in regional/local newspaper

regional, local newspaper

Refer to V1170 for complete question text

0.	no	342	16.3	29.4
1.	yes	822	39.1	70.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1172 S/29 Reads national news in weekly magazine

weekly magazine

Refer to V1170 for complete question text

0.	no	903	43.0	77.6
1.	yes	261	12.4	22.4
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1173 S/29 Reads national news in monthly magazine
 monthly magazine
Refer to V1170 for complete question text

0.	no	1073	51.1	92.2
1.	yes	91	4.3	7.8
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	37	1.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1174 S/29 Reads foreign news in national newspaper
 In which papers do you read foreign news:
 national newspaper

0.	no	490	23.3	45.0
1.	yes	600	28.6	55.0
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	111	5.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1175 S/29 Reads foreign news in regional/local newspaper
 regional/local newspaper
Refer to V1174 for complete question text

0.	no	489	23.3	44.9
1.	yes	601	28.6	55.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	111	5.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1176 S/29 Reads foreign news in weekly magazine
 weekly magazine
Refer to V1174 for complete question text

0.	no	897	42.7	82.3
1.	yes	193	9.2	17.7
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	111	5.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1177 S/29 Reads foreign news in monthly magazine
 monthly magazine
Refer to V1174 for complete question text

0.	no	1018	48.5	93.4
1.	yes	72	3.4	6.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	111	5.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.19 Convincing others to vote for party

V1180 S/30 Did you recommend acquaintance to vote for party?
 During the election campaign, did you advise acquaintances to vote for a specific party?

1.	yes	220	10.5	18.4
2.	no	974	46.4	81.6
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	7	.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1181 S/31 Did acquaintance recommend you to vote for party?
 And did any of your acquaintances advise you to vote for a specific party?

1.	yes	189	9.0	15.9
2.	no	1002	47.7	84.1
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	10	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

V1182 S/32 Friends generally have same party preference
 Do your friends and acquaintances generally have the same party preference as you, or do they have a different party preference?

1.	yes	320	15.2	47.8
2.	no	350	16.7	52.2
996.	PA	900	42.8	MD
998.	NA	531	25.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		2101	100.0	100.0

3.5.20 Completion information

V1185 S/33 What day did you fill in this questionnaire? (yyymmdd)
What is the date on which you filled in this questionnaire?

V1186 S/34 What time did you fill in this questionnaire? (hhmm)
What is the time at which you filled in this questionnaire?

V1187 S/35 Duration of filling in questionnaire (mmm)
How long did it take you to complete this questionnaire?

V1188 S/ Date of receipt of the questionnaire (yyymmdd)
This is based on a record kept by the fieldwork organization

3.5.21 Text variables self-completion questionnaire

- V1200 S/8 Profession of first relevant person (text)**
What is the profession or function of this person?
If one of the persons has never had a paid job (question 7), you do not have to fill in anything for this person.
- V1201 S/8 Profession of second relevant person (text)**
Refer to V1200 for complete question text
- V1202 S/8 Profession of third relevant person (text)**
Refer to V1200 for complete question text
- V1203 S/20 Frequency listening to other - namely (text)**
On the average, how many hours per week do you listen to the following radio stations? (per station, only one answer is possible)
- V1204 S/22 Frequency reading other - namely (text)**
On the average, how many hours per week do you spend reading the following magazines? (per magazine, only one answer is possible)
- V1205 S/23 Seen television commercial of other party - namely (text)**
During the election period, have you seen a promotional film or a broadcast of a political party on television? (per party, only 1 answer is possible)
- V1206 S/26 Approached by other party. namely (text)**
During the election period, have you been contacted by a politician of a political party through mail or through a talk on the street?

3.6 Documentation of variables of non-response and stimulus effect project

3.6.1 Interview identification

V0001 0/ Study number

The Steinmetz study number of the special file is P1416

V0002 0/ Respondent identification number

Respondent identification number ranges from 10003 to 72279.

V0003 0/ Type of interview record

11.	sample 1/w1-w2-S: self-completion	1199	21.2	21.2
12.	sample 1/w1-w2: second wave	615	10.9	10.9
13.	sample 1/w1-C: interviewed CATI	143	2.5	2.5
14.	sample 1/w1-N: first wave	144	2.5	2.5
15.	sample 1/NR-C: non-response study	926	16.4	16.4
16.	sample 1/NR-NR: non-response first sample	1180	20.9	20.9
21.	sample 2/C: interviewed CATI	712	12.6	12.6
22.	sample 2/NR-C: non-response before CATI	388	6.9	6.9
23.	sample 2/card: returned postcard	85	1.5	1.5
24.	sample 2/NR-NR: non-response second sample	266	4.7	4.7
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.2 Contextual variables

V0010 0/ Region

North (Groningen, Friesland, Drenthe)
East (Overijssel, Flevoland, Gelderland)
West (Utrecht, Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland, Zeeland)
South (Noord-Brabant, Limburg).

1.	North	647	11.4	11.4
2.	East	1178	20.8	20.8
3.	South	1250	22.1	22.1
4.	West	2583	45.7	45.7
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0011 0/ Municipality

This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

V0012 0/ Zip code

This variable has been removed from the public datafile for reasons of privacy.

V0013 0/ Degree of urbanization

This variable gives an indication of the degree of urbanization of the municipality the respondent lives in. This variable was designed by Statistics Netherlands.

<i>Code</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Description</i>			
1	<i>very strongly urban</i>	<i>more than 2,500 addresses per square kilometer</i>			
2	<i>strongly urban</i>	<i>1,500 - 2,499 addresses per square kilometer</i>			
3	<i>mildly urban</i>	<i>1,000 - 1,499 addresses per square kilometer</i>			
4	<i>hardly urban</i>	<i>500 - 999 addresses per square kilometer</i>			
5	<i>not urban</i>	<i>1 - 499 addresses per square kilometer</i>			
1.	very strongly urban		951	16.8	16.8
2.	strongly urban		1384	24.5	24.5
3.	mildly urban		1361	24.1	24.1
4.	hardly urban		992.	17.5	17.5
5.	not urban		970	17.1	17.1
			<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
			5658	100.0	100.0

V0014

0/ Neighborhood characteristic - welfare

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable.

	Income	Housing prices
W1	<i>At least 2x modal</i>	<i>high</i>
W2	<i>1x-2x modal</i>	<i>above average</i>
W3	<i>1x modal</i>	<i>average</i>
W4	<i>below modal</i>	<i>low</i>
W5	<i>low (unemployed)</i>	<i>low</i>
W6	<i>both high and low</i>	<i>both low and high</i>

Level of welfare in this zip-code area.

1.	W1 – high	321	5.7	5.7
2.	W2 - above average	1510	26.7	26.9
3.	W3 – average	2394	42.3	42.7
4.	W4 – low	861	15.2	15.4
5.	W5 – minimum	239	4.2	4.3
6.	W6 – heterogeneous	279	4.9	5.0
998.	NA	54	1.0	MD
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0015

0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class A

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable.

<i>Income</i>	<i>Education (lowest level)</i>	<i>Housing ownership</i>	<i>Social Class</i>
<i>above modal</i>	<i>university/higher vocational</i>	-	
<i>above modal</i>	<i>higher level secondary</i>	yes	
<i>unknown</i>	<i>university</i>	-	A
<i>above modal</i>	<i>higher level secondary/middle vocational</i>	no	
<i>modal</i>	<i>university</i>	-	
<i>unknown</i>	<i>higher vocational</i>	-	B1
<i>above modal</i>	<i>unknown/ lower vocational/no education</i>	-	
<i>modal</i>	<i>higher level secondary/higher vocational</i>	-	
<i>below modal</i>	<i>higher vocational/university</i>	-	
<i>unknown</i>	<i>higher level secondary/middle vocational</i>	-	B2
<i>unknown</i>	<i>unknown</i>	yes	
<i>modal</i>	<i>lower vocational</i>	-	
<i>below modal</i>	<i>higher level secondary</i>	-	
<i>unknown</i>	<i>unknown/lower vocational/middle secondary</i>	no	C
<i>below modal</i>	<i>lower vocational</i>	-	
<i>unknown</i>	<i>primary school</i>	-	D

The percentage of households in social class A as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1	extremely low	0.00-0.49	less than half the mean percentage			
2	very low	0.50-0.66				
3	low	0.67-0.79				
4	less than average	0.80-0.89				
5	average	0.90-1.09	(about) the mean percentage			
6	more than average	1.10-1.24				
7	high	1.25-1.49				
8	very high	1.50-1.99				
9	extremely high	2.00- >	more than 2 times the mean percentage			
1.	extremely low			1318	23.3	24.3
2.	very low			547	9.7	10.1
3.	low			451	8.0	8.3
4.	less than average			340	6.0	6.3
5.	average			583	10.3	10.7
6.	more than average			403	7.1	7.4
7.	high			573	10.1	10.6
8.	very high			771	13.6	14.2
9.	extremely high			440	7.8	8.1
998.	NA			232	4.1	MD
				5658	100.0	100.0

V0016**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B1**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class B1 as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	727	12.8	12.9
2.	very low	558	9.9	9.9
3.	low	466	8.2	8.3
4.	less than average	412	7.3	7.3
5.	average	884	15.6	15.7
6.	more than average	797	14.1	14.2
7.	high	1255	22.2	22.3
8.	very high	520	9.2	9.2
9.	extremely high	5	.1	.1
998.	NA	34	.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0017

0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class B2

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class B2 as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

2.	very low	57	1.0	1.0
3.	low	95	1.7	1.7
4.	less than average	1926	34.0	34.2
5.	average	2525	44.6	44.9
6.	more than average	439	7.8	7.8
7.	high	323	5.7	5.7
8.	very high	180	3.2	3.2
9.	extremely high	84	1.5	1.5
998.	NA	29	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0018**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class C**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class C as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	203	3.6	3.6
2.	very low	411	7.3	7.3
3.	low	607	10.7	10.8
4.	less than average	627	11.1	11.1
5.	average	1413	25.0	25.1
6.	more than average	1177	20.8	20.9
7.	high	1183	20.9	21.0
8.	very high	7	.1	.1
998.	NA	30	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0019**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - social class D**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0015 for information about the construction of the social classes and the construction of the categories.

The percentage of households in social class D as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area

1.	extremely low	1501	26.5	27.4
2.	very low	610	10.8	11.1
3.	low	427	7.5	7.8
4.	less than average	299	5.3	5.5
5.	average	456	8.1	8.3
6.	more than average	295	5.2	5.4
7.	high	501	8.9	9.1
8.	very high	789	13.9	14.4
9.	extremely high	607	10.7	11.1
998.	NA	173	3.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0020**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education low**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

Level of education
 Low *Lower vocational or no education at all*
 Middle *Higher than lower level vocational - Middle level vocational*
 High *Higher than middle level vocational*

The percentage of persons with a low level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	654	11.6	11.7
2.	very low	598	10.6	10.7
3.	low	586	10.4	10.5
4.	less than average	493	8.7	8.8
5.	average	990	17.5	17.7
6.	more than average	763	13.5	13.6
7.	high	900	15.9	16.1
8.	very high	613	10.8	10.9
9.	extremely high	7	.1	.1
998.	NA	54	1.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0021**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education middle**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0020 for information about the construction of levels of education. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

The percentage of persons with a middle level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	46	.8	.8
2.	very low	77	1.4	1.4
3.	low	281	5.0	5.0
4.	less than average	760	13.4	13.5
5.	average	2821	49.9	50.1
6.	more than average	1361	24.1	24.2
7.	high	275	4.9	4.9
8.	very high	8	.1	.1
998.	NA	29	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

5658 100.0 100.0

V0022**0/ Neighborhood characteristic - education high**

Refer to 4.4.3 for more information about the construction of this Geo-Marktprofil variable. Refer to variable V0020 for information about the construction of levels of education. Refer to V0015 for information about the construction of the categories.

The percentage of persons with a high level of education as compared to the national mean in this zip-code area.

1.	extremely low	660	11.7	11.7
2.	very low	890	15.7	15.8
3.	low	812	14.4	14.4
4.	less than average	451	8.0	8.0
5.	average	885	15.6	15.7
6.	more than average	519	9.2	9.2
7.	high	676	11.9	12.0
8.	very high	540	9.5	9.6
9.	extremely high	196	3.5	3.5
998.	NA	29	.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.3 Political variables (first wave equivalents)

V0033 INH/ Interested in politics?

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: Are you very interested in political topics, fairly interested or not interested at all?

Main study: Are you very interested in political topics, fairly interested or not interested?

1.	very interested	359	6.3	9.4
2.	fairly interested	2197	38.8	57.8
3.	not interested	1247	22.0	32.8
997.	DK	5	.1	MD
998.	NA	1850	32.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0075

IN/ Intends to vote in 1998 parliamentary elections?

Non-response: As you may know, elections for the Second Chamber will be held in May this year. Do you intend to vote or not, or do you not know yet ? (Not asked in stimulus-effect study)

Main study: As you may know, elections for the Second Chamber will be held in May of this year. Do you intend to vote or not, or don't you know yet?

1.	yes	2494	44.1	90.6
2.	no	259	4.6	9.4
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
997.	DK	224	4.0	MD
998.	NA	1210	21.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0076**IN/11 Vote intention 1998 parliamentary elections***Non-response study: Which party do you intend to vote for on May 6?**Main study: Which party do you intend to vote for on May 6?**999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 2)*

1.	PVDA	517	9.1	28.7
2.	CDA	371	6.6	20.6
3.	VVD	417	7.4	23.1
4.	D66	126	2.2	7.0
5.	GroenLinks	140	2.5	7.8
6.	SGP	34	.6	1.9
7.	GPV	25	.4	1.4
8.	RPF	49	.9	2.7
9.	Centrumdemocraten	5	.1	.3
10.	Unie 55+	4	.1	.2
11.	AOV	14	.2	.8
12.	SP	90	1.6	5.0
19.	CPN	1	.0	.1
21.	PSP	1	.0	.1
23.	Senioren 2000	5	.1	.3
61.	Nederland Mobiel	1	.0	.1
63.	De Groenen	3	.1	.2
66.	VIP	1	.0	.1
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
993.	invalid	3	.1	MD
994.	uncodable	26	.5	MD
995.	abstention	19	.3	MD
997.	DK	709	12.5	MD
998.	NA	1367	24.2	MD
999.	INAP	259	4.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0167

INH/ Did (not) vote in most recent municipal elections

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: Did you vote in the most recent municipal elections?

Main study: Did you vote in the latest municipal elections?

1.	yes	2609	46.1	70.8
2.	no	1058	18.7	28.7
3.	not enfranchised	17	.3	.5
997.	DK	9	.2	MD
998.	NA	1965	34.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.4 Background characteristics

V0280 INH/4b Number of household members including respondent

Stimulus effect study: Including yourself, how many persons belong to your household?

Main study: Now I would like to ask you some questions about yourself, your household and the members of your family. Including yourself, how many persons belong to your household?

1.	one person	456	8.1	16.3
2.	two or more persons	2342	41.4	83.7
991.	NS	2106	37.2	MD
997.	DK	10	.2	MD
998.	NA	744	13.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0281 INH/4c Type of household composition

Stimulus effect study: Can you tell me the composition of the household you belong to?

Main study: Can you tell me the composition of the household you belong to? Please include all persons living in this household, any resident persons too.

- What is the name of the first/second/etceteras person in the household?

- What is the relationship of [this person] to yourself?

- What is the sex of [this person]?

- What is the age of [this person]?

1.	single person	456	8.1	16.3
2.	couple, no children	905	16.0	32.4
3.	couple, child(ren)	1071	18.9	38.3
4.	couple, child(ren), other(s)	34	.6	1.2
5.	couple, other(s)	3	.1	.1
6.	1 parent, child(ren)	83	1.5	3.0
7.	1 parent, child(ren), other(s)	7	.1	.3
8.	other	235	4.2	8.4
991.	NS	2106	37.2	MD
997.	DK	4	.1	MD
998.	NA	754	13.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0288 0/ Sex of respondent

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: INT: Write down the sex of respondent

Main study: Refer to V0281 for complete question text

1.	male	2579	45.6	47.2
2.	female	2881	50.9	52.8
998.	NA	198	3.5	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0316 Age of respondent at time of interview

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: Will you allow me to ask you your age?

Main study: Refer to V0281 for complete question text

V0350 0/ Date of birth of respondent (yyyymmdd)

Ranges from 19070504 TO 19800505 (2 missing cases). These data are derived from the sample frame.

V0352

INH/ Highest education (completed) of respondent

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: Could you indicate your highest educational degree?

Main study: The next questions are about your own education.

Showcard 19 presented, listing response alternatives (refer to 4.2.5 below).

Could you indicate by means of this card the highest education for which you received a diploma? You can mention the number of the education.

1.	LA - elementary	503	8.9	14.1
2.	LB - extended elementary	58	1.0	1.6
3.	LV - (lower) vocational	655	11.6	18.4
4.	U - secondary	628	11.1	17.7
5.	UV - middle level vocational	365	6.5	10.3
6.	M - higher level secondary	337	6.0	9.5
7.	MV - higher middle level vocational	267	4.7	7.5
8.	HBO - higher level vocational	445	7.9	12.5
9.	S - university level vocational	45	.8	1.3
10.	H - university	254	4.5	7.1
997.	DK	46	.8	MD
998.	NA	2055	36.3	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.5 Interview information

V0504 **0/ Date of computer assisted telephone interview (CATI)
(yyyymmdd)**

Standard computer data.

V0516 **0/ Time start of CATI interview (hh:mm:ss)**

Standard computer data.

V0517 **0/ Time end of CATI interview (hh:mm:ss)**

Standard computer data.

3.6.6 Political variables (second wave equivalent)

V0610 IIH/ Did (not) vote in 1998 parliamentary elections

Stimulus effect study: As you may know, elections for the Second Chamber have been held on May 6. Did or did you not vote?

Main study: Did you vote in the parliamentary election on May 6?

1.	yes	2382	42.1	86.0
2.	no	387	6.8	14.0
991.	NS	2086	36.9	MD
998.	NA	803	14.2	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0611 IIH/ Party voted for in 1998 parliamentary elections

Stimulus effect study: Which party did you vote for?

Main study: Which party did you vote for?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0610, code 2)

1.	PVDA	633	11.2	28.6
2.	CDA	431	7.6	19.5
3.	VVD	487	8.6	22.0
4.	D66	250	4.4	11.3
5.	GroenLinks	193	3.4	8.7
6.	SGP	23	.4	1.0
7.	GPV	29	.5	1.3
8.	RPF	48	.8	2.2
9.	Centrumdemocraten	6	.1	.3
10.	Unie 55+	3	.1	.1
11.	AOV	6	.1	.3
12.	SP	83	1.5	3.7
15.	KVP\RKSP	1	.0	.0
23.	Senioren 2000	5	.1	.2
61.	Nederland Mobiel	5	.1	.2
63.	De Groenen	8	.1	.4
64.	Natuurwetpartij	2	.0	.1
65.	KPP	1	.0	.0
66.	VIP	1	.0	.0
991.	NS	2086	36.9	MD
994.	uncodable	5	.1	MD
995.	abstention	11	.2	MD
997.	DK	21	.4	MD
998.	NA	933	16.5	MD
999.	INAP	387	6.8	MD

5658 100.0 100.0

V0790

INH/ MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: I would like to know your opinion on two statements.

Members of parliament do not care about the opinions of people like me. Do you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with this statement.

Main study: I shall now read you a number of statements. Would you tell me for each statement if, according to you, it is true or false?

Members of parliament do not care about the opinions of people like me

Showcard 19 presented, listing the response categories 'true' and 'false'.

1.	agree	1508	26.7	46.0
2.	disagree	1770	31.3	54.0
997.	DK	231	4.1	MD
998.	NA	2149	38.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V0798

INH/ Politics sometimes too complicated

Non-response and Stimulus effect study: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on. Do you fully agree, agree, disagree or fully disagree with this statement.

Main study: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that people like me cannot really understand what is going on.

1.	fully agree	525	9.3	15.4
2.	agree	1549	27.4	45.3
3.	disagree	1136	20.1	33.2
4.	fully disagree	208	3.7	6.1
997.	DK	90	1.6	MD
998.	NA	2150	38.0	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.7 Reasons for not intending to vote

V1300 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - first Why do you not intend to vote?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 1, only asked in non-response study)

10.	physical/personal circumstances	4	.1	3.5
11.	ill/handicapped/too old	1	.0	.9
20.	absence	3	.1	2.7
21.	no time	2	.0	1.8
24.	holiday	1	.0	.9
30.	not interested	41	.7	36.3
31.	no significance to me	1	.0	.9
42.	does not vote on principle	1	.0	.9
50.	could not make a choice	2	.0	1.8
72.	parties/politicians do not keep their promises	5	.1	4.4
73.	do not trust parties/politicians	6	.1	5.3
74.	voting is no use	7	.1	6.2
75.	voting does not yield any profit	12	.2	10.6
76.	politics are messy	1	.0	.9
80.	feelings of incompetence	5	.1	4.4
81.	too little knowledge	10	.2	8.8
110.	trust	1	.0	.9
120.	other	10	.2	8.8
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
997.	DK	74	1.3	MD
998.	NA	1181	20.9	MD
999.	INAP	718	12.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1301 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - second
Refer to V1300 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 1, only asked in non-response study)

11.	ill/handicapped/too old	1	.0	2.4
30.	not interested	10	.2	23.8
50.	could not make a choice	1	.0	2.4
72.	parties/politicians do not keep their promises	4	.1	9.5
73.	do not trust parties/politicians	3	.1	7.1
74.	voting is no use	2	.0	4.8
75.	voting does not yield any profit	7	.1	16.7
76.	politics are messy	1	.0	2.4
81.	too little knowledge	4	.1	9.5
120.	other	8	.1	21.4
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
995.	no other answer	72	1.3	MD
997.	DK	74	1.3	MD
998.	NA	1181	20.9	MD
999.	INAP	718	12.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1302 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - third
Refer to V1300 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 1, only asked in non-response study)

72.	parties/politicians do not keep their promises	2	.0	18.2
74.	voting is no use	1	.0	9.1
75.	voting does not yield any profit	1	.0	9.1
76.	politics are messy	1	.0	9.1
120.	other	6	.1	54.5
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
995.	no other answer	102	1.8	MD
997.	DK	74	1.3	MD
998.	NA	1181	20.9	MD
999.	INAP	718	12.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1303 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fourth
Refer to V1300 for complete question text

999 (inappropriate) routing (V0075, code 1, only asked in non-response study)

74.	voting is no use	2	.0	66.7
77.	parties are all the same	1	.0	33.3
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	20	.4	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
995.	no other answer	110	1.9	MD
997.	DK	74	1.3	MD
998.	NA	1181	20.9	MD
999.	INAP	718	12.7	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.8 Non-response information

V1310 I/ Reason for not willing to participate in first wave (first wave) As reported by the interviewer.

21.	never participates	285	5.0	13.5
22.	not interested in this topic	952	16.8	45.2
23.	because of privacy	75	1.3	3.6
24.	feelings of incapacity	62	1.1	2.9
25.	inconvenience	91	1.6	4.3
26.	uselessness	47	.8	2.2
31.	ill/handicapped	152	2.7	7.2
32.	language	21	.4	1.0
33.	occupied/visitors	21	.4	1.0
34.	not able to make appointment	178	3.1	8.5
51.	holiday	48	.8	2.3
61.	not present, despite appointment	24	.4	1.1
62.	not able to contact	148	2.6	7.0
99.	other	2	.0	.1
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1311 N/O Reason for not willing to participate in non-response study
As reported by the CATI interviewer.

5.	refusal during interview (no reason coded)	498	8.8	42.2
11.	no time	2	.0	.2
12.	not interested	14	.2	1.2
21.	never participates	3	.1	.3
22.	not interested in this topic	4	.1	.3
23.	privacy	3	.1	.3
24.	feelings of incapacity	2	.0	.2
25.	inconvenience	6	.1	.5
31.	ill/handicapped	45	.8	3.8
32.	language	4	.1	.3
34.	not able to make appointment	20	.4	1.7
51.	holiday	21	.4	1.8
61.	not present despite appointment	1	.0	.1
62.	no contact/not present	2	.0	.2
71.	answering machine	3	.1	.3
73.	no telephone/secret number	279	4.9	23.6
80.	unable to contact	203	3.6	17.2
99.	other	70	1.2	5.9
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
999.	INAP	926	16.4	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1312

N/1 Reason for not willing to participate in first wave (non-response study)

Non-response study: We would like to know why you were are not participating in the Dutch National Election Study. Can you please tell me more about your choice not to participate?

1.	never participates: matter of principle	190	3.4	14.8
2.	does not participate now: not interested in topic	230	4.1	17.9
3.	privacy: no strangers into the house	23	.4	1.8
4.	privacy: fear lack of confidentiality	7	.1	.5
5.	privacy: does not hand over personal data	36	.6	2.8
6.	incapacity: I do not know anything about it	48	.8	3.7
7.	incapacity: better ask someone else	8	.1	.6
8.	incapacity: I am too old	117	2.1	9.1
9.	inconvenience: too often bothered with surveys	138	2.4	10.7
10.	inconvenience: takes too much time or effort	229	4.0	17.8
11.	inconvenience: too many personal trouble	27	.5	2.1
12.	inconvenience: bad timing	87	1.5	6.8
13.	uselessness: they will not use it	24	.4	1.9
14.	uselessness: I never hear from them again	4	.1	.3
15.	uselessness: it is of no importance to me	27	.5	2.1
16.	other	93	1.6	7.2
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
997.	DK	1	.0	MD
998.	NA	809	14.3	MD
999.	INAP	8	.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1313

II/ Reason for not willing to participate in second wave despite promise

We would like to know why you were are not participating in the second wave of the Dutch National Election Study. Can you please tell me more about your choice not to participate?

11.	no time	7	.1	4.3
12.	not interested	5	.1	3.1
21.	never participates	1	.0	.6
22.	not interested in this topic	19	.3	11.8
23.	privacy	4	.1	2.5
24.	feelings of incapacity	4	.1	2.5
25.	inconvenience	5	.1	3.1
31.	ill/handicapped	13	.2	8.1
32.	language	2	.0	1.2
33.	occupied/visitors	5	.1	3.1
34.	not able to make appointment	42	.7	26.1
51.	holiday	15	.3	9.3
61.	not present despite appointment	25	.4	15.5
62.	no contact/not present	12	.2	7.5
74.	moved/ does not live here	1	.0	.6
99.	other	1	.0	.6
991.	NS	2106	37.2	MD
992.	HS	1451	25.6	MD
998.	NA	126	2.2	MD
999.	INAP	1814	32.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1314

H/ Willingness to participate in a National Survey on Politics

Some time ago, you did receive a letter concerning the Dutch national election study. Are you willing to participate in this study?

1.	yes	401	7.1	37.7
2.	no	664	11.7	62.3
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	2106	37.2	MD
998.	NA	386	6.8	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

V1315 H/ Reason for not willing to participate in Hawthorne study
What reasons do you have not to participate?

999 (inappropriate) routing (V1314, code 1)

5.	refusal during interview	268	4.7	45.7
11.	no time	18	.3	3.1
12.	not interested	29	.5	4.9
22.	not interested in this topic	3	.1	.5
23.	privacy	8	.1	1.4
24.	feelings of incapacity	1	.0	.2
31.	ill/handicapped	20	.4	3.4
32.	language	4	.1	.7
34.	not able to make appointment	15	.3	2.6
51.	holiday	16	.3	2.7
62.	no contact/not present	2	.0	.3
71.	answering machine	3	.1	.5
73.	no telephone/secret number	172	3.0	32.9
99.	other	6	.1	1.0
990.	MS	2101	37.1	MD
991.	NS	2106	37.2	MD
998.	NA	485	8.6	MD
999.	INAP	401	7.1	MD
		<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
		5658	100.0	100.0

3.6.9 Text variables CATI interview

- V1316 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - first (text)**
Refer to V1300 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the answers to question 10 of the non-response study. The coded answer to this question can be found in V1300 of the special file.
- V1317 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - second (text)**
Refer to V1300 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the answers to question 10 of the non-response study. The coded answer to this question can be found in V1301 of the special file.
- V1318 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - third (text)**
Refer to V1300 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the answers to question 10 of the non-response study. The coded answer to this question can be found in V1302 of the special file.
- V1319 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fourth (text)**
Refer to V1300 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the answers to question 10 of the non-response study. The coded answer to this question can be found in V1303 of the special file.
- V1320 N/10 Reason for not intending to vote - fifth (text)**
Refer to V1300 for a complete question text. This string variable contains the answers to question 10 of the non-response study. The coded answer to this question can be found in V1304 of the special file.

4. Added Variables and coding schemes

4.1 Added indexes

4.1.1 The construction of scale scores

The data file contains several series of variables which can be considered as indicators of a single latent construct. In line with previous Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies, for some of these series of variables *scale scores* have been constructed and added to the data file. The scale scores are based on tests of the unidimensionality of the variables involved according to the Mokken model (Mokken 1971).

These tests are reported here. In order to facilitate the comparability with earlier studies, all analyses were performed on dichotomized variables, although dichotomization is no longer required as a result of methodological advances in the Mokken model (MSP 1988).

Since usually more than one dichotomization of a variable is possible, and since other scaling models may be applicable, it should be kept in mind that the analyses reported here are exemplary rather than exhaustive. Furthermore, the data file contains more series of variables which might be regarded as indicators of unidimensional constructs than are included in this Appendix.

The reports summarize, for each scale score, the values of the indicators which were selected as “positive” response (i.e., contributing to the latent construct), the proportion of respondents choosing this positive response (or the “difficulty” of the item), the scalability coefficient of the item with the other items in the analysis (the “ H_i ” coefficient of item homogeneity), and the scalability coefficient for all items (the “ H ” coefficient of homogeneity for a set of items). The frequency distribution of the resulting scale score is reported in the documentation of variables.

Conventionally, the H_i and H coefficients are interpreted as follows:

	H_i	H
< .30	nonscalable item	no scale
.30 – .40	weak item	weak scale
.40 – .50	medium item	medium scale
> .50	strong item	strong scale

4.1.2 A list of scales added to the data file

Wave 1					
Variable	Scale	Constituting variables	w	question	H
V0030		Reads about national news?	1	2	
V0031		Talks about national problems?	1	3	

V0032	Reads about foreign news?	1	4	
V0033	Interested in politics?	1	5	
V0034	Political interest			.64
V0070	Effect government policy on economic situation	1	13a	
V0071	Effect government on employment	1	13b	
V0073	Satisfaction with government	1	14	
V0074	Policy satisfaction			.37
V0190	Name Wallage	1	30a	
V0191	Party Wallage	1	30b	
V0192	Function Wallage	1	30c	
V0193	Name De Graaf	1	30d	
V0194	Party De Graaf	1	30e	
V0195	Function De Graaf	1	30f	
V0196	Name Jorritsma	1	30g	
V0197	Party Jorritsma	1	30h	
V0198	Function Jorritsma	1	30i	
V0199	Name Bukman	1	30j	
V0200	Party Bukman	1	30k	
V0201	Function Bukman	1	30l	
V0202	Political knowledge I			.62
	Wallage (name, party and function correct)	1	30a-c	
	De Graaf (name, party and function correct)	1	30d-f	
	Jorritsma (name, party and function correct)	1	30g-i	
	Bukman (name, party and function correct)	1	30j-l	
V0203	Political knowledge II			.63
<i>Wave 2</i>				
V0790	MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me	2	35a	
V0791	Parties only interested in my vote, not in my opinion	2	35b	
V0792	People like me have no influence on politics	2	35c	
V0793	So many people vote, my vote does not matter	2	35d	
V0794	MPs quickly lose contact with citizens	2	35e	
V0795	External political efficacy			.54
V0796	Consider myself qualified for politics	2	36a	
V0797	Well informed about political problems	2	36b	
V0798	Politics sometimes too complicated	2	36c	
V0799	Internal political efficacy			.47
V0800	Politicians promise more than they can deliver	2	37a	
V0801	Ministers primarily self-interested	2	37b	
V0902	Friends more important than abilities to become MP	2	37c	
V0803	Political cynicism			.51
V0845	Did (not) contact cabinet minister	2	44b	
V0846	Did (not) contact member of parliament	2	44b	
V0847	Did (not) sign a petition	2	44b	
V0848	Did (not) try to activate interest group	2	44b	
V0849	Did (not) try to activate radio or tv	2	44b	
V0850	Did (not) try to activate political party	2	44b	
V0851	Did (not) contact mayor or alderman	2	44b	
V0852	Did (not) contact municipal councilor	2	44b	
V0853	Did (not) join civic action group	2	44b	
V0854	Did (not) join demonstration	2	44b	

V0855	Did (not) try to activate newspaper	2	44b	
V0856	Did (not) lodge a complaint	2	44b	
V0857	Did (not) contact department official	2	44b	
V0858	Civic participation			.36
V0860	Should there be confessional parties	2	45a 45b	
V0861	Should there be confessional unions	2	45c	
V0862	Should there be confessional schools	2	45d-e	
V0863	Should there be confessional radio or tv	2	45f -g	
V0864	Religion is a good guide in politics	2	46	
V0865	Confessional attitude			.50

Political Interest (V0034)

The Political Interest score (range: 0 – 4) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions I/2 - I/5.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0030	I/2 Reads about national news?	1	.25	.63
V0031	I/3 Talks about national news?	1,2	.89	.74
V0032	I/4 Reads about foreign news?	1,2	.39	.64
V0033	I/5 Interested in politics?	1	.09	.62

H = .64

Policy Satisfaction (V0074)

The Policy Satisfaction score (range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions I/13a, I/13b and I/14.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0070	I/13a Effect government policy on economic situation	1	.58	.39
V0071	I/13b Effect government policy on employment	1	.62	.38
V0073	I/14 General satisfaction with government	1,2	.44	.35

H = .37

Note: a fourth, related item (V0072, “I/13c Effect government policy on respondents finances”) was excluded from the scale because of its low item scalability (with “positive” response 1, difficulty = .26 and H_i = .29).

Political Knowledge - 1 (V0202)

The questions about name, party and function of four politicians whose photograph was shown, are summarized in two distinct scale scores, V0202 and V0203.

The Political Knowledge score 1 (range: 0 – 12) was established by counting the “positive” responses to all twelve questions I/30.1.1 – I/30.4.3.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0190	I/30.1.1 Photo A - Jacques Wallage	1	.40	.60
V0191	I/30.1.2 Party of Wallage (PvdA)	1	.53	.68
V0192	I/30.1.3 Political function Wallage	1,2	.30	.63
V0193	I/30.2.1 Photo B - Thom de Graaf	1	.34	.64
V0194	I/30.2.2 Party of de Graaf (D66)	4	.40	.66

V0195	I/30.2.3 Political function de Graaf	1,2	.28	.62
V0196	I/30.3.1 Photo C - Annemarie Jorritsma	1	.65	.51
V0197	I/30.3.2 Party of Jorritsma (VVD)	3	.59	.66
V0198	I/30.3.3 Political function Jorritsma	1	.75	.69
V0199	I/30.4.1 Photo D - Piet Bukman	1	.26	.64
V0200	I/30.4.2 Party of Bukman (CDA)	2	.35	.58
V0201	I/30.4.3 Political function Bukman	1,2	.35	.60

H = .62

Political Knowledge - 2 (V0203)

The second scale, Political Knowledge score 2 (range: 0 – 4) was established by counting the number of times the respondent correctly answered all three questions regarding a politician in the series of questions I/30.1.1 – I/30.4.3.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
A	Name, party and function Wallage correct	.24	.64
B	Name, party and function de Graaf correct	.21	.62
C	Name, party and function Jorritsma correct	.46	.69
D	Name, party and function Bukman correct	.19	.59

H = .63

External Political Efficacy (V0795)

The External Political Efficacy score (range: 0 – 5) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions II/35a-e.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficu lty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0790	II/35a MPs do (not) care about opinions of people like me	2	.62	.57
V0791	II/35b Parties only interested in my vote, not in my opinion	2	.52	.54
V0792	II/35c People like me have no influence on politics	2	.59	.49
V0793	II/35d So many people vote, my vote does not matter	2	.90	.58
V0794	II/35e MPs quickly lose contact with citizens	2	.29	.57

H = .54

Note: the External Political Efficacy score (V0795) is different from the political efficacy scores included in earlier Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies, since a fifth item (V0794) was added to the scale.

Internal Political Efficacy (V0799)

The Internal Political Efficacy score (range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions II/36a-c.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0796	II/36a Consider myself qualified for politics	1,2	.24	.52
V0797	II/36b Well informed about political problems	1,2	.64	.48
V0798	II/36c Politics sometimes too complicated	3,4	.38	.43

H = .47

Note: the Internal Political Efficacy score (V0799) is different from the Internal Political Efficacy score included in Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1994, since fewer items were included in the questionnaire.

Political Cynicism (V0803)

The Political Cynicism score (range: 0 – 3) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions II/37a-c.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0800	II/37a Politicians promise more than they can deliver	1,2	.87	.68
V0801	II/37b Ministers primarily self-interested	1,2	.28	.49
V0802	II/37c Friends more important than abilities to become MP	1,2	.37	.47

H = .51

Civic Participation (V0858)

The Civic Participation score (range: 0 – 14) was established by counting the “positive” responses to question II/44b.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0845	II/44b Did (not) contact cabinet minister	1	.04	.31
V0846	II/44b Did (not) contact member of parliament	1	.06	.37
V0847	II/44b Did (not) sign a petition	1	.66	.51
V0848	II/44b Did (not) try to activate interest group	1	.12	.38
V0849	II/44b Did (not) try to activate radio or TV	1	.06	.28
V0850	II/44b Did (not) try to activate political party	1	.07	.44
V0851	II/44b Did (not) contact mayor or alderman	1	.14	.35
V0852	II/44b Did (not) contact municipal councilor	1	.14	.35
V0853	II/44b Did (not) join civic action group	1	.16	.36
V0854	II/44b Did (not) join demonstration	1	.25	.32
V0855	II/44b Did (not) try to activate newspaper	1	.09	.38
V0856	II/44b Did (not) lodge a complaint	1	.19	.37
V0857	II/44b Did (not) contact department official	1	.06	.30

H = .36

Note: the Civic Participation score is comparable to similar scale scores in earlier Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies. The set of 13 items might also be divided into subsets which indicate distinct dimensions of civic participation (compare Anker & Oppenhuis 1994, 332-333).

Confessional Attitude (V0865)

The Confessional Attitude score (range: 0 – 5) was established by counting the “positive” responses to questions II/45a-g and 46.

<i>Item</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>“positive” responses</i>	<i>difficulty</i>	<i>H_i</i>
V0860	II/45ab Should there be confessional parties?	2,3,4	.58	.55
V0861	II/45c Should there be confessional unions?	2,3,4	.37	.55
V0862	II/45de Should there be confessional schools?	2,3,4	.68	.51
V0863	II/45fg Should there be confessional radio and TV?	2,3,4	.64	.50
V0864	II/46 Religion is a good guide in politics	1,2	.38	.40

H = .50

Note: It is emphasized that the question wording of questions 45a-g is *not the same* as

the wording of similar questions in earlier Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies, so that neither these questions nor the scale score can be compared over time. Furthermore, it is possible that the response to question 46 ("Religion is a good guide in politics") was affected by the change in wording of the previous questions.

4.2 Coded variables/coding schemes

The open questions have been coded for the convenience of the user. The original answers have been included in the data file as string variables, or (in the case of the verbatim answers to questions about occupation) may be used after consultation with SKON.

V0045 - V0049	Most important national problems	Coding scheme 1986
V0169	Reason for not voting in most recent municipal elections	Coding scheme 1994
	Profession (4x)	Several
V0612 – V0615	Motivation for party choice	Coding scheme 1994

4.2.1 Most important national problems

In the 1998 questionnaire respondents were asked what they thought were the most important problems in our country. The interviewers wrote down the verbatim answers. These answers are presented in the data file as string variables. Users should be aware that these answers are all in Dutch, and that English translations are not available. A maximum of five answers was allowed. The data file also contains 5 coded answers. In order to keep longitudinal comparability in tact, the answers were coded using the scheme that was used between 1981 and 1989. The coding scheme used by Statistics Netherlands (CBS) in 1989 and 1994 was a simplified version of this coding scheme. The codes presented here can be recoded easily into this simplified version. The very detailed coding scheme used in 1989 and 1994 was not used again. The coding of the most important answers has been performed by ITS.

01 Agricultural policy, agricultural problems, including: destruction of fruit and vegetables; agricultural problems; agricultural policy; etc.

02-07 Taxes

02 Taxes too high, including: VAT; road tax; excise; legacy-duties; mortgage relief too low.

03 Taxes too low, including: see 02.

04 Evasion of social security levies by employers ("koppelbazen").

05 Evasion of social security levies by employees ("zwart werken", "beunhazerij").

06 Evasion of social security levies otherwise / tax evasion in general.

07 Other references to taxes.

08-10 Foreign relations

08 Common Market, Europe, including: (too much) influence of the Common Market; leveling within the EEC; Common Market policy.

- 09 Developmental aid, relations with the Third World.
- 10 Other references to foreign relations.
- 11 Culture and recreation, including: recreation; sports; not enough facilities for recreation; too few playgrounds for children; other cultural problems.
- 12-13 Defense, war and peace
- 12 Nuclear armaments in general, nuclear assignments for the Dutch armed forces, cruise missiles.
- 13 Defense in general, including: - defense policy; too much money spent on defense; too little money spent on defense; subversion in the armed forces; NATO; armaments; peace; war.
- 14 Democratization, including: civic participation in public administration ("medezeggenschap"); worker's participation in industry; inequality of power; differences between classes; need for further democratization.
- 15-18 Economical and financial problems, 86
- 15 Governmental spending too high; governmental budget deficits; need for cuts in government expenditures.
- 16 Governmental spending for unjustified or unimportant purposes; too much attention for economical prosperity instead of well-being; wrong investments; governmental waste; complaining about budget cuts in specific areas.
- 17 Economic growth; lack of economic growth; economic stagnation.
- 18 Other economical or financial problems, including: business-climate; influence of multinationals; capital growth sharing by workers ("vermogensaanwasdeling"); regional economic problems; problems of shopkeepers.
- 86 Reduction of working hours per week ("arbeidstijd (duur)verkorting), part time jobs, etc.
- 19-24 Energy problems
- 19 Nuclear power, nuclear powerstations (general), including: nuclear waste
- 20 Growth of, introduction of nuclear power, nuclear powerstations.
- 21 Protest, resistance against nuclear power, or of nuclear powerstations (anti-nuclear movement).
- 22 Energy in general, concern about energy, availability of energy.
- 23 Shortage of energy, exhaustion of raw materials.
- 24 Other references to energy, including: energy prices; dependency on oil-sheiks etc.
- 25 Ethical problems, 82, 87
including: (racial) discrimination and other injustice (except when 82 or 87 is more appropriate); change of mentality; prevailing attitudes are a problem;

(dissatisfaction, lack of interest, people are too exacting, change of mentality is needed); too little tolerance, too little freedom.

82 Fascism, Racism, Anti-semitism.

87 Discrimination (without further references or qualifications).

26-28 Law and order 85, 89

26 Crime, including: aggression, violence, vandalism, bank robberies, juvenile delinquency.

27 Demonstrations, riots, protest.

28 Other law and order problems, including: (better) protection of law and order; subversion of authority; too lenient behaviour of the police; too light punishments; rightlessness.

85 Riot-police behaviour ("optreden Mobiele Eenheid").

89 Strikes, walk-outs, labour disputes.

29-33 Income and prices, 86

29 Prices, inflation, price policy, price increases, everything becomes more expensive, the cost of living.

30 Price and wage policy.

31 Differences in income; mention of either too low, or too high wages for specifically mentioned groups.

32 Incomes, wages, salaries.

33 Other references to income and prices.

86 Reduction of working hours per week ("arbeidstijd (duur)verkorting), part time jobs, etc.

34 Squatting ("kraken", "krakers", "kraakbeweging")

35-37 Social work

35 Care for the elderly, problems of the elderly.

36 Care for other specifically mentioned groups, for instance handicapped people.

37 Other references to social work, including: welfare work; problems of social work.

38-40 Environmental pollution

38 The issue of refuse, dumping of dangerous or toxic waste (for the problem of nuclear waste: see 19).

39 Environmental pollution, including: water; air.

40 Other references to environmental pollution.

41-46 Minorities

- 41 Favoritism towards ethnical minorities (immigrant workers, South-Molluccans, gypsies, etc.).
- 42 Discrimination against ethnical minorities.
- 43 Ethnical minorities in general.
- 44 Favoritism towards Dutch minorities.
- 45 Discrimination against Dutch minorities.
- 46 Dutch minorities in general.

47 Education and science, including: education; education at school; scientific research; improvement of education; better opportunities for study; too little day-release courses, retraining; limited entry-quota by universities, etc; school fees; career-planning.

48-52 Political problems

- 48 Low quality of political parties, politicians, political system, including: too much talk, indecision; politics is unintelligible; the government itself is a problem; the government should go, the cabinet should go; finding (getting) a good government, recruiting cabinet-ministers; government policy; the government lacks firmness; no proper governance; obsolete law, obsolete political system; political parties don't keep promises; formation of a (coalition) government.
- 49 Lack of cooperation, including: too many political parties, splinter groups; not enough cooperation among political parties; polarization between parties.
- 50 Red tape, bureaucracy, including: red tape, bureaucracy, over-organization, corruption.
- 51 Any mention of a specific political party / politician / political organization.
- 52 Other political problems, including: political dissatisfaction, lack of political interest, uninterested voters, low turn-out; influence of abject ideologies; we're drifting too far to the left/right.

53 Religious problems, including: ecclesiastical problems; godlessness, atheism, apostasy, Sunday observance.

54-55 Town and country planning

- 54 Overpopulation, population policy.
- 55 Other references to town and country planning, including: town and country planning; environmental conditions, lack of space, not much living room; loss of nature by building houses, roads, etc; conservation of nature; regional development.

56-59 Welfare services, welfare legislation, welfare benefits

- 56 Welfare benefits are too high / too expensive.
- 57 Welfare benefits are too low.
- 58 Administration of welfare services, existing welfare regulations, including: incorrect administration of welfare services (possibility of "oneigenlijk

gebruik"); discrimination of specifically mentioned groups referring to welfare legislation.

59 Welfare services in general, including: good social security; need for lowering of retirement age; social problems, etc.

60 Government subsidies, including: subsidy policy; too many / too few subsidies, etc.

61-62 Traffic

61 Public transportation.

62 Other references to traffic, including: traffic, traffic problems; too many cars; traffic safety, too many accidents; not enough roads, bad roads, road system insufficient; parking problems.

63 Welfare state ("verzorgingsstaat")

64-70 Public health

64 Public health, financial problems, including: too high costs of hospitalisation, nursing; too little money available for hospitalisation, nursing.

65 Narcotics, addicts, government policy regarding drug-addiction.

66 Abortion in general.

67 Increasing the possibilities for obtaining abortion.

68 Restriction of the possibilities for obtaining abortion.

69 Euthanasia.

70 Public health in general, including: birth control; hospitals understaffed; nursing; public health; heart surgery; consumption of alcohol, etc.

71-73 Women's emancipation

71 Pro-emancipation.

72 Against emancipation.

73 Remarks on women's emancipation or discrimination of women in general (without sufficient information to be classified as pro or con emancipation)

74-76 Employment, 88

74 Unemployment, unemployment in specifically mentioned regions, closing down of firms and businesses.

75 Shortage of certain kinds of workers, foreign workers are recruited despite unemployment.

76 Other references to employment.

88 Youth-unemployment, poor prospects of the young to find employment etc.

77-80 Housing shortage

- 77 Housing shortage: quantitative, including: housing shortage, housing, public housing, house building; shortage of housing for one-person households, bachelors, one-parent families.
- 78 Housing shortage: qualitative, including: shortage of good housing, housing not fit to live in; slum clearance, urban redevelopment; too many high-rise buildings.
- 79 Housing shortage: financial, including: rent too high; housing too expensive; unjust distribution of housing.
- 80 Other references to housing.

82 Description inserted between categories 25 and 26

85 Description inserted between categories 28 and 29

86 Description inserted between categories 18 and 19 and categories 33 and 34

87 Description inserted between categories 25 and 26

88 Description inserted between categories 76 and 77

89 Description inserted between categories 28 and 29

90-99 Closing categories

90 There are no problems.

91 Uncodable response.

97 Ddon't know.

98 Not ascertained.

99 Inappropriate (i.e. no second, third, fourth or fifth answer).

	V0045	V0046	V0047	V0048	V0049
1 agricultural policy	22	22	16	2	2
2 taxes too high	12	15	7	5	
5 evasion social security levies employees				1	
6 evasion social security levies otherwise	1			1	
7 other references to taxes	1	7	3	3	3
8 common market, Europe	12	13	15	2	3
9 developmental aid	1	7	2	2	1
10 foreign relations, other	2	3			
11 culture and recreation		4	6	5	
13 defense in general		4	2	1	
14 democratization	4	2	1	1	
15 gvt spending too high	20	6	2	3	1
16 gvt spending for unjustified purposes	10	19	11	7	2
17 (lack of) economic growth	7	8	10	2	
18 other economical / financial problems	24	19	18	11	6
19 nuclear power(general)		1	1		

22 energy in general		2	5		1
24 other references to energy		3			
25 ethical problems	78	74	43	24	11
26 crime	308	201	128	46	25
27 demonstrations, riots, protest	1				
28 other law and order problems	20	51	50	14	9
29 prices, the cost of living	4	8	4	3	1
30 price and wage policy		1			
31 differences in income	83	42	29	12	5
32 incomes, wages, salaries	4		3	4	3
33 other references to income and prices	2	3	3		
35 care for the elderly	38	51	41	14	6
36 care for other groups	8	5	8	2	3
37 other references to social work	1	2		1	1
38 refuse, dumping toxic waste	1	5	2	1	2
39 environmental pollution	10	21	8	1	4
40 other references env. pollution	94	79	48	31	17
41 favoritism towards ethnical minorities	23	10	3	3	
42 discrimination ethnical minorities	19	10	6		2
43 ethnical minorities in general	111	51	28	16	4
45 discrimination Dutch minorities		2			
46 Dutch minorities in general	1				
47 education and science	40	69	45	24	8
48 low quality parties, politicians, system	17	25	19	9	8
49 lack of cooperation		2	4	1	
50 red tape, bureaucracy	6	8	7	8	3
51 a specific party politician		2		2	1
52 other political problems	11	7	12	12	
53 religious problems	3	2	3	5	
54 overpopulation, population policy	28	14	7	5	2
55 references to town & country planning	20	26	17	7	2
56 welfare benefits are too high	1	3	1	1	
57 welfare benefits are too low	11	8	3	3	
58 administration of welfare services	33	41	31	15	6
59 welfare services in general	55	48	25	10	1
60 government subsidies			1		
61 public transportation	7	15	16	11	3
62 other references to traffic	75	92	55	26	10
63 welfare state	4	1	1		
64 public health, financial problems	80	62	30	10	2
65 government policy drug-addiction	29	33	26	9	4
66 abortion in general	4	3	2	1	
68 restriction obtaining abortion	2				
69 euthanasia	2	11	2	1	
70 public health in general	229	115	67	19	10
71 pro-emancipation	1				

73	discrimination of women	1	2	2	1	
74	unemployment general	85	54	24	10	3
75	shortage of certain kinds of workers	2	2			
76	other references to employment	29	27	14	10	
77	housing shortage: quantitative	5	4	2	1	
78	housing shortage: qualitative			4	1	
79	housing shortage: financial	4	5	8	2	2
80	other references to housing	3	3	2		
82	fascism, racism, anti-Semitism	9	14	5	5	
86	reduction of working hours	1	2	1		
87	discrimination	11	15	2		3
88	youth-unemployment	13	3	4	1	2
89	strikes, walk-outs, labor disputes	1				
90	no problems	25				
92	refugees / seekers of political asylum	226	147	43	30	9
994	uncodable	14	19	15	8	1
997	DK	92	92	92	92	92
995	no further answer	-	374	1006	1543	1817

4.2.2 Reasons for not voting

In the first wave the motivation for not voting for the municipal elections on March 4 was established (V0169). In the second wave the reason for not voting for the parliamentary elections on May 6 was established (V0638 to V0640). Again the interviewers had to type the verbatim answers. In the data file contains both the verbatim answers (in Dutch) and the coded answers. The coding scheme is identical to the one used in the DPES of 1994.

Motivation for not casting a vote

- 010 Physical and personal circumstances
- 011 respondent is handicapped or ill
- 012 family matters (relatives ill, funeral, etc.)
- 013 weather
- 020 Absence (not in town, abroad)
- 021 no time
- 022 too late
- 023 had to work
- 024 vacation
- 030 No interest
- 031 doesn't care
- 032 forgot to vote
- 033 voting is not compulsory
- 040 Beliefs
- 041 religious beliefs

- 042 does not vote on principle
- 050 Could not make a choice
- 060 Protest
- 061 disagree with the parties (or government)
- 062 rejects the party system
- 070 Cynicism
- 071 no party has anything good to offer
- 072 parties (politicians) do not keep their promises
- 073 does not trust the parties (politicians)
- 074 it is no use to vote
- 075 it does not yield anything to vote
- 076 politics is a mess
- 080 Feelings of incompetence
- 081 does not know much about politics
- 090 No summons
- 091 did not receive summons
- 092 lost summons
- 100 Problems with proxy
- 101 forgot to give proxy to someone
- 102 proxy did not vote
- 110 Negative toward Europe
- 111 Europe too far away
- 112 Against Europe
- 113 Own country first
- 114 Europe doesn't make sense
- 910 Uncodable
- 997 Don't know
- 999 INAP

INAP codes were assigned if the respondent had cast a vote (or intended to cast a vote), in the case of panel attrition, and after the respondent did not know what answer to give (code 997).

	V0169	V0638	V0639	V0640
10 physical/personal circumstances	8	2		
11 handicapped/ill	30	16		
12 family matters	4	2	1	
13 weather	3		1	
14 no electricity at voting office	2			
20 absence (not in town, abroad)	40	6	1	
21 no time	58	13	3	1
22 too late	12	6	2	
23 had to work	14	7		
24 vacation	21	10	1	
25 could not find find voting office	2			
30 no interest	79	18	2	
31 does not care	8	4	2	

32 forgot to vote	40	6	2	
41 religious beliefs	4	3		
42 principle	4	7		
50 could not make a choice	44	17	6	
60 protest	6	2	1	
61 disagrees with parties	10	3	2	1
62 rejects party system		1	3	
71 parties have nothing to offer	10			
72 politicians do not keep promises	7	1	1	
73 does not trust politicians	5	1	3	1
74 it is no use to vote	17	18	3	3
75 voting does not yield anything	22		3	
76 politics is a mess	3	2		
77 all parties are the same	3			
78 no political involvement	6			
80 feelings of incompetence (general)	3			
81 no political knowledge	39	10	4	
82 no ties with community	5			
91 did not receive summons	3	1		
92 lost summons	8	7		
94 no elections / municipal redivision	26			
101 forgot to give proxy	3	1	1	
102 proxy did not vote		1		
111 everything is fine	2			
996 PA		287	287	287
997 DK	13	2		
995 no second answer			125	161
999 INAP	1537	1647	1647	1647

4.2.3 Motivation for party choice

In the second wave of interviews respondents were asked why they voted for a certain party during parliamentary elections. The respondents could give a maximum of four reasons for party choice. Again the interviewers had to type the verbatim answers of the respondents. In the data file both the verbatim answers and the coded answers are listed.

1994: Appendix 11

Code Motivation for party choice

- 100 Representation of interests
- 101 interests of workers, employees, working people
- 102 interests of shop owners, small business
- 103 interests of (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business (in general)

- 104 recipients of (unemployment) benefits
- 105 interests of economically disadvantaged groups, the financial weak, minimum incomes
- 106 interests of elderly
- 107 interests of youth
- 108 interests of women
- 109 groups (not specified) other than “people like me”
- 110 respondent’s own personal interests
- 111 representation of other interests
- 112 general interest, the national interest

- 150 Power relations
- 151 satisfaction with coalition
- 152 dissatisfaction with coalition
- 153 performance and policies of coalition without specification of (dis)satisfaction
- 154 performance and policies of opposition without specification of (dis)satisfaction
- 155 this party belongs in a government, this party should be included in a coalition

- 200 Beliefs
- 201 religious beliefs
- 202 unspecified personal philosophy
- 203 left ideological beliefs
- 204 center ideological beliefs
- 205 right ideological beliefs
- 206 liberal

- 250 Candidates
- 251 party leader PvdA: Kok (positive impact on party choice)
- 252 party leader PvdA: Kok (negative impact on party choice)
- 253 party leader CDA: Brinkman (positive impact on party choice)
- 254 party leader CDA: Brinkman (negative impact on party choice)
- 255 party leader VVD: Bolkestein (positive impact on party choice)
- 256 party leader VVD: Bolkestein (negative impact on party choice)
- 257 party leader D66: Van Mierlo (positive impact on party choice)
- 258 party leader D66: Van Mierlo (negative impact on party choice)
- 259 other PvdA candidates (positive impact on party choice)
- 260 other PvdA candidates (negative impact on party choice)
- 261 other CDA candidates (positive impact on party choice)
- 262 other CDA candidates (negative impact on party choice)
- 263 other VVD candidates (positive impact on party choice)
- 264 other VVD candidates (negative impact on party choice)
- 265 other specifically mentioned candidates (positive impact on party choice)
- 266 other specifically mentioned candidates (negative impact on party choice)

- 267 candidates: unspecified (positive impact on party choice)
- 267 candidates: unspecified (negative impact on party choice)

- 300 Party
- 301 party identification, adherence, membership
- 302 general positive qualification concerning the party
- 303 best party
- 304 party is least of all evils
- 305 it is a large party
- 306 it is a small party
- 307 new party
- 308 party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party

- 350 Policy areas, specific platform planks
- 351 abortion
- 352 euthanasia
- 353 foreigners, aliens
- 354 crime, law and order, police
- 355 defense
- 356 nuclear armaments policy
- 357 economic problems
- 358 government budget deficits
- 359 fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment
- 360 part-time jobs, reduction of working hours
- 361 social benefits, policy
- 362 income policy
- 363 social benefits; fraud
- 364 decreasing income gap
- 365 increasing income gap
- 366 deregulation, smaller role of government in society
- 367 (nuclear) energy
- 368 "nuclear mess"
- 369 environmental pollution, care, problems
- 370 shortage of housing, cost of housing
- 371 tax reforms
- 372 health care
- 373 education
- 374 reform political system, reform administrative system
- 375 protection of property, house ownership
- 700 Other reasons: tradition, imitation, impact of media
- 701 tradition
- 702 imitation
- 703 impact of media
- 704 other, idiosyncratic reason
- 910 Uncodable
- 995 No second, third, fourth, or fifth answer

997 Don't know (DK)
 998 Not ascertained (NA)
 999 Inappropriate (INAP)

	V0612	V0613	V0614	V0615
101 workers, employees, working people	24	1		
102 shop owners, small business	8			
103 (free) enterprise, entrepreneurs, business	11	2	1	
105 economically disadvantaged groups	17	9	2	
106 interests of elderly	8	1	1	
107 interests of youth	7			1
109 groups (unspecified) other than "people like me"	5	2		
110 respondent"s own personal interests	44	6		
111 representation of other interests	14	2		
112 general interest, the national interest	2	1		
151 satisfaction with coalition	84	17		
152 dissatisfaction with coalition	15	3		
153 policies of coalition without specification	3	1		
154 policies of opposition without specification	3		1	
155 this party belongs in a government	16	3		
156 special support of party, strategic choice	65	17		
201 religious beliefs	95	16		
202 unspecified personal philosophy	3			
203 left ideological beliefs	25	4		
204 center ideological beliefs	14	4		
205 right ideological beliefs	1			
206 liberal	16	4		
251 party leader PvdA: Kok (positive impact)	63	24	2	
252 party leader PvdA: Kok (negative impact)	1			
253 party leader CDA: De Hoop Scheffer (positive)	2			
255 party leader VVD: Bolkestein (positive)	15	6	1	
256 party leader VVD: Bolkestein (negative)	4	1		
257 party leader D66: Borst (positive)	11	5	3	
258 party leader D66: Borst (negative)	1			
265 other D66 candidates (positive)	1			
267 other specifically mentioned candidates (positive)	12	3	1	1
268 other specifically mentioned candidates (negative)	1			
269 candidates: unspecified (positive)	20	4		
270 candidates: unspecified (negative)		1		
301 party identification, adherence, membership	188	12	1	
302 general positive qualification concerning party	55	8	1	
303 best party	29	1		
304 party is least of all evils	28	4		
305 it is a large party	4	14	1	
306 it is a small party	6	1		
307 new party	1			
308 party manifesto, policy of party, ideas of party	276	51	2	

309 the debate	12	3		
310 dissatisfaction with other parties	4		1	
351 abortion	5	3		
352 euthanasia	2	5	4	
353 foreigners, aliens	36	26	2	
354 crime, law and order, police	6	5	2	
355 defense	2	1		
357 economic problems	4	2		
358 government budget deficits		3		
359 fighting (unemployment), stimulating employment	4	3	1	
361 social benefits, policy	33	26	3	
362 income policy	4	3	1	
364 decreasing income gap	3	4		
367 (nuclear) energy	1	1		
369 environmental pollution, care, problems	37	18	4	
371 tax reforms	1	6	1	
372 health care	16	11	2	
373 education	1	1		
375 protection of property, house ownership	6	4	1	
376 drugs	1			
377 nuclear family	1		1	
701 tradition	147	18		
702 imitation	11	2		
703 impact of media	2	2		
704 other, idiosyncratic reason	47	1		
994 uncodable	30			
996 PA	287	287	287	287
997 DK	9			
998 NA	13	13	13	13
995 No further answer		1247	1583	1621
999 INAP	178	178	178	178

4.2.4 Occupation

In the data file several variables contain information on occupation. This variable coded into the very detailed SBC92. This scheme was also used in 1994. Later the codes in SBC92 were used to construct several other variables. The first variable derived from the SBC is the International Standard Classification of Occupations Code (ISCO88) designed by the International Labor Office (ILO) (CBS 1995). This coding scheme was used because the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study joined the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES). Three additional classifications, namely U&S92, ISEI92 and EGP92, were derived from SBC92 (and (in EGP92) some additional variables). The following scheme summarizes the variables measuring occupational status according to the various coding schemes:

	respondent	partner	head of household	father	mother
SBC92	V0358	V0406	V0440	V0465	V0485
U&S92	V0359	V0407	V0441	V0466	V0486
ISEI92	V0360	V0408	V0442	V0467	V0487
EGP92	V0361	V0409	V0443	V0468	V0488
ISCO88	V0362	V0410	V0444	V0469	V0489

U&S-scale for occupational prestige (U&S-92),
International Socio-Economic Index of occupational status (ISEI-92)

Information about SBC 1992 can be found in (CBS 1992b). A comparison of several classification standards and a long list of references can be found in (Bakker et al 1997).

The ISCO Codes (in the datafile a more refined coding is used):

	Label	Code	Description
1	Legislators, senior officials and managers	11	Legislators and senior officials
		12	Corporate managers
		13	General managers
2	Professionals	21	Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals
		22	Life science and health professionals
		23	Teaching professionals
		24	Other professionals
3	Technicians and associated professionals	31	Physical and engineering science associate professionals
		32	Life science and health associate professionals
		33	Teaching associate professionals
		34	Other associate professionals
4	Clerks	41	Office clerks
		42	Customer services clerks
5	Services workers and shop and market sales workers	51	Personal and protective service workers
		52	Models, sales persons and demonstrators
6	Skilled agricultural and fishery workers	61	Market-oriented skilled agricultural and fishery workers
		62	Subsistence agricultural and fishery workers
7	Craft and related trade workers	71	Extraction and building trade workers
		72	Metal, machinery and related trade workers
		73	Precision, handicraft, printing and related trade workers
		74	Other craft and related trades

8	Plant and machine operators and assemblers	81	workers Stationary-plant and related operators
		82	Machine operators and assemblers
		83	Drivers and mobile-plant operators
9	Elementary occupations	91	Sales and services elementary occupations
		92	Agricultural, fishery and related laborers
		93	Laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing and transport
10	Armed forces	01	Armed forces

4.2.5 Education

The datafile contains several variables pertaining to education. These variables provide information about educational attachment of the respondent, his or her partner, the head of household, and the father and mother of the respondent. In this section a description of the meaning of the codes of all types is presented. The coding scheme was used in the DPES until 1986. In order to facilitate a comparison with the coding scheme of 1994, a column with the codes used in that year is given.

Code 1994	Code 1998	
1	1	Elementary education
2	2	Extended elementary education (VGLO, LAVO)
2	3	Elementary and lower vocational education (LTS, Huishoudschool, LEAO)
2	4	Elementary and middle level secondary education (ULO, MULO, 3-jarige HBS, MAVO)
3	5	Elementary and lower middle level vocational education (MEAO, UTS)
3	6	Elementary and higher secondary education (5-jarige HBS, Gymnasium, MMS), Lyceum, Atheneum, HAVO)
3	7	Elementary, secondary and higher middle level vocational education (MBA, MTS)
4	8	Elementary and secondary education plus higher level vocational education (HTS, HEAO, Sociale or Pedagogische Academie, Kunstonderwijs, Zeevaartschool, Kweekschool, SPD, GA-)
4	9	Elementary, secondary and university level vocational education (MO-akten, Accountancy, Beroeps Officiersopleiding, GA-II, GF, etc.)
5	10	Elementary, secondary and tertiary (university) education (Universiteit or Hogeschool)

4.3 Weights

V0025 – V0027 are three weighting variables. The first weighting variable, V0025, was constructed by Statistics Netherlands, using an elaborate weighting model including electoral behavior. By using electoral behavior, it excludes all respondents not participating in the second wave. The second and third weighing factor, V0026 and V0027, are post-stratification weights for the pre-election sample as a whole and for the pre-election rolling cross-section design, respectively.

4.3.1 Statistics Netherlands weight (V0025)

The weighting variable was provided by Statistics Netherlands, and constructed by means of the Bascula program. This weight is explored and defended in (Schmeets & Molin 1990) and (CBS 1992a). The weight ('model 4') is based upon actual voting behavior (including non-voting), agegroup (18-24; 25-34; 35-44; 45-54; 55-64; 65-74; 75 and older), sex, marital status (married; divorced; widow(er); not-married), degree of urbanization (see V0013) and region (see V0010). In the original weighing factor M4 household size was taken into account (Schmeets & Molin 1992: 13 and 23), however, because a sample of persons instead of households was used, this correction was not performed in the construction of this weight. Since the CBS weight is partly based on electoral behavior, it can only be computed for those respondents about whom information on their electoral behavior is available, i.e. the respondents in the post-election wave of interviews.

The minimum value of weight 1 is of course 0, the maximum value is 3,75. The variance is 0.473. The mean is 0.86, due to the fact that first wave respondents not participating in the second wave are not included (weight = 0).

4.3.2 Simple post-stratification weight (V0026)

The simple post-stratification weight V0026 only adjusts for flaws in the net pre-election sample when compared to the desired number of sample units according to the stratification table, Table 11. Table 11 contains 18 non-empty cells, so that V0026 has only 18 distinct values. For computing these weights, Statistics Netherlands provided a table with the population figures of persons aged 18 and over for all Dutch municipalities, on January 1, 1998. On the basis of this table, the number of enfranchised persons in each cell of the stratification table could be computed.⁷ The realized number of pre-election interviews (refer to Table 11) should ideally have an identical distribution over the cells of the table. However, the actual numbers of successful pre-election interviews in the cells of the table

⁷ Not all persons aged 18 and over in a municipality are enfranchised: e.g., foreigners and persons in mental institutions are not. The potential bias that might have resulted from a non-uniform distribution of adults without the right to vote over the stratification table, was ignored.

deviate, and V0026 can be used to correct for this deviation (and this deviation only). Each respondent was assigned a value on V0026 depending on the cell of Table 11 this respondent belongs to, and according to the formula:

$$V0026 = \frac{(\# \text{ population aged 18 and over in the cell})}{(\text{total } \# \text{ population aged 18 and over})} * \frac{(\text{total } \# \text{ respondents})}{(\# \text{ respondents in cell})}$$

V0026, thus computed, has a minimum value of .47, a maximum value of 2.12, and its variance is .008. Its mean value, of course, is 1.

4.3.3 Rolling cross-section post-stratification weight (V0027)

The rolling cross-section post-stratification weight V0027 was computed in the same way as the simple post-stratification weight V0026, with one important difference: the second part of the multiplication in its formula (total # respondents/# respondents in cell) was now computed separately for each week of the fieldwork. Since the fieldwork for the pre-election interviews was conducted in five weeks, V0027 has 5*18 = 90 different values. The minimum and maximum per week, as well as the variance, are here summarized.

	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18
Minimum	.39	.52	.48	.52	.43
Maximum	2.83	3.54	2.24	2.20	1.80
Variance	.196	.188	.096	.129	.093
n =	356	446	413	450	436

4.4 Other added variables

4.4.1 Party adherence

Strength of Party Adherence (V0058)

The values of V0058 (Strength of Party Adherence) have been assigned as follows:

- 0 'neither adherent, nor attracted': if V0056 = 2.
- 1 'DK whether attracted': if V0056 = 997.
- 2 'attracted, not adherent' if V0056 = 1 and V0057 < 990.
- 3 'attracted, DK whether adherent' if V0051 = 997 and V0056 = 1 and V0057 < 990.
- 4 'adherent, not convinced' if V0050 = 1 and V0055 = 3 and V0051 < 990.
- 5 'adherent, DK whether convinced' if V0050 = 1 and V0055 = 997 and V0051 < 990.
- 6 'adherent, convinced' if V0050 = 1 and V0055 = 2 and V0051 < 990.
- 7 'adherent, very convinced' if V0050 = 1 and V0055 = 1 and V0051 < 990.

8 'NA1 (adherent, DK party)' if V0050 = 1 and V0051 ≥ 990.
9 'NA2 (attracted, DK party)' if V0056 = 1 and V0057 ≥ 990.
997 'DK' if V0050 = 997 or 998.

Direction of Party Adherence (V0059)

For the complete list of value labels of V0059 (Direction of Party Adherence), refer to the frequency table. The basic procedure was as follows:

= V0051 IF V0051 < 990.
= V0054 IF V0054 < 990.
= V0057 IF V0057 < 990.
997 'DK' IF V0051 = 997 OR V0054 = 997 OR V0057 = 997.
998 'NA' IF V0051 = 998 OR V0057 = 998.
999 'neither adherent nor attracted, or dk whether attracted (INAP)' if V0058 = 0 or 1.

4.4.2 Household characteristics

The data file contains a large number of variables describing the composition of the household the respondent belongs to (V0280 - V0347). This information was obtained during the first wave of interviews. The variables have been constructed from the following interview questions:

I/37a

Now I would like to ask you some questions regarding yourself, your household and the members of your family. Including yourself, how many persons are part of the household that you belong to?

INTERVIEWER: Do not count children who live in lodgings elsewhere as household members. Answer is coded into V0280.

Can you tell me how the household that you belong to, is composed? This is about all persons who live in this household, so including eventual residents. Can you tell me for each of the persons mentioned what relationship they have with you, for example your child, father or mother?

INTERVIEWER: Enter into scheme.

INTERVIEWER: Ask for the age in years and the gender of persons.

I/37n

What is the name of the 1st person in the household?

INTERVIEWER: NOTICE, fill in name/number of person from old to young.

I/37b

What is the relationship of [. . .] with yourself?

- 1 (grand-)grandparent
- 2 (foster-, step-)parent (-in law)

- 3 partner, husband, wife
- 4 (foster-, step-)child
- 5 resident, boarder, other

I/37c

What is the gender of [. . . .]

I/37d

What is the age of [. . . .]

I/37e

Does one of these persons contribute most towards the total household income?

1 yes (entered into scheme)

2 no, more than one person contribute equally

(if I/37e is answered '2') I/37f

Which persons? (entered into scheme).

The scheme resulting from these questions I/37 contains for each household member, including the respondent, information on gender, age, relationship with respondent, and whether the person is (one of) the largest contributor(s) to the total household income. The number of household members varies from 1 to 10. In order to make this information about the composition of the household more accessible, a number of operations were performed on the raw data. Using nested vector routines, the data were reorganized into four groups:

- (1) The *number of persons* with a specific relationship to the respondent in the household (refer to I/37b), e.g., the number of (great-)grandparents (V0281 - V0287);
- (2) The *gender* of each of the persons with a specific relationship to the respondent, e.g., sex of (great-)grandparent #1 (V0288 - V0315);
- (3) The *age* of each of the persons with a specific relationship to the respondent, e.g., age of (great-)grandparent #1 (V0316 - V0343);
- (4) Identification of the *main breadwinner(s)* in the household, e.g., largest contributor to household income #3 is (great-)grandparent #1 (V0344 - V0346).

Finally, a *typology of the main breadwinner* in the household has been added (V0347), e.g. 'respondent and partner equally'.

4.4.3 Data from Geo-marktprofiel (zip-code related variables)

With respect to households, the zip-code area is the smallest geographically identifiable unit in the Netherlands beyond household. In the Netherlands zip-codes (4 digits and two letters) are assigned to about 420.000 geographical units. Each zip-code area includes on average 16 households. Because zip-

codes were available for both respondents and non-respondents, we tried to enrich the data-set with variables available from other sources in order to enlarge the possibilities of comparing both respondents and non-respondents. The variables included in the data-set (V0014 TO V0022) were constructed by Geomarktprofiel b.v.. These variables are constructed from a telephone interview (by Interview). Each year between 80.000 and 100.000 persons are contacted. After a specification of the boundaries of the zip-code area, the interviewees are asked questions about this area. The welfare indicator (V0014) is based upon a question about the average level of income. The Social Class indicators (V0015-V0019) are constructed from income, education and homeownership. Education indicators are constructed from a question about the level of income in the zip-code area.

4.5 Randomization

Some sets of questions were asked in a randomized order. In order to study the effect of randomization, we included the original order of in so called 'order variables'. The meaning of these string variables is straightforward. For example the order of questions with respect to the present poll results (question 18c-f) was presented in a randomized order. This order is given in variable V0590. '01040203' in this string variable means that the order of question in the interview was 18c;18f;18d;18e.

5. Questionnaires and interviewer instructions

5.1 First and second wave

In this chapter the Dutch questionnaires and showcards are presented. The English translation is presented with the variables in the third part of this codebook.

The data were collected by means of computer-assisted interviewing (CAPI). Therefore the presentation here differs slightly from that in the fieldwork. Every question, including the answering categories, was presented to the interviewer on a separate screen. The interviewer only read the text on the screen (the answering categories were not read to the respondents) and recorded an answering category which corresponds to the answer of the respondent. In a few cases the interviewer had to type the full answer. Copies of the original interviewing program can be obtained via Steinmetz Archive.

The answering categories 'don't know' and 'refuses to answer' were in some cases presented on the screen next to the other answering categories. If the respondent did answer 'don't know' the interviewer had to record the answering category which applied to don't know. In cases where the don't know category was not presented on the screen and the respondent answered don't know, the interview could strike a special key [for the answer don't know. If the respondent answered 'refuses to answer' the interviewer could strike another key] or could pick the answeringcode on the screen if the categories were presented there. In the questionnaire presented below all categories are listed, also those categories that were not presented on the screen.

Interviewer instructions were presented on the screen. These instructions were preceded by the letters ENQ. A handout given to the interviewers during the interview instruction contained all the interviewer instructions and a description and explanation of many possible difficulties. The handout is contained in this codebook. A few instructions referred to OP, which means person being interviewed (onderzoekspersoon). In several questions the respondent had to rate for example politicians or parties. The interviewer had to write down this rating and an automatic check in the CAPI-questionnaire checked if the rating was within the range permitted.

During the interview showcards were used. If the respondent had to use a showcard, a message was presented on the screen which card was needed. If necessary the routing of the questionnaire is indicated by (Naar ..). See for an example question 2 in the first wave of the Dutch questionnaire. In the electronic version of the interview the routing is automatically. If the interview proceeds with the next question in line this indication is absent. In several cases the questions were randomized. This was indicated by the sentence: 'Stellingen randomiseren'. In the electronic version the randomization was automatically performed.

If certain information was already obtained by previous questions, this information was imputed in the text of other questions. If this is the case, it is indicated by means of these two brackets [and]. See for an example question 7 in the second wave of the Dutch questionnaire. The questions presented below are all in Dutch. English translations can be obtained from the variable documentation part in the previous chapter.

5.1.1 Questionnaire wave 1 (Dutch)

Identificatienummer EnquêteurEnquêtrice:

Datum waarop afspraak is gemaakt met OP:

Begintijd interview:

Datum interview:

Goedemorgenmiddagavond. Zoals u in de brief heeft kunnen lezen houdt het ITS in opdracht van een aantal Nederlandse universiteiten een onderzoek over enkele onderwerpen die de laatste tijd nogal eens in de belangstelling hebben gestaan. Mag ik u hierover enkele vragen stellen?

ITS:

Koppelen met personenbestand; controleer op geslacht en geboortedatum. Dag en maand MOETEN juist zijn. Jaartal mag eventueel afwijken (binnen een bepaalde marge).

ENQ: Stel het geslacht van de respondent vast

1 man

2 vrouw

1a Welke nationaliteit heeft u?

1 Nederlandse

2 anders, nl.....

ENQ: Indien OP **NIET** de Nederlandse nationaliteit heeft; einde interview!!!!!!

1b Wat is uw geboortedatum?

.....

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Indien geslacht en geboortedatum juist zijn, doorgaan met de vragenlijst.

ENQ: Indien geslacht en of geboortedatum niet juist zijn; vind de juiste persoon.

ENQ: Indien de juiste persoon onvindbaar is, einde interview.

1c Bent u ongehuwd, gehuwd, gehuwd geweest of weduweweduwnaar?

1 ongehuwd

2 gehuwd

3 gehuwd geweest

4 weduweweduwnaar

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate routing)

2 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 1

Allereerst wil ik u een paar vragen stellen over het nieuws in de krant.

Als er in de krant binnenlands nieuws staat, bijvoorbeeld nieuws over regeringsproblemen, hoe vaak leest u dat dan?

- 1 (bijna) altijd
- 2 vaak
- 3 zo nu en dan
- 4 zelden of nooit
- 5 leest geen krant (Naar 3 en sla 4 en 6 over!)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

3 Als er in gezelschap over zulke problemen in ons land gesproken wordt, doet u dan meestal mee met het gesprek, luistert u met belangstelling, luistert u niet of heeft u geen belangstelling?

- 1 doet meestal mee
- 2 luistert met belangstelling
- 3 luistert niet of geen belangstelling
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag overslaan indien OP bij vraag 2 leest geen krant (5) heeft geantwoord

4 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 1

Als er in de krant buitenlands nieuws staat, bijvoorbeeld nieuws over spanningen of besprekingen tussen verschillende landen, hoe vaak leest u dat dan?

- 1 (bijna) altijd
- 2 vaak
- 3 zo nu en dan
- 4 zelden of nooit
- 5 leest geen krant (Naar 5 en sla 6 over)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

5 Bent u zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd?

- 1 zeer geïnteresseerd
- 2 tamelijk geïnteresseerd
- 3 niet geïnteresseerd
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen te stellen indien OP krant leest.

6 Welke krant of welke kranten leest u dagelijks of vrijwel dagelijks?

ENQ: Onbeperkt aantal antwoorden mogelijk.

ITS: Codering in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde krant. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde krant, etc.

- 1 De Telegraaf
- 2 De Volkskrant
- 3 Trouw
- 4 NRC Handelsblad
- 5 Het Parool
- 6 Algemeen Dagblad
- 7 regionale krant, nl...
- 8 andere krant, nl....
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 2

7 Kunt u met behulp van dit kaartje aangeven hoe vaak in de week u het NOS-journaal volgt?

ENQ: Indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren (minder dan 1 keer per week)

- 1 (vrijwel) dagelijks
- 2 3 a 4 keer per week
- 3 1 a 2 keer per week
- 4 minder dan 1 keer per week
- 5 heeft geen televisie (Naar 10)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP tv heeft

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 2

8 Kunt u met behulp van dit kaartje aangeven hoe vaak in de week u het RTL4-journaal volgt?

ENQ: Indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren (minder dan 1 keer per week)

- 1 (vrijwel) dagelijks
- 2 3 a 4 keer per week
- 3 1 a 2 keer per week
- 4 minder dan 1 keer per week
- 5 heeft geen televisie (Naar 10)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP tv heeft

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 2

9 Kunt u met behulp van dit kaartje aangeven hoe vaak in de week u het actualiteitenprogramma NOVA-Den Haag Vandaag volgt?

ENQ: Indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren (minder dan 1 keer per week)

- 1 (vrijwel) dagelijks
- 2 3 a 4 keer per week
- 3 1 a 2 keer per week
- 4 minder dan 1 keer per week
- 5 heeft geen televisie
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

10 Vervolgens zou ik u willen vragen wat u de belangrijkste problemen in ons land vindt?

ENQ: Goed doorvragen, probeer te achterhalen wat OP bedoelt (specificeer de antwoorden, b.v. inkomensverschillen groter of kleiner maken).

ENQ: Indien OP slechts een probleem noemt vragen; Zijn er nog andere problemen?

ENQ: Maximaal 7 antwoorden.

ENQ: Door OP genoemde problemen duidelijk van elkaar onderscheiden d.m.v. een puntkomma (;).

- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

ITS: *Codering in maximaal 7 variabelen. Eerste variabele is het eerst genoemde probleem. Tweede variabele is het tweede genoemde probleem etc.*

ITS: *Variabele opnemen die aangeeft welke antwoorden voor de prompt zijn genoemd*

11a Veel mensen voelen zich aanhanger van een bepaalde politieke partij, maar er zijn ook mensen die zich geen aanhanger van een politieke partij voelen. Voelt u zich aanhanger of voelt u zich geen aanhanger van een politieke partij?

- 1 aanhanger (Naar 11b)
- 2 geen aanhanger (Naar 11d)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet (Naar 11d)
- 998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 11d)
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

11b Van welke partij?

(Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk)

ITS: *Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij etc.*

- 1 PVDA
- 2 CDA
- 3 VVD
- 4 D66
- 5 GroenLinks

6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP meerdere partijen noemt

11b2 Tot welke van deze partijen voelt u zich het meest aangetrokken?
(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP aanhanger is en op vraag 11b meerdere antwoorden geeft.

11c Zoudt u zichzelf een zeer overtuigde aanhanger willen noemen een overtuigde aanhanger of vindt u zichzelf geen overtuigde aanhanger?

1 zeer overtuigde aanhanger (Naar 12a)
2 overtuigde aanhanger (Naar 12a)
3 geen overtuigde aanhanger (Naar 12a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 12a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 12a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag stellen indien OP geen aanhanger is of als OP bij vraag 11b slechts een antwoord geeft!!

11d Is er een partij waartoe u zich meer voelt aangetrokken dan tot andere partijen?

1 ja (Naar 11e)
2 nee (Naar 12a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 12a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 12a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

11e Welke partij is dat?
(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

12a Bent u wel of geen LID van een politieke partij?

1 wel lid (Naar 12b)
2 geen lid (Naar 12c)
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet (Naar 12c)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 12c)
999 (inappropriate) routing

12b Van welke partij? (Naar 13a)
Maximaal 3 antwoorden.

ITS: Codering in 3 variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc.

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen te stellen als OP op 12a geen lid of weet niet heeft geantwoord)
12c Bent u ooit lid geweest van een politieke partij?

1 ja (Naar 12d)
2 nee (Naar 12e)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 12e)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 12e)
999 (inappropriate) routing

12d Van welke partij of partijen? (Naar 13a)
Maximaal 3 antwoorden

ITS: Codering in 3 variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc.

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66

5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
13 Centrumpartij
14 EVP
15 KVPRKSP
16 CHU
17 ARP
18 SDAP
19 CPN
20 PPR
21 PSP
22 Boerenpartij
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP geen lid is

12e Heeft u ooit overwogen om lid te worden van een politieke partij? (Niet stellen als OP ooit partijlid was)

1 ja (Naar 12f)
2 nee (Naar 13a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

12f Van welke partij of partijen? (Naar 13a)

Onbeperkt aantal antwoorden mogelijk

ITS: *Codering in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc*

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks

6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
13 Centrumpartij
14 EVP
15 KVPRKSP
16 CHU
17 ARP
18 SDAP
19 CPN
20 PPR
21 PSP
22 Boerenpartij
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 3

13a Ik wil nu een paar vragen stellen over wat u vindt van het beleid dat de afgelopen 4 jaar door de regering gevoerd is. Allereerst de **ECONOMISCHE TOESTAND VAN NEDERLAND**: denkt u dat de economische toestand door het gevoerde regeringsbeleid gunstig, ongunstig, of niet gunstig maar ook niet ongunstig is beïnvloed?

1 gunstig
2 ongunstig
3 niet gunstig, maar ook niet ongunstig
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik weer kaart 3

13b En de **WERKGELEGENHEID**: denkt u dat de werkgelegenheid in Nederland door het gevoerde regeringsbeleid gunstig, ongunstig, of niet gunstig maar ook niet ongunstig beïnvloed is?

1 gunstig

2 ongunstig
3 niet gunstig, maar ook niet ongunstig
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik nogmaals kaart 3

13c En uw **PERSOONLIJKE FINANCIËLE SITUATIE**, denkt u dat uw persoonlijke financiële situatie door het gevoerde regeringsbeleid gunstig, ongunstig, of niet gunstig maar ook niet ongunstig is beïnvloed?

1 gunstig
2 ongunstig
3 niet gunstig, maar ook niet ongunstig
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

14 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 4

Kunt u met behulp van de kaart aangeven hoe tevreden of ontevreden u **IN HET ALGEMEEN** bent met wat de regering in de afgelopen 4 jaar heeft gedaan?

1 zeer tevreden
2 tevreden
3 niet tevreden, maar ook niet ontevreden
4 ontevreden
5 zeer ontevreden
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

15a Zoals u misschien weet zijn er in mei van dit jaar verkiezingen voor de **TWEEDE KAMER**. Gaat u dan wel of niet stemmen, of weet u dat nog niet?

1 gaat wel stemmen (Naar 2a)
2 gaat niet stemmen (Naar 2d)
3 weet het nog niet (Naar 2b)
996 panel attrition
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 16a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

Box 2:

2a Op welke partij gaat u stemmen op 6 mei?
(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD

4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
94 ongeldig
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 2b)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 16a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP nog niet weet of hij/zij gaat stemmen of als OP niet weet op welke partij hij/zij gaat stemmen

2b Zijn er misschien partijen waarop u overweegt te gaan stemmen bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei?

1 ja (Naar 2c)
2 nee (Naar 16a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 16a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 16a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

2c Welke partijen zijn dat? (Naar 16a)
(Onbeperkt aantal antwoorden mogelijk)

ITS: *Codering in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc*

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV

12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP niet gaat stemmen

2d Stel dat het verplicht zou zijn om te gaan stemmen. Wat zou u dan stemmen?

(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
94 ongeldig
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 16a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 16a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

Dan wil ik u nu een aantal uitspraken voorleggen die betrekking hebben op het wel of niet gaan stemmen bij de verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer. Het gaat er dus niet om op welke partij u gaat stemmen. Wilt u met behulp van deze kaart aangeven in hoeverre u het eens bent met de volgende uitspraken.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 5

16a Stemmen is een plicht tegenover de samenleving

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

16b Stemmen kost mij veel tijd en inspanning

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

16c Stemmen ondersteunt de democratie

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

16d Om politieke invloed uit te oefenen helpt stemmen niet

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

16e Stemmen is een manier om je politieke overtuiging kenbaar te maken

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

16f Stemmen levert mij persoonlijk niets op

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

16g Stemmen is een manier om steun te geven aan een politieke partij

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

16h Als je niet stemt verlies je het recht om kritiek uit te oefenen

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

16i Als alles goed gaat heeft het geen zin om te stemmen

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 6

Wilt u met behulp van deze kaart aangeven hoeveel moeite u wilt doen om te gaan stemmen. Een 1 betekent dat u veel moeite wilt doen, een 7 betekent dat u geen enkele moeite wilt doen. Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.

1..7

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 7

17b En hoe belangrijk vindt u een hoge opkomst? Op deze kaart betekent een 1 dat u de opkomst belangrijk vindt en een 7 betekent dat u de opkomst onbelangrijk vindt. Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.

1..7

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig de **vier losse** kaartjes 8a, 8b, 8c en 8d.

Op deze kaarten staan vier situaties beschreven. Ze gaan over de opkomst bij verkiezingen. U kunt zelf wel of niet gaan stemmen, en dat geldt ook voor de andere Nederlanders. Voor de totale opkomst maakt alleen uw stem natuurlijk niet veel uit. Wilt u deze vier situaties eens bekijken en deze vervolgens in de volgorde plaatsen van uw voorkeur?

ENQ: Dring zonedig aan op een keuze

17c Welke van deze vier situaties heeft uw eerste voorkeur?

1 situatie A

2 situatie B

3 situatie C

4 situatie D

5 kan ECHT geen voorkeur aangeven (Naar 18a)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

17d En welke situatie heeft uw tweede voorkeur?

1 situatie A

2 situatie B

3 situatie C

4 situatie D

5 kan ECHT geen voorkeur aangeven (Naar 18a)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

17e En welke situatie heeft uw derde voorkeur?
1 situatie A
2 situatie B
3 situatie C
4 situatie D
5 kan ECHT geen voorkeur aangeven (Naar 18a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Wijs de laatste kaart aan en noteer A, B, C, of D
17f Dus de situatie op deze kaart heeft uw laagste voorkeur?
1 situatie A
2 situatie B
3 situatie C
4 situatie D
5 kan ECHT geen voorkeur geven
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

18a Voorafgaand aan verkiezingen worden opiniepeilingen gehouden om erachter te komen wat mensen van plan zijn te gaan stemmen. Hoe vaak bent u in de laatste weken uitslagen van dergelijke peilingen tegengekomen in de krant of op radio en televisie? Is dat vrijwel nooit het geval geweest, weleens, meerdere malen of zeer vaak?
1 (vrijwel) nooit
2 weleens
3 meerdere malen
4 zeer vaak
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

18b Hoe goed is naar uw mening het beeld dat opiniepeilingen geven van de aanhang van de diverse partijen? Is dat zeer goed, goed, slecht of zeer slecht?
1 zeer goed
2 goed
3 slecht
4 zeer slecht
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van de partijen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 9

18c Ik zou u nu willen vragen hoe enkele partijen er in de opiniepeilingen in vergelijking met de verkiezingen van 1994 voorstaan.

Hoe staat het CDA er volgens u voor in de peilingen in vergelijking met de uitslag in 1994?

1 veel beter
2 iets beter
3 ongeveer hetzelfde
4 iets slechter
5 veel slechter
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik nog steeds kaart 9

18d Hoe staat de PVDA er volgens u voor in de peilingen in vergelijking met de uitslag van 1994?

1 veel beter
2 iets beter
3 ongeveer hetzelfde
4 iets slechter
5 veel slechter
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik weer kaart 9

18e En de VVD?

1 veel beter
2 iets beter
3 ongeveer hetzelfde
4 iets slechter
5 veel slechter
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik nog steeds kaart 9

18f En D66?

1 veel beter
2 iets beter
3 ongeveer hetzelfde
4 iets slechter
5 veel slechter

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

18g Welke partij wordt volgens u het grootst bij de komende Tweede Kamerverkiezingen?

(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders,nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van de issues randomiseren

Volgorde van partijen NIET randomiseren!!

ITS: Indien OP tot drie keer toe zegt een bepaalde partij niet te kennen, dan deze partij niet meer opnoemen m.b.t. volgend issue.

19a Nu een paar vragen over politieke zaken die regelmatig in het nieuws zijn. Als een arts het leven van een patiënt op diens verzoek beëindigt noemen we dat EUTHANASIE. Sommige mensen en partijen vinden dat euthanasie verboden moet zijn. Anderen vinden dat een arts altijd euthanasie mag toepassen als een patiënt daarom vraagt. Natuurlijk zijn er ook mensen met een mening die daar tussenin ligt.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 10

Stel: de mensen (en partijen) die vinden dat euthanasie verboden moet zijn staan aan het begin van de lijn (bij cijfer 1) en de mensen (en partijen) die vinden dat de arts altijd euthanasie mag toepassen als de patiënt daarom vraagt staan aan het einde van de lijn (bij cijfer 7)

Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zeg het dan gerust.

Waar zou u het CDA op de lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19d En waar D66?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19e En waar de SP?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19f En waar de GPV?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

19g En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?

1..7

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 11

20a Dan wil ik het nu met u over een ander probleem hebben. Sommige mensen en partijen vinden dat de verschillen in inkomens in ons land groter moeten worden (bij cijfer 1). Anderen vinden dat deze verschillen kleiner moeten worden (bij cijfer 7). Natuurlijk zijn er ook mensen met een mening die hier ergens tussenin ligt.

Waar zou u het CDA op de lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20d En waar D66?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20e En waar de SP?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20f En waar de GPV?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20g En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?

1..7

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

De Issues 21 en 22 mogen niet direct na elkaar worden voorgelegd!!

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 12

21a De toelating van asielzoekers is de afgelopen jaren vaak in het nieuws geweest.

Sommige mensen vinden dat Nederland meer asielzoekers zou moeten toelaten dan nu het geval is. Andere mensen vinden dat Nederland de asielzoekers die hier al zijn, zoveel mogelijk zou moeten terugsturen naar hun land van herkomst. Natuurlijk zijn er ook weer mensen met een mening die daar tussen in ligt. Aan het begin van de lijn staan nu personen (en partijen) die vinden dat Nederland meer asielzoekers moet toelaten (dus bij cijfer 1); aan het einde van de lijn staan de personen (en partijen) die vinden dat Nederland zoveel mogelijk asielzoekers moet terugsturen (dus bij cijfer 7).

Waar zou u het CDA op de lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

21b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21c En waar de VVD?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21d En waar D66?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21e En waar de SP?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21f En waar de GPV?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21g En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?
1..7
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 13

22a In Nederland wordt verschillend gedacht over allochtonen en etnische minderheden. Sommige mensen en partijen vinden dat deze mensen in Nederland moeten kunnen leven met behoud van alle gewoonten van de eigen cultuur. Anderen vinden dat zij zich, als zij in Nederland blijven, volledig moeten aanpassen aan de Nederlandse cultuur. Natuurlijk zijn er ook mensen die een mening hebben die daar tussen in ligt.

Aan het begin van de lijn staan nu personen (en partijen) die vinden dat allochtonen en etnische minderheden in Nederland moeten kunnen leven met behoud van alle gewoonten van de eigen cultuur (dus bij cijfer 1); aan het einde van de lijn staan de personen (en partijen) die vinden dat deze mensen zich volledig moeten aanpassen aan de Nederlandse cultuur (dus bij cijfer 7)

Waar zou u het CDA op de lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22d En waar D66?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22e En waar de SP?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22f En waar de GPV?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22g En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?

1..7

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 13.1

23a De Europese eenwording is in volle gang. De landen van de Europese Unie hebben besloten om steeds nauwer met elkaar te gaan samenwerken. Maar niet iedereen denkt hierover hetzelfde. Sommige mensen en partijen vinden dat de Europese eenwording nog verder zou moeten gaan. Anderen vinden dat de Europese eenwording al te ver is gegaan. Stel dat de mensen en partijen die vinden dat de Europese Eenwording nog verder zou moeten gaan aan het begin van de lijn staan (bij cijfer 1) en dat de mensen en partijen die vinden dat de eenwording al te ver is gegaan aan het einde van de lijn staan (bij cijfer 7).

Waar zou u het CDA op deze lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23d En waar D66?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23e En waar de SP?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23f En waar de GPV?
1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23g En waar zou u uzelf plaatsen?
1..7
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 13.2

24a Ik toon u hierbij een lijstje landen. Kunt u mij zeggen welk van deze landen volgens u lid is van de Europese Unie? Noemt u maar het nummer van het land dat lid is van de Europese Unie.

ITS: Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde land. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde land, etc.

1 Duitsland
2 Verenigde Staten
3 Frankrijk
4 Italië
5 Spanje
6 Polen
7 Litouwen

- 8 Zweden
- 9 Noorwegen
- 10 Turkije
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

X1a-X1g en X2a-X2g plaatsen openlaten i.v.m. issues die (ineens) in de belangstelling staat. (Formaat is hetzelfde als de vorige vraag!) Tevens worden dan 2 kaarten toegevoegd.

25 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 14

Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij LINKS OF RECHTS zijn. Wanneer u denkt aan uw eigen politieke opvattingen, waar zou u zichzelf dan plaatsen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.

0...10

- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

26a De vorige verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer zijn in 1994 gehouden. Heeft u toen gestemd of niet?

- 1 wel gestemd (Naar 26b)
- 2 niet gestemd (Naar 27a.1 of 27a.2)
- 3 mocht nog niet stemmen (Naar 27a.1 of 27a.2)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet (Naar 27a.1 of 27a.2)
- 998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 27a.1 of 27a.2)
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

26b Op welke partij heeft u TOEN gestemd? (Naar 27a.1 of 27a.2)

- 1 PVDA
- 2 CDA
- 3 VVD
- 4 D66
- 5 GroenLinks
- 6 SGP
- 7 GPV
- 8 RPF
- 9 Centrumdemocraten
- 10 UNIE 55+
- 11 AOV
- 12 SP
- 60 anders, nl...
- 94 ongeldig
- 95 blanco

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ITS: Koppelen met regiocodewoonplaats

Alleen te stellen indien gemeenteraadsverkiezingen gehouden zijn op 4 maart.

27a Heeft u gestemd bij de gemeenteraadsverkiezingen van 4 maart?

1 wel gestemd (Naar 27b)
2 niet gestemd (Naar 27c)
3 mocht (nog) niet stemmen (Naar 28a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 28a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 28a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen te stellen indien geen gemeenteraadsverkiezingen zijn gehouden op 4 maart (i.v.m. Herindeling).

27a Heeft u gestemd bij de laatste gemeenteraadsverkiezingen?

1 wel gestemd (Naar 27b)
2 niet gestemd (Naar 27c)
3 mocht (nog) niet stemmen (Naar 28a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 28a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 28a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

27b Op welke partij heeft u toen gestemd? (Naar 28a)

(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst, nl...

60 anders, nl...
94 ongeldig
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27c Waarom heeft u niet gestemd?

ITS: Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde reden. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde reden, etc.

ENQ: Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren.

.....

ITS: Automatisch coderen.

Volgorde van de partijen randomiseren

28a ENQ: Overhandig kaart 15

Er zijn veel politieke partijen in ons land. Ik zou graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u deze partijen vindt. U kunt iedere partij hiervoor punten geven tussen de 0 en de 100. Hoe sympathieker u een partij vindt, hoe meer punten u die partij geeft. 50 betekent dat u die partij niet sympathiek, maar ook niet onsympathiek vindt. Als u een partij niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust.

Als eerste nemen we de PvdA.

Hoeveel punten zou u de PvdA geven?

ENQ: Als OP deze partij niet kent dan code 101 gebruiken.

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28b En de VVD?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28c En D66?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

28d En GroenLinks?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28e En het CDA?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28f En de SGP?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28g En het GPV?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28h En de RPF?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28i En de Centrumdemocraten?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28j En de SP?

0..100

101 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van de personen randomiseren.

29a ENQ: Gebruik weer kaart 15

Ik zou ook graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u de volgende politici vindt. Als u een politicus niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust.

ENQ: Als respondent deze politicus niet kent dan code 101 gebruiken.

Allereerst nemen we Wim Kok. Hoeveel punten zou u hem geven?

0..100

101 kent kandidaat niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

29b En Jaap de Hoop Scheffer?

0..100

101 kent kandidaat niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

29c En Frits Bolkestein?

0..100

101 kent kandidaat niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

29d En Els Borst?

0..100

101 kent kandidaat niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

29e En Paul Rosenmöller?

0..100
101 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

29f En Gert Schutte?
0..100
101 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

29g En Jan Marijnissen?
0..100
101 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

29h En Hans Janmaat?
0..100
101 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

29i En ... ? (misschien nieuwe, opkomende kandidaat)
0..100
101 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van de foto's randomiseren

Hier zijn foto's van een aantal politici.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 16a

Wilt u van elke persoon de naam, de partij, en de functie binnen de partij zeggen?

30a Naam

1 Jacques Wallage

2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30b Partij

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30c Functie

1 Fractievoorzitterkamerlid
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 16b

30d Naam

1 Thom de Graaf
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30e Partij

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66

5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30f Functie
1 fractievoorzitterkamerlid
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 16c
30g Naam
1 Annemarie Jorritsma
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30h Partij
1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1

24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30i Functie

1 Minister van Verkeer en Waterstaat
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 16d

30j Naam
1 Piet Bukman
2 anders, nl..(ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30k Partij

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30l Functie

1 Voorzitter Tweede Kamerkamerlid
2 anders, nl.. (ENQ: Letterlijk noteren)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31a In de Tweede Kamer zitten grote en kleine partijen. Kunt u van de volgende twee partijen steeds zeggen welke op dit moment meer zetels heeft?

PVDA of VVD?

1 PVDA
2 VVD
3 evenveel zetels
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31b GroenLinks of D66?

1 GroenLinks
2 D66
3 evenveel zetels
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31c CDA of PVDA?

1 CDA
2 PVDA
3 evenveel zetels
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31d VVD of D66?

1 VVD
2 D66
3 evenveel zetels
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31e Welke partij of partijen maken volgens u momenteel deel uit van de regering?

ITS: Coderen in maximaal 8 variabelen, allemaal met waarden gelijk aan de partijen.

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31f Na de verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer moet er een nieuwe regering worden gevormd. De regering wordt in Nederland meestal gevormd door verschillende partijen. Welke partijen moeten volgens u deel uitmaken van de nieuwe regering?

ENQ: Vraag eventueel: Zijn er wellicht partijen die volgens u ook moeten deelnemen aan deze regering?

ITS: Variabele opnemen die aangeeft welke antwoorden voor de prompt zijn genoemd

ITS: Codering in maximaal 8 variabelen. Elke variabele heeft een verzameling waarden die gelijk is aan de verzameling partijen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. De tweede variabele de tweede genoemde partij, etc.

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 dummy 1

24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
40 alle partijen
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31g Maakt het voor u veel, weinig of niets uit welke partijen in de regering komen?

1 veel
2 weinig
3 niets
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig 17

Op deze kaart staan een aantal persoonlijke en sociale doelen. Wilt u bij ieder ervan aangeven of u vindt dat wij daar in Nederland veel meer of veel minder aandacht aan zouden moeten besteden?

32a Zeker stellen van een goed betaalde baan

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32b Hard werken en sparen voor de toekomst

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32c Zorgen voor een hoge economische groei

- 1 veel meer aandacht
- 2 meer aandacht
- 3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
- 4 minder aandacht
- 5 veel minder aandacht
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

32d Persoonlijke vrijheid

- 1 veel meer aandacht
- 2 meer aandacht
- 3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
- 4 minder aandacht
- 5 veel minder aandacht
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

32e Open staan voor nieuwe ideeën

- 1 veel meer aandacht
- 2 meer aandacht
- 3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
- 4 minder aandacht
- 5 veel minder aandacht
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

32f Vrijheid van meningsuiting

- 1 veel meer aandacht
- 2 meer aandacht
- 3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
- 4 minder aandacht
- 5 veel minder aandacht
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

32g Streven naar persoonlijke ontplooiing

- 1 veel meer aandacht

2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32h Mensen meer inspraak geven bij besluiten van de overheid

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32i Verhoging van de uitkeringen aan de minima

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32j Verbetering van het milieu en de kwaliteit van het bestaan

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32k Actieve deelname van burgers aan de gemeentepolitiek

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht

4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32l Vervangen van partijen door enkele sterke leiders

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32m In stand houden van traditionele normen en waarden

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32n Respect hebben voor autoriteiten

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32o Ook in de huidige situatie zorgen voor een sterk leger

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32p Beperkingen opleggen aan andersdenkenden

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32q Stimuleren van trouw en vaderlandsliefde

1 veel meer aandacht
2 meer aandacht
3 niet meer en niet minder aandacht
4 minder aandacht
5 veel minder aandacht
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Er zijn allerlei regels die kunnen bepalen of iemand Nederlander mag worden. Hieronder volgen twee uitspraken die betrekking hebben op het Nederlandschap. Wilt u door middel van een cijfer van 1 tot en met 10 aangeven in hoeverre u het met iedere uitspraak eens bent. Een 1 betekent dat u het helemaal niet eens bent met de uitspraak, een 10 betekent dat u het helemaal eens bent met de uitspraak.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 18

33a Ik vind dat alle mensen die Nederlandse VOOROUDERS hebben recht hebben op het Nederlandschap

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

33b Ik vind dat alle mensen die in Nederland WONEN EN WERKEN recht hebben op het Nederlandschap

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Er zijn diverse factoren die mensen het gevoel geven dat ze bij elkaar horen. Hierna volgen twee uitspraken. Wilt u door middel van een cijfer van 1 tot en met 10 weer aangeven in hoeverre u het met iedere uitspraak eens bent. Een 1 betekent dat u het helemaal niet eens bent met de uitspraak, een 10 betekent dat u het helemaal eens bent met de uitspraak.

ENQ: Gebruik weer kaart 18

33c Saamhorigheid tussen de inwoners van een land ontstaat door de eeuwen heen.

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

33d Culturele verschillen binnen een land staan onderlinge saamhorigheid niet in de weg.

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34a Dan wil ik u nu enkele vragen stellen over de periode waarin u 10 tot 16 jaar was.

Werd er toen bij u thuis veel, tamelijk veel, weinig of niet over politieke onderwerpen gesproken?

1 veel
2 tamelijk veel
3 weinig
4 niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34b Had u in deze periode een vader?

ENQ: Ook pleeg- of stiefvader als vader aanduiden.

1 ja
2 nee (Vragen 35a, 35b, 47 en 48a-j overslaan)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34c Had u in deze periode een moeder?
ENQ: Ook pleeg- of stiefmoeder als moeder aanduiden.

1 ja
2 nee (Vragen 36a, 36b, 49 en 50a-j overslaan)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen stellen indien OP vader had.
35a Weet u nog aan welke partij uw VADER TOEN de voorkeur gaf?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 36a)
3 OP had destijds geen (pleeg)vader (Naar 36a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 36a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 36a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

35b En welke partij was dat?

ENQ: wanneer respondent meer partijen noemt, deze noteren
(Maximaal 2 antwoorden)

ITS: *Codering in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij.
Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc*

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
13 Centrumpartij
14 EVP
15 KVPRKSP
16 CHU
17 ARP
18 SDAP
19 CPN
20 PPR
21 PSP

22 Boerenpartij
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen stellen indien OP moeder had.

36a En weet u nog aan welke partij uw MOEDER TOEN de voorkeur gaf?

1 ja (Naar 36b)
2 nee (Naar 37a)
3 OP had destijds geen (pleeg)moeder (Naar 37a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 37a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 37a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

36b En welke partij was dat?

ENQ: wanneer respondent meer partijen noemt, deze noteren

(Maximaal 2 antwoorden)

ITS: *Codering in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij.*

Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
13 Centruumpartij
14 EVP
15 KVPRKSP
16 CHU
17 ARP
18 SDAP
19 CPN
20 PPR
21 PSP
22 Boerenpartij
30 lokale lijst
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Dan zou ik u nu wat vragen willen stellen met betrekking tot uzelf, uw huishouden en uw familieleden.

37a Uzelf meegerekend, uit hoeveel personen bestaat dan het huishouden waartoe u behoort?

ENQ: Kinderen die elders op kamers wonen niet tot het huishouden rekenen.

1 1 persoon (OP) (Naar 38a)
2 meer dan 1 persoon (Naar 37b)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

37b Kunt u mij zeggen hoe het huishouden waartoe u behoort is samengesteld? Het gaat dus om alle personen die in deze huishouding wonen dus ook eventueel inwonende personen.

ENQ: Invullen in schema

ENQ: vraag naar de leeftijd in jaren en het geslacht van personen

37c Kunt u mij van elk van de genoemde personen aangeven wat zij van u zijn, bijvoorbeeld uw kind, vader of moeder?

ENQ: Invullen in schema

ENQ: Behandel elke genoemde persoon en geef voor elk aan wat de relatie van die persoon tot de respondent is met als mogelijkheden:

1 (over-)grootouder
2 (pleeg-, stief-, schoon-) ouder
3 partner, echtgenoot, echtgenote
4 (schoon-, stief-) broer of zus
5 (pleeg-, stief-) kind
6 inwonende persoon, kostganger, overige

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

37d ENQ: Stel vast of u iedereen in het huishouden gehad heeft.

1 ja (Naar 37e)
2 nee, stel van anderen het geslacht, de geboortedatum en de relatie tot OP vast (ENQ: Invullen in schema)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

37e Levert een van deze personen de grootste bijdrage aan het totale inkomen van de huishouding?

1 ja (ENQ: Invullen in schema)

2 nee, meerdere personen leveren gelijke bijdrage (ENQ: Invullen in schema)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Invullen in schema

ENQ: Meerdere personen aanduiden is alleen mogelijk indien er door meerdere personen een gelijk inkomen wordt ingebracht.

Persoon	Geslacht (1 man, 2 vrouw)	Leeftijd (in jaren)	Relatie tot OP (code 1 tm 6)	Persoon levert grootste bijdrage (evt. samen met anderen) (1 ja 2 nee)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
..				
..				
..				

De volgende vragen gaan over uw eigen opleiding.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

38a Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen wat de hoogste school-opleiding is waarvan u een diploma heeft behaald? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

38b Bent u hierna nog aan een opleiding begonnen op een hoger niveau?

ENQ: Korte cursussen of opleidingen (korter dan 1 jaar) niet meerekenen.

1 ja (Naar 38c)

2 nee (Naar 39a)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Gebruik weer kaart 19

38c Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen welke opleiding dat is? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over uw beroep.

39a Kunt u aangeven wat u momenteel doet of welke situatie op u van toepassing is?

ENQ: Indien meer dan 1 werkkring, vragen over de werkkring met de meeste uren per week

ENQ: Banen via het uitzendbureau is 'elders in loondienst' (b)

ENQ: Vrijwilligers, niet betaald werk is 'heeft betaald werk verricht' (2) of 'heeft nooit betaald werk verricht' (3)

1 verricht betaald werk (Naar 39a1)
2 heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer) door (Naar 39a2)
3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht (Naar 39a3)

39a1 U verricht betaald werk. Bent u werkzaam in overheidsdienst, in loondienst, zelfstandig werkzaam of op andere wijze?

1 in overheidsdienst (Naar 39b en 39c)
2 elders in loondienst (Naar 39b en 39c)
3 zelfstandig werkzaam (Naar 39b en 39d)
4 anders, nl..... (Naar 39b en 39c)

39a2 U heeft betaald werk verricht maar doet dat nu niet (meer). Is dit vanwege blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, pensionering of rentenierend, huishoudelijke werk, opvoeding van kinderen of heeft dit een andere reden?

1 blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid (Naar 39k)
2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 39k)
3 gepensioneerd, rentenierend (Naar 39k)
4 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 39k)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 39k)

39a3 U heeft nooit betaald werk verricht. Is dit vanwege studie, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, huishoudelijk werk, de opvoeding van kinderen, arbeidsongeschiktheid of heeft dit een andere reden?

1 studeert nog (Naar 39q)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 39q)
3 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 39q)
4 arbeidsongeschikt (Naar 40a)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 39q)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 40a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 40a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

39b Wat is uw beroep of functie?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39c Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling bent u werkzaam? (Naar 39e)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39d Wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling heeft u? (Indien zelfstandig werkzaam;
code c bij 39a) (Naar 39g)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39e Geeft u in uw beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers?

1 ja

2 nee (Naar 39i)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

39f Aan hoeveel personen geeft u leiding? (Naar 39i)

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen voor persoon die zelfstandig werkzaam is (code c bij 39a)

39g Heeft u personeel in dienst?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 39i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39h Hoeveel personeel heeft u in dienst?

1 1-4
2 5-9
3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39i Hoeveel uur werkt u gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien OP minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 39j, anders naar vraag 40a

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP minder dan 30 uur werkt

Overhandig kaart 21

39j U werkt minder dan 30 uur per week. Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart aangeven welke situatie verder op u van toepassing is. (Naar 40a)

1 gedeeltelijk arbeidsongeschikt
2 werkloos, werkzoekend

3 huishoudelijk werkzorg voor kinderen
4 studeert nog
5 vrijwilliger
6 geen andere werkzaamheden
7 overig, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39k U heeft vroeger betaald werk verricht. In welke functie bent u werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie.

ENQ: Indien OP zijn werkzaamheden langzaam heeft afgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die OP voorheen had.

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39l Bij welk soort bedrijf of instelling bent u werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39m Heeft u in uw beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers gegeven?

1 ja (Naar 39n)

2 nee (Naar 39o)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

39n Aan hoeveel personen heeft u leiding gegeven?

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding werd gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39o Hoeveel uur heeft u gemiddeld per week gewerkt?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien OP minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 39p, anders naar vraag 40a

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39p In welk jaar heeft u voor het laatst betaald werk gehad? (Naar 40a)

ENQ: Indien OP bij 39a 2f, 2h of 2i heeft geantwoord naar 39q.

(Voer getal in tussen 1 en 98)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag alleen stellen indien OP bij 39a 2f, 2h,2i of 3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht heeft geantwoord.

39q Zou u regelmatig werk willen hebben?

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Dan wil ik u nu enkele vragen stellen over uw godsdienst of levensbeschouwing.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 22

40a Welke van de uitspraken op deze kaart komt het meest overeen met uw mening over God?

ENQ: Slechts een antwoord mogelijk

1 Ik geloof niet in God

2 Ik weet niet of er een God is, en ik geloof niet dat er een manier is om dat te weten te komen

3 Ik geloof niet in een God, die zich met ieder van ons persoonlijk bezighoudt, maar ik geloof wel in een of andere hogere macht

4 Op sommige momenten geloof ik in God, op andere momenten niet

5 Ik geloof in God, al heb ik mijn twijfels

6 Ik geloof zonder twijfel dat God werkelijk bestaat

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

40b Rekent u zichzelf tot een bepaalde kerkelijke gezindte of levensbeschouwelijke groepering en ZO JA: tot welke?

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Samen op weg, dit noteren bij 5 anders, nl..

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

1 Rooms-katholiek (Naar 40e)
2 Nederlands Hervormd (Naar 40c)
3 Gereformeerd (Naar 40d)
4 Islamitisch (Naar 40e)
5 anders , nl.. (Naar 40e)
6 geen (Naar 40e)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 40e)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 40e)
999 (inappropriate) routing

40c Rekent u zichzelf tot een bepaalde richting in de Nederlands Hervormde Kerk, en ZO JA: tot welke?

1 Vrijzinnige richting (Naar 40e)
2 Midden Orthodox (Naar 40e)
3 Gereformeerde Bond (Naar 40e)
4 Confessionele richting (Naar 40e)
5 anders, nl. ... (Naar 40e)
6 geen richting (Naar 40e)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 40e)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 40e)
999 (inappropriate) routing

40d Tot welke van de Gereformeerde kerken, gemeenten of gezindten rekent u zichzelf?

1 Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland (synodaal)
2 Gereformeerde Kerken, vrijgemaakt (artikel 31)
3 Nederlands Gereformeerde Kerken (buiten verband)
4 Christelijk Gereformeerde Kerk
5 Gereformeerde gemeenten
6 Oud gereformeerde gemeenten
7 anders, nl. ...

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

40e Hoe vaak gaat u naar godsdienstige bijeenkomsten of kerkdiensten?

1 elke week of vaker
2 2 tot 3 keer per maand

3 1 keer per maand
4 enkele keren per jaar
5 (praktisch) nooit
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

40f Bent u opgegroeid in een bepaalde kerkelijke gezindte of levensbeschouwelijke groepering en ZO JA: welke?

ENQ: Maximaal 2 codes mogelijk

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Samen op weg, dit noteren bij 5 anders, nl..

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

ITS: *Coderen in 2 variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde gezindte of groepering. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde gezindte of groepering.*

1 Rooms-katholiek
2 Nederlands Hervormd
3 Gereformeerd
4 Islamitisch
5 andere gezindte of groepering, nl. ...
6 geen
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

41 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 23

Er wordt wel eens gesproken over het bestaan van verschillende sociale klassen en groepen. Als u zichzelf tot een bepaalde sociale klasse zou moeten rekenen, welke zou dat dan zijn?

1 hogere klasse
2 hogere middenklasse
3 gewone middenklasse
4 hogere arbeidersklasse
5 gewone arbeidersklasse
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien respondent partner heeft, bekend uit de huishoudbox; anders ga naar vraag 45a

De volgende vragen gaan over de opleiding van uw partner.

ENQ: Overhandig 19

42a Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen wat de hoogste school-opleiding is waarvan uw partner een diploma heeft behaald? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

42b Is uw partner hierna nog aan een opleiding begonnen op een hoger niveau?
ENQ: Korte cursussen of opleidingen (korter dan 1 jaar) niet meerekenen.

1 ja (Naar 42c)

2 nee (Naar 43a)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

42c Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen welke opleiding dat is? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over het beroep van uw partner .

43a Kunt u aangeven wat uw partner momenteel doet of welke situatie op uw partner van toepassing is?

ENQ: Indien meer dan 1 werkring, vragen over de werkring met de meeste uren per week

ENQ: Banen via het uitzendbureau is 'elders in loondienst' (b)

ENQ: Vrijwilligers, niet betaald werk is 'heeft betaald werk verricht' (2) of 'heeft nooit betaald werk verricht' (3)

1 verricht betaald werk (Naar 43a1)

2 heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer) door (Naar 43a2)

3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht (Naar 43a3)

43a1 Uw partner verricht betaald werk. Is uw partner werkzaam in overheidsdienst, in loondienst, zelfstandig werkzaam of op andere wijze?

1 in overheidsdienst (Naar 43b en 43c)

2 elders in loondienst (Naar 43b en 43c)

3 zelfstandig werkzaam (Naar 43b en 43d)

4 anders, nl..... (Naar 43b en 43c)

43a2 Uw partner heeft betaald werk verricht maar doet dat nu niet (meer). Is dit vanwege blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, pensionering of rentenierend, huishoudelijke werk, opvoeding van kinderen of heeft dit een andere reden?

1 blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid (Naar 43k)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 43k)

- 3 gepensioneerd, rentenierend (Naar 43k)
4 huishoudelijk werkopvoeden van kinderen (Naar 43k)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 43k)

43a3 Uw partner heeft nooit betaald werk verricht. Is dit vanwege studie, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, huishoudelijk werk, de opvoeding van kinderen, arbeidsongeschiktheid of heeft dit een andere reden?

- 1 studeert nog (Naar 43q)
2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 43q)
3 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 43q)
4 arbeidsongeschikt (Naar 44a)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 43q)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 44a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 44a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

43b Wat is het beroep of de functie van uw partner?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: Automatisch coderen

- 996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43c Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling is uw partner werkzaam? (Naar 43e)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: Automatisch coderen

- 996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43d Wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling heeft uw partner? (Indien zelfstandig werkzaam; code c bij 43a) (Naar 43g)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: Automatisch coderen

- 996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43e Geeft uw partner in zijn haar beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 43i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43f Aan hoeveel personen geeft uw partner leiding? (Naar 43i)
ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4
2 5-9
3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen voor persoon die zelfstandig werkzaam is (code c bij 43a)

43g Heeft uw partner personeel in dienst?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 43i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43h Hoeveel personeel heeft uw partner in dienst?

1 1-4
2 5-9
3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43i Hoeveel uur werkt uw partner gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen.

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien partner minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 43j, anders naar vraag 44a
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien partner minder dan 30 uur werkt

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 21

43j Uw partner werkt minder dan 30 uur per week. Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart aangeven welke situatie verder op uw partner van toepassing is. (Naar 44a)

1 gedeeltelijk arbeidsongeschikt
2 werkloos, werkzoekend
3 huishoudelijk werkzorg voor kinderen
4 studeert nog
5 vrijwilliger
6 geen andere werkzaamheden
7 overig, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43k Uw partner heeft vroeger betaald werk verricht. In welke functie is uw partner werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie.

ENQ: Indien partner zijn/haar werkzaamheden langzaam heeft afgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die partner voorheen had.

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43l Bij welk soort bedrijf of instelling is uw partner werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43m Heeft uw partner in zijn/haar beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers gegeven?

1 ja (Naar 43n)

2 nee (Naar 43o)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43n Aan hoeveel personen heeft uw partner leiding gegeven?

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding werd gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43o Hoeveel uur heeft uw partner gemiddeld per week gewerkt?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43p In welk jaar heeft uw partner voor het laatst betaald werk gehad? (Naar 44a)

(Voer getal in tussen 1 en 98)

ENQ: Indien OP bij 43a 2f, 2h of 2i heeft geantwoord naar 43q

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag alleen stellen indien OP bij 43a 2f, 2h, 2i of 3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht heeft geantwoord.

43q Zou uw partner regelmatig werk willen hebben?

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

44a Behoort uw partner tot een bepaalde kerkelijke gezindte of levensbeschouwelijke groepering en ZO

JA: tot welke?

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Samen op weg, dit noteren bij 5 anders, nl..

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

- 1 Rooms-katholiek (Naar 44d)
- 2 Nederlands Hervormd (Naar 44b)
- 3 Gereformeerd (Naar 44c)
- 4 Islamitisch (Naar 44d)
- 5 anders, nl.. (Naar 44d)
- 6 geen (Naar 44d)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet (Naar 44d)

998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 44d)

999 (inappropriate) routing

44b Behoort uw partner tot een bepaalde richting in de Nederlands Hervormde Kerk, en ZO JA: tot welke?

- 1 Vrijzinnige richting (Naar 44d)
- 2 Midden Orthodox (Naar 44d)
- 3 Gereformeerde Bond (Naar 44d)
- 4 Confessionele richting (Naar 44d)
- 5 anders, nl. ... (Naar 44d)
- 6 geen richting (Naar 44d)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet (Naar 44d)

998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 44d)

999 (inappropriate) routing

44c Tot welke van de Gereformeerde kerken, gemeenten of gezindten behoort uw partner?

- 1 Gereformeerde kerken in Nederland (synodaal)
- 2 Gereformeerde kerken, vrijgemaakt (artikel 31)
- 3 Nederlands Gereformeerde kerken (buiten verband)
- 4 Christelijk Gereformeerde kerk
- 5 Gereformeerde gemeenten
- 6 Oud gereformeerde gemeenten
- 7 anders, nl. ...

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

44d Hoe vaak gaat uw partner naar godsdienstige bijeenkomsten of kerkdiensten?

1 elke week of vaker

2 2 tot 3 keer per maand

3 1 keer per maand
4 enkele keren per jaar
5 (praktisch) nooit
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

44e Is uw partner opgegroeid in een bepaalde kerkelijke gezindte of levensbeschouwelijke groepering en

ZO JA: welke?

ENQ: Maximaal 2 codes mogelijk

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Samen op weg, dit noteren bij 5 anders, nl..

ENQ: Indien OP noemt Protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

ITS: Coderen in 2 variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde gezindte of groepering. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde gezindte of groepering.

1 Rooms-katholiek
2 Nederlands Hervormd
3 Gereformeerd
4 Islamitisch
5 anders, nl. ...
6 geen
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien respondent en partner geen hoofdkostwinner zijn(bekend uit huishoudbox), anders ga naar vraag 47.

De volgende vragen gaan over de opleiding van de hoofdkostwinner.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

45a Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen wat de hoogste school-opleiding is waarvan de hoofdkostwinner een diploma heeft behaald? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

45b Is de hoofdkostwinner hierna nog aan een opleiding begonnen op een hoger niveau?

ENQ: Korte cursussen of opleidingen (korter dan 1 jaar) niet meerekenen.

1 ja (Naar 45c)
2 nee (Naar 46a)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

45c Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen welke opleiding dat is? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over het beroep van de hoofdkostwinner.

46a Kunt u aangeven wat de hoofdkostwinner momenteel doet of welke situatie op de hoofdkostwinner van toepassing is?

ENQ: Indien meer dan 1 werkkring, vragen over de werkkring met de meeste uren per week

ENQ: Banen via het uitzendbureau is 'elders in loondienst' (b)

ENQ: Vrijwilligers, niet betaald werk is 'heeft betaald werk verricht' (2) of 'heeft nooit betaald werk verricht' (3)

1 verricht betaald werk (Naar 46a1)

2 heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer) door (Naar 46a2)

3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht (Naar 46a3)

46a1 De hoofdkostwinner verricht betaald werk. Is de hoofdkostwinner werkzaam in overheidsdienst, in loondienst, zelfstandig werkzaam of op andere wijze?

1 in overheidsdienst (Naar 46b en 46c)

2 elders in loondienst (Naar 46b en 46c)

3 zelfstandig werkzaam (Naar 46b en 46d)

4 anders, nl..... (Naar 46b en 46c)

46a2 De hoofdkostwinner heeft betaald werk verricht maar doet dat nu niet (meer). Is dit vanwege blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, pensionering of rentenierend, huishoudelijke werk, opvoeding van kinderen of heeft dit een andere reden?

1 blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid (Naar 46k)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 46k)

3 gepensioneerd, rentenierend (Naar 46k)

4 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 46k)

5 anders, nl..... (Naar 46k)

46a3 De hoofdkostwinner heeft nooit betaald werk verricht. Is dit vanwege studie, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, huishoudelijk werk, de opvoeding van kinderen, arbeidsongeschiktheid of heeft dit een andere reden?

1 studeert nog (Naar 46q)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 46q)

3 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 46q)

4 arbeidsongeschikt (Naar 47)

5 anders, nl..... (Naar 46q)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 47)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 47)
999 (inappropriate) routing

46b Wat is het beroep of de functie van de hoofdkostwinner?
ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....
ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

46c Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling is de hoofdkostwinner werkzaam?
(Naar 46e)
ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....
ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

46d Wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling heeft de hoofdkostwinner? (Indien
zelfstandig werkzaam; code c bij 46a) (Naar 46g)
ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....
ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

46e Geeft de hoofdkostwinner in zijn haar beroep of functie leiding aan
medewerkers?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 46i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

46f Aan hoeveel personen geeft de hoofdkostwinner leiding? (Naar 46i)

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen voor persoon die zelfstandig werkzaam is (code c bij 46a)

46g Heeft de hoofdkostwinner personeel in dienst?

1 ja

2 nee (Naar 46i)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

46h Hoeveel personeel heeft de hoofdkostwinner in dienst?

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

46i Hoeveel uur werkt de hoofdkostwinner gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien hoofdkostwinner minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 46j, anders naar vraag 47

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien hoofdkostwinner minder dan 30 uur werkt

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 21

46j De hoofdkostwinner werkt minder dan 30 uur per week. Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart aangeven welke situatie verder op de hoofdkostwinner van toepassing is. (Naar 47)

- 1 gedeeltelijk arbeidsongeschikt
- 2 werkloos, werkzoekend
- 3 huishoudelijk werkzorg voor kinderen
- 4 studeert nog
- 5 vrijwilliger
- 6 geen andere werkzaamheden
- 7 overig, nl..
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

46k De hoofdkostwinner heeft vroeger betaald werk verricht. In welke functie is de hoofdkostwinner werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie.

ENQ: Indien hoofdkostwinner zijn/haar werkzaamheden langzaam heeft opgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die hoofdkostwinner voorheen had.

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

46l Bij welk soort bedrijf of instelling is de hoofdkostwinner werkzaam geweest?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

46m Heeft de hoofdkostwinner in zijn/haar beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers gegeven?

- 1 ja (Naar 46n)
- 2 nee (Naar 46o)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

46n Aan hoeveel personen heeft de hoofdkostwinner leiding gegeven?
ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding werd gegeven meetellen.

- 1 1-4
- 2 5-9
- 3 10-19
- 4 20-49
- 5 50-99
- 6 100 of meer
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

46o Hoeveel uur heeft de hoofdkostwinner gemiddeld per week gewerkt?
ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

- (aantal noteren)
- 996 panel attrition
 - 997 weet niet
 - 998 wil niet zeggen
 - 999 (inappropriate) routing

46p In welk jaar heeft de hoofdkostwinner voor het laatst betaald werk gehad?
(Naar 47)

- ENQ: Indien OP bij 46a 2f, 2h of 2i heeft geantwoord naar 46q
(Voer getal in tussen 1 en 98)
- 996 panel attrition
 - 997 weet niet
 - 998 wil niet zeggen
 - 999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP bij 46a 2f, 2h, 2i of 3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht heeft geantwoord

46q Zou de hoofdkostwinner regelmatig werk willen hebben?

- 1 ja
- 2 nee
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vraag gaat over de opleiding van uw (pleeg-, stief-)vader toen U tussen de 10 en 16 jaar oud was.

ENQ: Vragen overslaan indien bij 34b is geantwoord dat OP geen vader had in deze periode of als vader hoofdkostwinner was. Indien de vader van OP inmiddels overleden is, vragen wel stellen.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

47 Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen wat de hoogste school-opleiding is waarvan uw vader een diploma heeft behaald? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over het beroep van uw (pleeg-, stief-)vader toen U tussen de 10 en 16 jaar oud was. ENQ: Vragen overslaan indien bij 34b is geantwoord dat OP geen vader had in deze periode of als vader hoofdkostwinner is. Indien de vader van OP inmiddels is overleden, vragen wel stellen.

48 Kunt u aangeven wat uw vader toen deed of welke situatie op de vader van toepassing was?

ENQ: Indien meer dan 1 werkring, vragen over de werkring met de meeste uren per week

ENQ: Banen via het uitzendbureau is 'elders in loondienst' (b)

ENQ: Vrijwilligers, niet betaald werk is 'heeft betaald werk verricht' (2) of 'heeft nooit betaald werk verricht' (3)

1 verricht betaald werk (Naar 48a1)

2 heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer) door (Naar 48a2)

3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht (Naar 48a3)

48a1 Uw vader verrichte betaald werk. Was uw vader werkzaam in overheidsdienst, in loondienst, zelfstandig werkzaam of op andere wijze?

1 in overheidsdienst (Naar 48b en 48c)

2 elders in loondienst (Naar 48b en 48c)

3 zelfstandig werkzaam (Naar 48b en 48d)

4 anders, nl..... (Naar 48b en 48c)

48a2 Uw vader had betaald werk verricht maar deed dat nu niet (meer). Was dat vanwege blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, pensionering of rentenierend, huishoudelijke werk, opvoeding van kinderen of had dit een andere reden?

1 blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid (Naar 49)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 49)

3 gepensioneerd, rentenierend (Naar 49)

4 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 49)

5 anders, nl..... (Naar 49)

48a3 Uw vader heeft nooit betaald werk verricht. Was dit vanwege studie, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, huishoudelijk werk, de opvoeding van kinderen, arbeidsongeschiktheid of had dit een andere reden?

1 studeerde nog (Naar 49)

2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 49)
3 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 49)
4 arbeidsongeschikt (Naar 49)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 49)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 49)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 49)
999 (inappropriate) routing

48b Wat was het beroep of de functie van uw vader?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48c Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling was uw vader werkzaam? (Naar 48e)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48d Wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling had uw vader? (Indien zelfstandig werkzaam; code c bij 48a) (Naar 48g)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48e Gaf uw vader in zijn beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers?

1 ja

2 nee (Naar 48i)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48f Aan hoeveel personen gaf uw vader leiding? (Naar 48i)

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen voor persoon die zelfstandig werkzaam is (code c bij 48a)

48g Had uw vader personeel in dienst?

1 ja

2 nee (Naar 48i)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48h Hoeveel personeel had uw vader in dienst?

1 1-4

2 5-9

3 10-19

4 20-49

5 50-99

6 100 of meer

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48i Hoeveel uur werkte uw vader gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien vader minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 48j, anders naar vraag 49

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien vader minder dan 30 uur werkt

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 21

48j Uw vader werkte minder dan 30 uur per week. Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart aangeven welke situatie verder op uw vader van toepassing was. (Naar 49)

- 1 gedeeltelijk arbeidsongeschikt
- 2 werkloos, werkzoekend
- 3 huishoudelijk werkzorg voor kinderen
- 4 studeert nog
- 5 vrijwilliger
- 6 geen andere werkzaamheden
- 7 overig, nl..
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over de opleiding van uw (pleeg-, stief-)moeder toen U tussen de 10 en 16 jaar oud was.

ENQ: Vragen overslaan indien bij 34c is geantwoord dat OP geen moeder had of als moeder

hoofdkostwinner is. Indien de moeder van OP inmiddels overleden is, vragen wel stellen.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

49 Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart zeggen wat de hoogste school-opleiding is waarvan uw moeder een diploma heeft behaald? Noemt u maar het nummer van de opleiding.

- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

De volgende vragen gaan over het beroep van uw (pleeg-, stief-)moeder toen U tussen de 10 en 16 jaar oud was. ENQ: Vragen overslaan indien bij 34c is geantwoord dat OP geen moeder had in deze periode of als moeder hoofdkostwinner is. Indien de moeder van OP inmiddels is overleden, vragen wel stellen.

50 Kunt u aangeven wat uw moeder toen deed of welke situatie op de moeder van toepassing was?

ENQ: Indien meer dan 1 werkring, vragen over de werkring met de meeste uren per week

ENQ: Banen via het uitzendbureau is 'elders in loondienst' (b)

ENQ: Vrijwilligers, niet betaald werk is 'heeft betaald werk verricht' (2) of 'heeft nooit betaald werk verricht' (3)

1 verricht betaald werk

(Naar 50a1)

- 2 heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer) door (Naar 50a2)
3 heeft nooit betaald werk verricht (Naar 50a3)

50a1 Uw moeder verrichte betaald werk. Was uw moeder werkzaam in overheidssdienst, in loondienst, zelfstandig werkzaam of op andere wijze?

- 1 in overheidssdienst (Naar 50b en 50c)
2 elders in loondienst (Naar 50b en 50c)
3 zelfstandig werkzaam (Naar 50b en 50d)
4 anders, nl..... (Naar 50b en 50c)

50a2 Uw moeder had betaald werk verricht maar deed dat nu niet (meer). Was dat vanwege blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, pensionering of rentenierend, huishoudelijke werk, opvoeding van kinderen of had dit een andere reden?

- 1 blijvende invaliditeit of arbeidsongeschiktheid (Naar 50)
2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 50)
3 gepensioneerd, rentenierend (Naar 50)
4 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 50)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 50)

50a3 Uw moeder heeft nooit betaald werk verricht. Was dit vanwege studie, werkloosheid of werkzoekend, huishoudelijk werk, de opvoeding van kinderen, arbeidsongeschiktheid of had dit een andere reden?

- 1 studeerde nog (Naar 51)
2 werkloos, werkzoekend (Naar 51)
3 huishoudelijk werk (Naar 51)
4 arbeidsongeschikt (Naar 51)
5 anders, nl..... (Naar 51)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 51)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 51)
999 (inappropriate) routing

50b Wat was het beroep of de functie van uw moeder?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

- 996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50c Bij wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling was uw moeder werkzaam? (Naar 50e)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50d Wat voor soort bedrijf of instelling had uw moeder? (Indien zelfstandig werkzaam; code c bij 50a) (Naar 50g)

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

.....

ITS: *Automatisch coderen*

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50e Gaf uw moeder in haar beroep of functie leiding aan medewerkers?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 50i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50f Aan hoeveel personen gaf uw moeder leiding? (Naar 50i)

ENQ: Personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen.

1 1-4
2 5-9
3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Alleen voor persoon die zelfstandig werkzaam is (code c bij 50a)

50g Had uw moeder personeel in dienst?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 50i)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50h Hoeveel personeel had uw moeder in dienst?

1 1-4
2 5-9
3 10-19
4 20-49
5 50-99
6 100 of meer
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50i Hoeveel uur werkte uw moeder gemiddeld per week, onbetaalde uren niet meegeteld?

ENQ: De uren van alle banen optellen

..... (aantal noteren)

ITS: Indien moeder minder dan 30 uur per week werkt, naar vraag 50j, anders naar vraag 51

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien moeder minder dan 30 uur werkt

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 21

50j Uw moeder werkte minder dan 30 uur per week. Kunt u aan de hand van deze kaart aangeven welke situatie verder op uw moeder van toepassing was. (Naar 51)

1 gedeeltelijk arbeidsongeschikt
2 werkloos, werkzoekend
3 huishoudelijk werkzorg voor kinderen
4 studeert nog
5 vrijwilliger
6 geen andere werkzaamheden
7 overig, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

51 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 24

Op deze kaart staan enige inkomensgroepen. Het gaat hier om het totale netto inkomen van alle gezinsleden bij elkaar per jaar, na aftrek van belastingen en dergelijke. Eventuele bijverdiensten en de inkomsten van meeverdienende kinderen moet u dus meetellen: kinderbijslag, sociale uitkeringen, AOW en pensioen gelden ook als inkomen. Kunt u aangeven in welke categorie het jaarlijkse netto inkomen van uw huishouden valt?

ENQ: Indien respondent bezwaar maakt of aarzelt: Deze vraag is voor het onderzoek erg belangrijk. Ik kan u verzekeren dat dit natuurlijk STRIKT GEHEIM blijft. Maar voor wetenschappelijke verwerking van de gegevens van alle ondervraagden bij elkaar, is het voor dit onderzoek een uitermate belangrijk gegeven.

- 1 A
- 2 B
- 3 C
- 4 D
- 5 E
- 6 F
- 7 G
- 8 H
- 9 I
- 10 J
- 11 K
- 12 L

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

52a Hartelijk dank voor uw medewerking. Na de verkiezingen wil het ITS graag met u verder praten over enkele onderwerpen die dan in de belangstelling staan. Als u er geen bezwaar tegen heeft zal na 6 mei weer iemand bij u langs komen.

ENQ: Noteer(NIET oplezen):

- 1 heeft geen bezwaar (Naar 52c)
- 2 heeft bezwaar (Naar 52b)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

52b ENQ: Noteer waarom OP bezwaar heeft

ENQ: Indien OP niet aangeeft waarom hij bezwaar heeft, vragen:
Kunt u misschien aangeven waarom u hier bezwaar tegen heeft?

.....

52c Bent u tegen die tijd bereikbaar op uw huidig adres of op een ander adres?

- 1 heeft bezwaar (Naar 53a)
- 2 huidig adres (Naar 52e)
- 3 ander adres (Naar 52d)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 52e)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 52e)
999 (inappropriate) routing

52d Hoe luidt het andere adres?

....

52e ENQ: Probeer een afspraak met OP te maken voor een vervolgbezoek in de periode van 7 mei tot X juni.

1 afspraak gemaakt

2 geen afspraak gemaakt (Naar 52g)

52f ENQ: Noteer hier deze afspraak ten behoeve van onze administratie.

Afspraak: ...

52g Bent u in de weken na 6 mei misschien afwezig, bijvoorbeeld in verband met vakantie?

1 nee, niet afwezig (Naar 53a)

2 ja

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

52h Afwezig van ... tot ... ENQ: voer datum in.

ENQ: Invullen zonder te vragen

53a ENQ: Stel vast of er tijdens het gesprek ook andere personen in de kamer waren.

1 ja (Naar 53b)

2 nee e (Naar 54a)

997 weet niet (Naar 54a)

998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 54a)

53b ENQ: Stel vast wie dat waren.

(voer maximaal 5 codes in)

1 Kind(eren) jonger dan 6 jaar (Naar 53c)

2 Oudere kinderen (Naar 53d)

3 Man, vrouw, partner (Naar 53e)

4 Overige familieleden (Naar 53f)

5 Overige volwassenen (Naar 53g)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet (Naar 54a)

999 (inappropriate) routing

53c Wilt u van deze persoon of personen aangeven of zij het gesprek geheel of gedeeltelijk volgden d.w.z. actief meeluisterden, zich in het gesprek mengden d.w.z. een eigen mening gaven, of zij de ondervraagde persoon corrigeerden, of zij antwoorden suggereerden etcetera?

Alleen gesteld indien kinderen jonger dan 6 jaar aanwezig.

Kinderen jonger dan 6 jaar:

1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien oudere kinderen aanwezig.

53d Oudere kinderen:

1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien man, vrouw, partner aanwezig.

53e Man, vrouw, partner:

1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien overige familieleden aanwezig.

53f Overige familieleden:

1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien overige volwassenen aanwezig.

53g Overige volwassenen:

1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

54a ENQ: Waren er storende invloeden bij het gesprek, zoals bijvoorbeeld: TV of radio stond aan, mensen kwamen op bezoek, etcetera?

1 wel storende invloeden (Naar 54b)
2 geen storende invloeden (EINDE INTERVIEW)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet

999 (inappropriate) routing

54b De storende invloeden waren nl. ... (EINDE INTERVIEW)

Datum einde interview:

Eindtijd interview:

5.1.2 Showcards wave 1 (Dutch)

Boekje met de volgende toonkaarten (1^e golf)

Kaart 1

(bijna) altijd
vaak
zo nu en dan
zelden of nooit
leest geen krant

Kaart 2

(vrijwel) dagelijks
3 a 4 keer per week
1 a 2 keer per week
minder dan 1 keer per week
heeft geen televisie

Kaart 3

gunstig
ongunstig
niet gunstig, maar ook niet ongunstig

Kaart 4

zeer tevreden
tevreden
niet tevreden, maar ook niet ontevreden
ontevreden
zeer ontevreden

Kaart 5

helemaal mee eens
mee eens
mee oneens
helemaal mee oneens

Kaart 6

Lijn 1-7
1 ik wil veel moeite doen om te gaan stemmen
7 ik wil geen enkele moeite doen om te gaan stemmen
8 weet niet

Kaart 7

Lijn 1-7
1 een hoge opkomst is belangrijk
7 een hoge opkomst is onbelangrijk
8 weet niet

Kaart 8a tot en met kaart 8d zijn vier losse kaartjes!

Kaart 8a

U gaat WEL stemmen en de meeste andere kiezers doen dat ook. De opkomst is dan heel hoog, maar u moet wel de moeite doen om uw stem uit te brengen.

Kaart 8b

U gaat NIET stemmen maar de meeste andere kiezers gaan wel stemmen. De opkomst is dan heel hoog, en u hoeft geen moeite te doen om uw stem uit te brengen.

Kaart 8c

U gaat NIET stemmen en de meeste andere kiezers doen dat ook niet. De opkomst is dan heel laag, en u hoeft geen moeite te doen om uw stem uit te brengen.

Kaart 8d

U gaat WEL stemmen maar de meeste andere kiezers doen dat niet. De opkomst is dan heel laag, en u moet wel de moeite doen om uw stem uit te brengen.

Kaart 9

veel beter
iets beter
ongeveer hetzelfde
iets slechter
veel slechter

Kaart 10

Lijn 1-7

1 het moet verboden zijn dat een arts het leven van een patiënt op diens verzoek kan beëindigen
7 een arts mag altijd als een patiënt daartoe de wens te kennen geeft diens leven beëindigen
8 weet niet

Kaart 11

Lijn 1-7

1 de verschillen in inkomens in ons land moeten groter worden
7 de verschillen in inkomens in ons land moeten kleiner worden
8 weet niet

Kaart 12

Lijn 1-7

1 Nederland moet meer asielzoekers toelaten
7 Nederland moet zoveel mogelijk asielzoekers terugsturen
8 weet niet

Kaart 13

Lijn 1-7

1 alloctonen moeten in Nederland kunnen leven met behoud van alle gewoonten van de eigen cultuur

7 allochtonen moeten zich in Nederland volledig aanpassen aan de Nederlandse cultuur
8 weet niet

Kaart 13.1

1 De Europese eenwording zou nog verder moeten gaan
7 De Europese eenwording is al veel te ver gegaan
8 weet niet

Kaart 13.2

1 Duitsland
2 Verenigde Staten
3 Frankrijk
4 Italië
5 Spanje
6 Polen
7 Litouwen
8 Zweden
9 Noorwegen
10 Turkije

Kaart 14

0-10
0 Links
10 Rechts
11 weet niet

Kaart 15

Lijn 0-100
0 zeer onsympathiek
50 niet sympathiek maar ook niet onsympathiek
100 zeer sympathiek

Kaart 16a

Foto 1 Jacques Wallage, Fractievoorzitterkamerlid, PVDA

Kaart 16b

Foto 2 Thom de Graaf, Fractievoorzitterkamerlid, D66

Kaart 16c

Foto 3 Annemarie Jorritsma, Minister van Verkeer en Waterstaat, VVD

Kaart 16d

Foto 4 Piet Bukman, Voorzitter Tweede Kamerkamerlid, CDA

Kaart 17

veel meer aandacht
meer aandacht
niet meer en niet minder aandacht
minder aandacht
veel minder aandacht

Kaart 18

Lijn 1-10

1 helemaal niet mee eens

10 helemaal mee eens

Kaart 19

1 Lager onderwijs

2 VGLO, LAVO

3 LTS, Huishoudschool, LEAO

4 (M)ULO, 3-jarige HBS, MAVO

5 MEAO, UTS

6 5-jarige HBS, Gymnasium, MMS, Lyceum, Atheneum, HAVO

7 MBA, MTS

8 HTS, HEAO, Sociale of Pedagogische Academie, Kunstonderwijs, Zeevaartschool, Kweekschool, SPD, GA-1

9 MO-akten, Accountancy, Beroeps Officiersopleiding, GA-2, GF, etc.

10 Universiteit, Hogeschool

Kaart 22

1 Ik geloof niet in God

2 Ik weet niet of er een God is, en ik geloof niet dat er een manier is om dat te weten te komen

3 Ik geloof niet in een God, die zich met ieder van ons persoonlijk bezighoudt, maar ik geloof wel in een of andere hogere macht

4 Op sommige momenten geloof ik in God, op andere momenten niet

5 Ik geloof in God, al heb ik mijn twijfels

6 Ik geloof zonder twijfel dat God werkelijk bestaat

Kaart 23

hogere klasse

hogere middenklasse

gewone middenklasse

hogere arbeidersklasse

gewone arbeidersklasse

Kaart 24

Ook maandlonen en weeklonen toevoegen

Bedragen aanpassen. ITS hierover vragen

A = minder dan f 17.000,- per jaar

B = f 17.000,- tot f 21.000,- per jaar

C = f 21.000,- tot f 24.000,- per jaar

D = f 24.000,- tot f 28.000,- per jaar

E = f 28.000,- tot f 31.000,- per jaar

F = f 31.000,- tot f 35.000,- per jaar

G = f 35.000,- tot f 38.000,- per jaar

H = f 38.000,- tot f 45.000,- per jaar

I = f 45.000,- tot f 52.000,- per jaar

J = f 52.000,- tot f 59.000,- per jaar

K = f 59.000,- tot f 73.000,- per jaar

L = meer dan f 73.000,- per jaar

5.1.3 Questionnaire wave 2 (Dutch)

Identificatienummer Enquêteur/Enquêtrice:

Datum waarop afspraak is gemaakt met OP:

Datum interview:

Begintijd interview:

Goedemorgen/middag/avond. Zoals u in de brief heeft kunnen lezen houdt het ITS in opdracht van een aantal Nederlandse universiteiten een onderzoek naar enkele onderwerpen die de laatste tijd nogal eens in de belangstelling hebben gestaan.

1a Bent u voor de verkiezingen door mij/enquêteur/enquêtrice van het ITS geïnterviewd?

1 ja

2 nee (Misschien vergist de persoon zich of herinnert de persoon het zich niet meer)

(Vind de persoon die voor de verkiezingen is geïnterviewd. Indien onmogelijk: Einde interview)

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

ITS: Koppeling met eerste interview, zodat controle mogelijk is.

1b ENQ: Stel vast wat het geslacht is van de persoon die voor u zit (dus niet vragen)

1 man

2 vrouw

Koppeling met eerste interview, zodat controle mogelijk is.

1c Wat is uw geboortedatum?

...(volledige datum)

ENQ: Indien geboortedatum en geslacht onjuist zijn: vind de persoon die voor de verkiezingen is geïnterviewd.

ENQ: Indien onmogelijk: einde interview!

ENQ: OP is ...jaar oud

2 Ik wil beginnen met een vraag over de campagne die aan de Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei is voorafgegaan.

Als er in de krant nieuws over de verkiezingscampagne stond, hoe vaak las u dat dan?

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 1

1 (bijna) altijd

2 vaak

3 zo nu en dan

4 zelden of nooit

5 leest geen krant

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Vraag alleen stellen indien debatten gehouden zijn

3a In de week voorafgaand aan de verkiezingen werden op de televisie debatten gehouden waaraan de lijsttrekkers van de grote politieke partijen meededen. Heeft u een van deze debatten geheel of grotendeels of gezien?

1 ja, geheel
2 ja, grotendeels
3 nee (Naar 4)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

3b Heeft u het lijsttrekkersdebat op de avond voor de verkiezingen geheel of grotendeels gezien?

1 ja, geheel
2 ja, grotendeels
3 nee (Naar 4)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

3c Heeft dit debat invloed gehad op wat u op 6 mei heeft gestemd?

ENQ: Dit geldt ook voor diegenen die niet gestemd hebben op 6 mei

1 ja
2 nee
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 2

4 Tijdens de verkiezingscampagne worden allerlei activiteiten ondernomen. Wij hebben hier een lijst van zulke activiteiten. Wilt u zeggen welke van de volgende dingen u heeft gedaan in de afgelopen campagne?

ENQ: voer maximaal 4 codes in

ITS: *Codering in vier variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde activiteit. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde activiteit, etc.*

ITS: *Codes 1 tot en met 4 mogen niet in combinatie met code 5, 996, 997, 998, 999!*

1 ophangen raambiljet of verkiezingsbord
2 anderen overhalen om partij te stemmen
3 geven van geld
4 bezoeken bijeenkomsten
5 geen van deze
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

5 Heeft u gestemd bij de Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei?
ENQ: Als OP d.m.v. een volmacht heeft gestemd, ja (1) noteren.

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 14)
996 panel attrition (Naar 17)
997 weet niet (Naar 17)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 17)
999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 17)

6 Op welke partij heeft u gestemd?
(Slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV
12 SP
23 Senioren 2000
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
94 ongeldig
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 9c)
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

7 Waarom heeft u [antwoord vraag 6][deze partij] gestemd?
ENQ: Doorvragen bij onduidelijk antwoord of 'beste partij'. Toets het antwoord letterlijk en volledig in.
ENQ: Vraag eventueel: 'Kunt u daarover nog iets meer zeggen?'

8 Wanneer heeft u besloten om [antwoord vraag 6][deze partij] te stemmen?
Was dat op de DAG van de verkiezingen zelf, de laatste DAGEN voor de verkiezingen, de laatste WEKEN voor de verkiezingen, een paar MAANDEN tevoren of wist u al LANGER van tevoren hoe u zou gaan stemmen?

1 op de dag zelf
2 laatste dagen

3 laatste weken
4 een paar maanden tevoren
5 langer van tevoren
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag alleen stellen indien OP bij vraag 6 een partij heeft genoemd, dus niet als OP ongeldig of blanco heeft gestemd.

9a Heeft u gestemd op de eerste kandidaat van de lijst?

1 ja (Naar 9c)
2 nee (Naar 9b)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Deze vraag alleen stellen indien OP bij vraag 6 een partij heeft genoemd, dus niet als OP ongeldig of blanco heeft gestemd.

9b Waarom heeft u op een andere kandidaat gestemd?

1 eerste vrouw
2 bekende
3 anders, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP gestemd heeft code 1 bij vraag 5.

9c Heeft u aan iemand een volmacht gegeven om voor u te gaan stemmen?

1 ja (Naar 9d)
2 nee (Naar 9e)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

9d Aan wie? (Naar 10a)

1 echtgenootpartner
2 (groot-stief-pleeg-) ouder(s)
3 (stief-pleeg-) kind(eren)
4 ander lid van het huishouden
5 buurmanbuurvrouw
6 anders, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

9e Heeft u een volmacht van iemand anders gekregen?

1 ja (Naar 9f)

2 nee (Naar 9g)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

9f Van wie?

1 echtgenootpartner

2 (groot-stief-pleeg-) ouder(s)

3 (stief-pleeg-) kind(eren)

4 ander lid van het huishouden

5 buurmanbuurvrouw

6 anders, nl..

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP gestemd heeft, code 1 bij vraag 5.

Niet stellen indien OP volmacht heeft gegeven aan ander, code 1 bij 9c.

9g Hoe laat heeft u gestemd?

1 tussen 8.00 en 9.00 uur

2 tussen 9.00 en 12.00 uur

3 tussen 12.00 en 14.00 uur

4 tussen 14.00 en 18.00 uur

5 tussen 18.00 en 19.00 uur

6 tussen 19.00 en 20.00 uur

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ITS: Indien OP GroenLinks noemt bij vraag 6, vraag 10b.1 stellen

ITS: Indien OP CDA noemt bij vraag 6, vraag 10b.2 stellen

ITS: In alle andere gevallen vraag 10a stellen, dus ook als OP blanco of ongeldig heeft gestemd

10a U heeft [antwoord vraag 6] gestemd. Heeft u altijd op [antwoord vraag 6] gestemd of heeft u weleens op [een andere partij][een partij] gestemd?

1 altijd [antwoord vraag 6] (Naar 12a)

2 weleens een andere partij (Naar 11)

3 was niet eerder kiesgerechtigd (Naar 12a)

4 was kiesgerechtigd maar heeft nooit gestemd (Naar 12a)

996 panel attrition (Naar 12a)

997 weet niet (Naar 12a)

998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 12a)

999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 12a)

10b1 Heeft u altijd GroenLinks gestemd of heeft u weleens op een andere partij gestemd? Partijen waaruit GroenLinks is ontstaan zoals de PPR, de PSP, de CPN en de EVP kunt u beschouwen als andere partijen.

1 altijd deze partij	(Naar 12a)
2 weleens een andere partij	(Naar 11)
3 was niet eerder kiesgerechtigd	(Naar 12a)
4 was kiesgerechtigd maar heeft nooit gestemd	(Naar 12a)
996 panel attrition	(Naar 12a)
997 weet niet	(Naar 12a)
998 wil niet zeggen	(Naar 12a)
999 (inappropriate) routing	(Naar 12a)

10b2 Heeft u altijd CDA gestemd of heeft u weleens op een andere partij gestemd? Partijen waaruit CDA is ontstaan zoals de KVP, ARP en de CHU kunt u beschouwen als andere partijen.

1 altijd deze partij	(Naar 12a)
2 weleens een andere partij	(Naar 11)
3 was niet eerder kiesgerechtigd	(Naar 12a)
4 was kiesgerechtigd maar heeft nooit gestemd	(Naar 12a)
996 panel attrition	(Naar 12a)
997 weet niet	(Naar 12a)
998 wil niet zeggen	(Naar 12a)
999 (inappropriate) routing	(Naar 12a)

11 Welke partij was dat of welke partijen waren dat?

ENQ: Meer antwoorden mogelijk

ITS: Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc.

- 1 PVDA
- 2 CDA
- 3 VVD
- 4 D66
- 5 GroenLinks
- 6 SGP
- 7 GPV
- 8 RPF
- 9 Centrumdemocraten
- 10 UNIE 55+
- 11 AOV
- 12 SP
- 13 Centruumpartij
- 14 EVP
- 15 KVPRKSP
- 16 CHU
- 17 ARP

18 SDAP
19 CPN
20 PPR
21 PSP
22 Boerenpartij
23 Senioren 2000
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
30 lokale lijst, nl..
60 anders, nl...
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

12a Heeft u bij de Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei serieus overwogen om niet te gaan stemmen?

1 ja
2 nee
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

12b Heeft u bij de Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei serieus overwogen om op [een andere partij dan antwoord vraag 6][een partij][een andere partij dan de partij waarop u gestemd heeft] te gaan stemmen?

1 ja
2 nee (Naar 17)
996 panel attrition (Naar 17)
997 weet niet (Naar 17)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 17)
999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 17)

13 Op welke? (Naar 17)

ENQ: Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk

ITS: *Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde partij. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde partij, etc.*

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+

11 AOV
12 SP
23 Senioren 2000
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
94 ongeldig
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ITS: *Vraag 14 tm 16 niet stellen indien OP op vraag 5 ja (1) heeft geantwoord. Ga dan naar 17*

14 Waarom heeft u niet gestemd?
ENQ: Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk
ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

ITS: *Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde reden. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde reden*

15 Wanneer werd duidelijk dat u niet zou gaan stemmen? Was dat op de DAG van de verkiezingen zelf, de laatste DAGEN voor de verkiezingen, de laatste WEKEN voor de verkiezingen, een paar MAANDEN van tevoren of wist u al LANGER dat u niet zou gaan stemmen?

1 op de dag zelf
2 laatste dagen
3 laatste weken
4 een paar maanden tevoren
5 langer van tevoren
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

16 Stel dat u toch had gestemd, op welke partij had u dan gestemd?
(Slechts een antwoord mogelijk)

1 PVDA
2 CDA
3 VVD
4 D66
5 GroenLinks
6 SGP
7 GPV
8 RPF
9 Centrumdemocraten
10 UNIE 55+
11 AOV

12 SP
23 Senioren 2000
24 dummy 2
25 dummy 3
26 dummy 4
60 anders, nl...
95 blanco
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 3

17 Na de verkiezingen wordt er/Er is zojuist een nieuwe regering gevormd. Op deze kaart staan 5 partijen. Kunt u zeggen welke combinatie van 2 of meer van deze partijen U zelf het liefst in de regering wilt?

ENQ: Lees de zin op die van toepassing is.

ENQ: 1 combinatie geven van tenminste 2 partijen

ENQ: Voer maximaal 5 codes in

1 D66
2 PVDA
3 CDA
4 VVD
5 GroenLinks
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 4

18a Op deze kaart staan verschillende dingen die je kunt nastreven. Als u daaruit zou moeten kiezen, welk doel lijkt u dan het meest wenselijk?

ITS: De codes sluiten elkaar uit. Indien OP op 18a 1 antwoordt, kan op 18b alleen nog 2, 3 of 4 geantwoord worden, etc.

1 De orde in het land handhaven
2 De politieke inspraak van de burgers vergroten
3 Prijsstijgingen tegengaan
4 De vrijheid van meningsuiting beschermen
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

18b En wat vindt u op de tweede plaats wenselijk?

1 De orde in het land handhaven
2 De politieke inspraak van de burgers vergroten
3 Prijsstijgingen tegengaan
4 De vrijheid van meningsuiting beschermen
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

18c En daarna?
1 De orde in het land handhaven
2 De politieke inspraak van de burgers vergroten
3 Prijsstijgingen tegengaan
4 De vrijheid van meningsuiting beschermen
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van issues randomiseren

19b en 19m mogen NIET na elkaar

19j en 19l mogen NIET na elkaar

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 5

19a De Tweede Kamer houdt zich met veel onderwerpen bezig. Niet iedereen vindt al deze onderwerpen even belangrijk. Ik noem u nu een aantal onderwerpen. Kunt u van deze onderwerpen aangeven hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk u ze vindt? Het gaat er dus niet om of u het eens bent met wat de Tweede Kamer doet. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat U het onderwerp zeer onbelangrijk vindt en 10 dat U het onderwerp zeer belangrijk vindt.

INKOMENSVERSCHILLEN

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19b INTEGRATIE VAN ETNISCHE MINDERHEDEN

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19c KERNCENTRALES

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19d DRUKTE OP DE AUTOWEGEN

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19e MILIEUVERVUILING

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19f WERKLOOSHEID

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19g EUTHANASIE

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19h EUROPESE UNIE

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19i FINANCIERINGSTEKORT VAN HET RIJK

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19j HOOGTE VAN DE SOCIALE VOORZIENINGEN

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19k WAARBORGEN VAN EEN GOEDE OUDEDAGSVORZIENING (zoals de AOW)

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19I MISBRUIK MAKEN VAN SOCIALE VOORZIENINGEN

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19m VLUCHTELINGEN EN ASIELZOEKERS

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19n CRIMINALITEIT

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

19o GEZONDHEIDSZORG

1..10
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde issues randomiseren

Volgorde partijen NIET randomiseren

ITS: Indien OP tot twee keer toe zegt een bepaalde partij niet te kennen, dan deze partij niet meer noemen m.b.t. het volgende issue

ENQ: Overhandig weer kaart 5

20a Niet iedere partij vindt elk onderwerp even belangrijk. De ene partij besteedt vooral aandacht aan de bestrijding van criminaliteit, terwijl bij een andere partij een schoner milieu meer aandacht krijgt. Ik wil U vragen hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk verschillende onderwerpen volgens u zijn voor de verschillende partijen. Als een onderwerp voor een partij zeer onbelangrijk is, dan geeft U dat aan met een 1. Als dit onderwerp voor een partij zeer belangrijk is, dan geeft U dat aan met een 10.

Het eerste probleem is WERKLOOSHEID. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het CDA het probleem: WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

20b. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de PvdA het probleem:
WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

20c. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de VVD het probleem: WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

20d. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt D66 het probleem: WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

20e. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt GroenLinks het probleem:
WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

20f. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de GPV het probleem: WERKLOOSHEID?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

20g. En hoe belangrijk vindt volgens u [eigen partijkeuze indien een andere dan de al genoemde partijen] dit onderwerp? (afleiden van antwoord op vraag 6)

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

21a. En een SCHONER MILIEU. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het CDA het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

21b. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de PVDA het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

21c. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de VVD het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21d. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt D66 het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21e. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt GroenLinks het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21f. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het GPV het probleem: een SCHONER MILIEU?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

21g. En hoe belangrijk vindt volgens u [eigen partijkeuze indien een andere dan de al genoemde partijen] dit onderwerp? (afleiden van antwoord op vraag 6)

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22a En het BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het CDA het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22b Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de PVDA het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22c. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de VVD het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22d. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt D66 het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22e Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt GroenLinks het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

22f Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het GPV het probleem: BESTRIJDEN VAN DE CRIMINALITEIT?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

22g En hoe belangrijk vindt volgens u [eigen partijkeuze indien een andere dan de al genoemde partijen] dit onderwerp? (afleiden van antwoord op vraag 6)

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

23a En het VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het CDA het probleem: VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

23b Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de PVDA het probleem: VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

23c. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de VVD het probleem: VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23d. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt D66 het probleem:
VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23e Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt GroenLinks het probleem:
VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23f Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het GPV het probleem:
VLUCHTELINGENPROBLEEM?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

23g En hoe belangrijk vindt volgens u [eigen partijkeuze indien een andere dan de
al genoemde partijen] dit onderwerp? (afleiden van antwoord op vraag 6)

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24a En de GEZONDHEIDSZORG. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het CDA
het probleem: GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24b Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de PVDA het probleem:
GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24c. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt de VVD het probleem:
GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24d. Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt D66 het probleem:
GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24e Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt GroenLinks het probleem:
GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

24f Hoe belangrijk of onbelangrijk vindt het GPV het probleem:
GEZONDHEIDSZORG?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

24g En hoe belangrijk vindt volgens u [eigen partijkeuze indien een andere dan de
al genoemde partijen] dit onderwerp? (afleiden van antwoord op vraag 6)

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

**Xa tot en met Xg Positie openlaten voor issue dat mogelijk in de
belangstelling staat**

25a ENQ: Overhandig kaart 6

Op deze kaart staan verschillende soorten verenigingen en organisaties. Zijn hier
organisaties bij waar u lid van bent? Noemt u maar het nummer van de
vereniging of de organisatie waar u lid van bent.

ITS: Coderen in 9 variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde organisatie.

Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde organisatie, etc.

ITS: Elke variabele heeft de volgende antwoordcategorieën

1 geen lid

2 zeer actief

3 tamelijk actief

4 niet actief

ENQ: Bij elke vereniging en dergelijke waar OP lid van is, vragen: 'Bent u daarin
zeer actief, tamelijk actief of niet zo actief?'

1 Milieu- en natuurbehoud, zoals Greenpeace, Natuurmonumenten

2 Verkeer en vervoer, zoals de ANWB, de ENFB of de reizigersorganisatie
ROVER

3 Vereniging van huurders of van eigen woningbezit, zoals de vereniging 'Eigen
Huis'

4 Derde wereld, mensenrechten en vrede, zoals Terre des Hommes of Amnesty
International

5 Vakbond, zoals de FNV of het CNV

6 Beroeps- of standsorganisatie

7 Buurt- of wijkvereniging

8 Vrouwenverenigingen of -bonden

9 Sportverenigingen
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

25b Zijn andere leden van uw huishouden lid van een vakbond?

1 ja
2 nee
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Issues NIET randomiseren.

Partijen niet randomiseren!!!!

26a- 26g Positie openlaten voor Issue dat mogelijk in de belangstelling staat. In dat geval wordt er ook een kaart toegevoegd. Formaat is zelfde als 27a-27g.

ITS: Indien issue wordt toegevoegd, moet de introductiezin opgelezen worden bij 26a.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 7

27a Nu een paar vragen over politieke zaken die regelmatig in het nieuws zijn. Zoals u misschien weet bestaat bij sommige mensen en partijen de vrees dat er binnen afzienbare tijd een tekort aan energie in de wereld zal ontstaan. Een manier om in dit tekort te kunnen voorzien is het bouwen van KERNCENTRALES. Sommigen vinden dat om die reden Nederland snel moet werken aan de uitbreiding van het aantal kerncentrales, anderen daarentegen vinden de gevaren te groot en vinden dat er in het geheel geen kerncentrales moeten worden gebouwd.

Aan het begin van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat in Nederland kerncentrales erbij moeten worden gebouwd (bij 1). Aan het einde van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat er in het geheel geen kerncentrales moeten worden gebouwd (bij 7). Ik ga u eerst vragen om politieke partijen te plaatsen op deze lijn. Als u helemaal niet weet welk standpunt een partij heeft, zegt u het dan gerust.

Waar zou u het CDA op deze lijn plaatsen?

1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27b En waar de PVDA?

1..7
8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27d En waar D66?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27e En waar GroenLinks?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27f En waar de GPV?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

27g En waar zou u zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?

1..7

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 8

28a Sommige mensen en partijen vinden de sociale uitkeringen te hoog. Anderen vinden de sociale uitkeringen juist te laag. Natuurlijk zijn er ook mensen met een mening hier ergens tussenin.

Aan het begin van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat sociale uitkeringen veel te laag zijn (bij 1). Aan het einde van de lijn staan personen en partijen die vinden dat sociale uitkeringen veel te hoog zijn (bij 7).

Waar zou u het CDA op deze lijn plaatsen?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

28b En waar de PVDA?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

28c En waar de VVD?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

28d En waar D66?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen
9 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

28e En waar GroenLinks?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28f En waar de GPV?

1..7

8 kan partij niet plaatsen

9 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

28g En waar zou u zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?

1..7

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Nu wil ik u enkele vragen stellen over de democratie in Nederland.

29a Bent u over het algemeen genomen zeer tevreden, tamelijk tevreden, niet erg tevreden of helemaal niet tevreden met de wijze waarop de democratie in Nederland functioneert?

1 zeer tevreden

2 tamelijk tevreden

3 niet erg tevreden

4 helemaal niet tevreden

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 9

29b In sommige landen vinden mensen dat hun verkiezingen eerlijk verlopen. In andere landen vinden mensen dat hun verkiezingen oneerlijk verlopen. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat de verkiezingen eerlijk verlopen zijn en 5 dat de verkiezingen oneerlijk verlopen zijn. Wanneer u aan de afgelopen Tweede Kamerverkiezingen denkt, waar zou u zichzelf dan plaatsen?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen stellen indien OP 4 of 5 antwoordt (oneerlijke verkiezingen) bij vraag 29b

29c Wat vond u precies oneerlijk?

ENQ: Letterlijk noteren

ENQ: Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk

ITS: *Coderen in meerdere variabelen. Eerste variabele is eerst genoemde reden. Tweede variabele is tweede genoemde reden, etc.*

.....

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 10

29d Sommige mensen vinden dat politieke partijen in ons land belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Anderen vinden dat politieke partijen geen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat de partijen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen en 5 dat de partijen geen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 11

29e Sommige mensen vinden dat politieke partijen nodig zijn om ons politieke stelsel te laten werken. Anderen vinden dat politieke partijen in ons land niet nodig zijn. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat politieke partijen nodig zijn, en 5 dat politieke partijen niet nodig zijn in ons politieke stelsel. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Nu iets over de economische toestand van Nederland.

29f Wat vindt u van de algemene economische toestand in Nederland op dit moment? Vindt u deze zeer goed, goed, niet goed en niet slecht, slecht, of zeer slecht?

1 zeer goed

2 goed

3 niet goed en niet slecht

4 slecht

5 zeer slecht

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

29g Als u de economische toestand van nu vergelijkt met die van twaalf maanden geleden, is die economische toestand dan beter geworden, gelijk gebleven, of slechter geworden?

- 1 beter geworden (Naar 29h)
- 2 gelijk gebleven (Naar 29j)
- 3 slechter geworden (Naar 29i)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet (Naar 29j)
- 998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 29j)
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

29h Veel beter of enigszins beter?

- 1 veel beter (Naar 29j)
- 2 enigszins beter (Naar 29j)
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

29i Veel slechter of enigszins slechter?

- 1 veel slechter
- 2 enigszins slechter
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 12

29j Sommige mensen vinden dat leden van de Tweede Kamer goed op de hoogte zijn van wat gewone mensen denken. Anderen vinden dat leden van de Tweede Kamer niet weten wat gewone mensen denken. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat Tweede kamerleden goed op de hoogte zijn van wat gewone mensen denken, en 5 dat Tweede Kamerleden niet weten wat gewone mensen denken. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

- 1..5
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

29k Heeft u in het afgelopen jaar contact gehad met een lid van de Tweede Kamer?

- 1 ja
- 2 nee
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 13

29l Sommige mensen vinden dat wat men stemt geen verschil maakt voor wat er gebeurt. Anderen vinden dat wat men stemt wel verschil maakt voor wat er gebeurt. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat wat men stemt geen verschil maakt en 5 dat wat men stemt wel verschil maakt. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 14

29m Sommige mensen vinden dat het geen verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Anderen vinden dat het wel verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat het geen verschil maakt wie aan de macht is en 5 dat het wel verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 15

29n Wanneer mensen om hun mening over politieke zaken wordt gevraagd, denkt u dan dat de meeste mensen in Nederland doorgaans ook zeggen wat zij echt vinden of denkt u dat zij dat meestal voor zich houden? Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat de meeste mensen in Nederland zeggen wat zij vinden over politieke zaken en 5 dat de meeste mensen voor zich houden wat zij vinden over politieke zaken. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?

1..5

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 16

30a Ik lees u nu enkele stellingen voor over politici en partijen. Wilt u van elke stelling zeggen of u het er helemaal mee eens, mee eens, niet mee eens maar ook niet mee oneens, mee oneens, of helemaal mee oneens bent? Als u het niet weet, zegt u het dan gerust.

Bij de samenstelling van de Tweede Kamer wordt te weinig rekening gehouden met regionale verschillen in Nederland.

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

30b Elke regio en grote stad moet worden vertegenwoordigd door tenminste één lid in de Tweede Kamer.

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

Sommige mensen vinden dat buitenlanders die hier al geruime tijd wonen kiesrecht moeten hebben. Anderen zijn van mening dat alleen mensen met de Nederlandse nationaliteit kiesrecht moeten hebben. Wilt u van de volgende stelling zeggen of u het er helemaal mee eens, mee eens, niet mee eens maar ook niet mee oneens, mee oneens, of helemaal mee oneens bent? Als u het niet weet, zegt u het dan gerust.

ENQ: Overhandig weer kaart 16

31a Buitenlanders die al geruime tijd in Nederland wonen moeten het recht hebben om te stemmen bij de gemeenteraadsverkiezingen.

ENQ: Indien OP zegt: 'Maar dit hebben ze al'. Zeg dan: Dat klopt, maar vindt U dat zij het recht moeten hebben om te stemmen.

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

31b Buitenlanders die al geruime tijd in Nederland wonen moeten het recht hebben om te stemmen bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen.

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van de politici randomiseren

32a ENQ: Overhandig kaart 17

Ik noem nu telkens de naam van een mogelijke kandidaat voor de post van minister-president. Wilt u aangeven hoeveel vertrouwen u in deze persoon zou hebben als minister-president? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat van toepassing is. Als u een kandidaat niet kent zegt u het dan gerust (code 8).

ENQ: Als OP een kandidaat niet kent code 8 gebruiken

Jaap De Hoop Scheffer?

1..7
8 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32b Wim Kok?

1..7
8 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32c Frits Bolkestein?

1..7
8 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

32d Els Borst?

1..7
8 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

32e En (nieuwe kandidaat)

1..7
8 kent kandidaat niet
996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

32f En..... (nieuwe kandidaat)

1..7

8 kent kandidaat niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

33 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 18

Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij LINKS OF RECHTS zijn.

Wanneer u denkt aan uw

eigen politieke opvattingen, waar zou u dan zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen?

Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is.

1..10

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Volgorde van partijen NIET randomiseren!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!1

34a Ook van politieke partijen wordt gezegd dat zij LINKS of RECHTS zijn.

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 18

Wilt u aangeven in welke mate u vindt dat een partij links of rechts is?

Allereerst de PvdA?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

34b En de VVD?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

34c En D66?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen

12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34d En GroenLinks?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34e En het CDA?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34f En de SGP?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34g En het GPV?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34h En de RPF?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34i En de Centrumdemocraten?

1..10
11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34j En de SP?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

34k En de AOVUnie 55+?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

34l En(nieuwe partij)?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

34m En(nieuwe partij)?

1..10

11 kan partij niet plaatsen
12 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 19

35a Ik lees u nu enkele stellingen voor. Wilt u voor elke uitspraak zeggen of dit volgens u zo is of niet zo is?

Kamerleden bekommeren zich niet om de mening van mensen zoals ik.

1 dat is zo

2 dat is niet zo

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

35b De politieke partijen zijn alleen maar geïnteresseerd in mijn stem en niet in mijn mening.

1 dat is zo
2 dat is niet zo
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

35c Mensen zoals ik hebben geen enkele invloed op de regeringspolitiek.

1 dat is zo
2 dat is niet zo
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

35d Er stemmen zoveel mensen bij de verkiezingen dat mijn stem er niet toe doet.

1 dat is zo
2 dat is niet zo
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

35e Meestal verliezen onze vertegenwoordigers in de Tweede Kamer al snel het contact met de mensen in het land.

1 dat is zo
2 dat is niet zo
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig Kaart 20

Wilt u voor de volgende stellingen zeggen of u het daar helemaal mee eens, mee eens, mee oneens of helemaal mee oneens bent?

36a Ik ben goed in staat om een actieve rol te spelen in de politiek.

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

36b Ik heb een goed beeld van de belangrijkste politieke problemen in ons land

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

36c Soms lijkt de politiek zo ingewikkeld dat mensen zoals ik niet echt kunnen begrijpen wat er speelt.

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

STELLINGEN RANDOMISEREN

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 20

37a Tegen beter weten in beloven politici meer dan ze kunnen waarmaken.

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

37b Ministers en staatssecretarissen zijn vooral op hun eigenbelang uit.

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

37c Kamerlid word je eerder door je politieke vrienden dan door je bekwaamheden.

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 mee oneens

4 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

38 Vindt u over het algemeen dat de meeste mensen wel te vertrouwen zijn of vindt u dat men niet voorzichtig genoeg kan zijn in de omgang met mensen?

1 wel te vertrouwen
2 men kan niet voorzichtig genoeg zijn
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

39a en 39c mogen NIET na elkaar

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 22

39a Ik noem u nu een aantal stellingen. Kunt u met behulp van deze kaart aangeven of u het helemaal niet eens of helemaal eens bent met deze stellingen. Noemt u maar het nummer dat op u van toepassing is.

Als je echt in de problemen zit, sta je uiteindelijk alleen

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39b Er is niemand die speciaal belangstelling voor je heeft

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39c Er is altijd wel iemand waar ik kan aankloppen als ik hulp nodig heb

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39d Zelfs van je naaste familieleden kan je weinig belangstelling verwachten

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

39e Vaak voel ik me in de steek gelaten

1..10

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ITS: Deze vraag koppelen met huishoudbox uit 1^e golf.

ITS: Vraag niet stellen voor OP die geen huishouden heeft (Dan naar 40a)

39f Als ik buiten het gezin hulp nodig zou hebben, zou ik niet eens weten bij wie ik zou moeten zijn

1..10

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

40a tot en met 40j positie openlaten

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 24

41a Bent u het met de volgende stellingen helemaal mee eens, mee eens, niet mee eens maar ook niet mee oneens, mee oneens of helemaal mee oneens?

Als een overheidsbeslissing volgens de regels tot stand is gekomen, dan accepteer ik haar

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41b Overheidsbeslissingen accepteer ik omdat dit als vanouds zo hoort

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41c Als een overheidsbeslissing niet in mijn belang is, dan accepteer ik haar niet

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41d Als een overheidsbeslissing in strijd is met mijn principes, dan accepteer ik haar niet

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41e Als een overheidsbeslissing niet in overeenstemming is met mijn gevoel, dan accepteer ik haar niet

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41f In welke van de volgende situaties zou U actie ondernemen tegen overheidsbeslissingen?

Ik zal actie ondernemen als een overheidsbeslissing niet volgens de regels tot stand is gekomen

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41g Ik zal actie ondernemen als een overheidsbeslissing niet in mijn belang is

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

41h Ik zal actie ondernemen als een overheidsbeslissing in strijd is met mijn principes

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

41i Ik zal actie ondernemen als een overheidsbeslissing niet in overeenstemming is met mijn gevoel

1 ja

2 nee

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

42a tm 42d positie openlaten voor nieuwe vraag.

Vraag heeft formaat van 41a tm 41e

Volgorde van de partijen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 26

43a Voor sommigen is het heel zeker dat zij altijd op dezelfde partij zullen stemmen. Anderen bekijken

elke keer opnieuw aan welke partij zij hun stem geven. Ik noem u een aantal partijen. Wilt u voor elke

partij aangeven hoe waarschijnlijk het is dat u er ooit op zult stemmen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat van toepassing is.

Als u een partij niet kent of het niet weet, zeg het gerust, we gaan dan verder met de volgende partij.

De PvdA?

ENQ: Als OP deze partij niet kent dan code 11 gebruiken.

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43b En de vvd?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43c En D66?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43d En GroenLinks?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43e En het CDA?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43f En de SGP?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43g En het GPV?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43h En de RPF?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43i En de Centrumdemocraten?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

43j En de SP?

1..10

11 kent partij niet

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

43k En AOVUNIE 55+?
1..10
11 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Positie openlaten

43l En.....(nieuwe partij)?
1..10
11 kent partij niet
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

44a Stel: de Tweede Kamer behandelt een wetsvoorstel dat u zeer onrechtvaardig of verkeerd vindt. Hoe groot is de kans dat u zou proberen daar iets tegen te doen? Is die kans zeer groot, groot, klein of zeer klein?

1 zeer groot
2 groot
3 klein
4 zeer klein
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

44b ENQ: Overhandig kaart 27

Op deze kaart staat wat u zou kunnen doen als de Tweede Kamer een wetsvoorstel behandelt dat volgens u onrechtvaardig of verkeerd is. Wilt u deze mogelijkheden bekijken, en mij dan zeggen van welke daarvan u wel eens gebruik hebt gemaakt?

U kunt de nummers noemen van de mogelijkheden waarvan u wel eens gebruik hebt gemaakt.

ENQ: Maximaal 13 codes

ITS: Code 1 tm 13 mogen niet in combinatie met code 14, 996, 997, 998, 999.

ITS: Coderen in 13 dichotome variabelen.

Eerste variabele is contact opgenomen met minister met als antwoordcategorie:

1 ja

2 nee

Tweede variabele is tweede activiteit, etc.

1 contact opgenomen met minister

2 contact opgenomen met lid van de Tweede Kamer

3 meegedaan aan handtekeningenactie

4 een organisatie ingeschakeld

- 5 radiotelevisie ingeschakeld
- 6 geprobeerd politieke partij in te schakelen
- 7 contact opgenomen met burgemeester of wethouder
- 8 contact opgenomen met gemeenteraadslid
- 9 meegedaan aan actiegroep
- 10 meegedaan aan demonstratie
- 11 de krant ingeschakeld
- 12 een bezwaarschrift ingediend
- 13 contact opgenomen met ambtenaar van ministerie
- 14 geen van deze mogelijkheden
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

Nu wil ik u enkele vragen stellen over politiek en godsdienst.

45a Vindt u dat politieke partijen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele partijen moeten zijn, d.w.z. politieke partijen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst.

- 1 politieke partijen moeten geheel los staan van godsdienst (Naar 45c)
- 2 er moeten ook confessionele politieke partijen zijn (Naar 45b)
- 996 panel attrition (Naar 45c)
- 997 weet niet (Naar 45c)
- 998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 45c)
- 999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 45c)

45b Als u denkt aan deze confessionele politieke partijen.....vindt u dan dat er afzonderlijke rooms-katholieke en protestants-christelijke politieke partijen moeten zijn of moeten zij volgens u in een algemeen christelijk verband worden opgenomen?

- 1 afzonderlijk rooms-katholiek en protestants-christelijk
- 2 algemeen christelijk
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

45c En vakbonden? Vindt u dat vakbonden geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele vakbonden moeten zijn?

- 1 vakbonden moeten geheel los staan van godsdienst
- 2 er moeten ook confessionele vakbonden zijn
- 996 panel attrition
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

45d Vindt u dat scholen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele scholen moeten zijn, d.w.z. scholen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst.

- 1 scholen moeten geheel los staan van godsdienst (Naar 45f)

2 er moeten ook confessionele scholen zijn (Naar 45e)
996 panel attrition (Naar 45f)
997 weet niet (Naar 45f)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 45f)
999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 45f)

45e Als u denkt aan deze confessionele scholen.....vindt u dan dat er afzonderlijke rooms-katholieke en protestants-christelijke scholen moeten zijn of moeten zij volgens u in een algemeen christelijk verband worden opgenomen?

1 afzonderlijk rooms-katholiek en protestants-christelijk
2 algemeen christelijk
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

45f Vindt u dat omroepen geheel los moeten staan van godsdienst, of bent u van mening dat er ook confessionele

omroepen moeten zijn, d.w.z. omroepen die gebaseerd zijn op godsdienst.

1 omroepen moeten geheel los staan van godsdienst (Naar 46)
2 er moeten ook confessionele omroepen zijn (Naar 45g)
996 panel attrition (Naar 46)
997 weet niet (Naar 46)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 46)
999 (inappropriate) routing (Naar 46)

45g Als u denkt aan deze confessionele omroepen.....vindt u dan dat er afzonderlijke rooms-katholieke en protestants-christelijke omroepen moeten zijn of moeten zij volgens u in een algemeen christelijk verband worden opgenomen?

1 afzonderlijk rooms-katholiek en protestants-christelijk
2 algemeen christelijk
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

46 Bent u het met de volgende uitspraak helemaal mee eens, mee eens, mee oneens of helemaal mee oneens?

...HET GELOOF IS VOOR DE POLITIEK EEN GOEDE WEGWIJZER....

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 mee oneens
4 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

47 ENQ: Overhandig kaart 28

Er wordt wel gesproken over het bestaan van verschillende sociale klassen en groepen. Als u zichzelf tot een bepaalde sociale klasse zou moeten rekenen, welke zou dat dan zijn?

1 hogere klasse
2 hogere middenklasse
3 gewone middenklasse
4 hogere arbeidersklasse
5 gewone arbeidersklasse
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

Stellingen randomiseren

ENQ: Overhandig kaart 29

48a Op deze kaart staan verschillende opvattingen die de laatste tijd nogal eens worden verkondigd. De meningen hierover lopen sterk uiteen. Wij zouden graag UW mening weten. Wilt u voor elke uitspraak zeggen of u het er helemaal mee eens, mee eens, niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens, mee oneens of helemaal mee oneens bent. Als u het van een bepaalde uitspraak niet weet of niet wilt zeggen, zegt u het dan gerust.

1 Een belangrijke taak voor het onderwijs is het bijbrengen van vaderlandsliefde aan de leerlingen

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48b Onze sociale voorzieningen zijn niet bedoeld voor gastarbeiders

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

48c Iedere Nederlander dient de nodige eerbied in acht te nemen tegenover onze nationale symbolen zoals de vlag en het volkslied

1 helemaal mee eens
2 mee eens
3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48d Buitenlanders vormen een bedreiging voor onze cultuur

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48e Wat jongeren op de eerste plaats moeten leren is zelfbeheersing en vastberadenheid

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48f Buitenlanders maken meer misbruik van de sociale voorzieningen dan Nederlanders

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48g Het belangrijkste dat kinderen moeten leren is gehoorzaamheid en eerbied voor gezag

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens

4 mee oneens

5 helemaal mee oneens

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

48h Verschillen tussen etnische groepen zijn aangeboren

1 helemaal mee eens

2 mee eens

3 niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
4 mee oneens
5 helemaal mee oneens
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

49 We zijn aan het einde gekomen van de vragenlijst. We zijn u dankbaar dat u heeft meegewerkt aan dit onderzoek. Dit onderzoek wordt rond elke verkiezingen gehouden. Elke keer zijn er gelukkig veel mensen zoals u die willen meewerken aan dit soort onderzoeken, er zijn ook altijd mensen die niet mee willen werken. We willen graag van u weten wat voor u de reden is geweest om aan dit onderzoek mee te werken.

ENQ: Antwoordmogelijkheden NIET oplezen

1 gewoon niet over nagedacht
2 aan een onderzoek meewerken vind ik leukinteressant
3 interviewer over de vloer is gezellig leuk om te praten
4 interviewer leek me een aardig persoon
5 wilde interviewer niet teleurstellen na zoveel moeite
6 benieuwd naar de vragen
7 hoor je te doen burgerplicht
8 politiek vind ik interessant
9 anders, nl..
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

ENQ: Overhandig Schriftelijke vragenlijst en 15 staatslot.

50a Wij stellen uw mening zeer op prijs, daarom mag ik u dit staatslot overhandigen. Ik heb hier nog een schriftelijke vragenlijst met enkele vragen. Wilt u deze invullen en terugsturen in deze portvrije envelop?

1 respondent accepteert staatslot en belooft schriftelijke vragenlijst in te vullen en te retourneren
2 respondent weigert staatslot maar belooft schriftelijke vragenlijst in te vullen en te retourneren
3 respondent accepteert staatslot maar weigert schriftelijke vragenlijst
4 respondent weigert staatslot en schriftelijke vragenlijst
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
998 wil niet zeggen
999 (inappropriate) routing

50b Bij de volgende Tweede Kamerverkiezingen wil het ITS in opdracht van een aantal Nederlandse universiteiten graag voor de laatste keer een gesprek voeren met iedereen die aan dit onderzoek heeft meegedaan. De vragen zullen ongeveer hetzelfde zijn als die van deze keer. Vindt u het bezwaarlijk als we tegen die tijd nog één keer contact met u opnemen?

1 ja, heeft bezwaar (Naar 50c)
2 nee, heeft geen bezwaar (Naar 50d)

996 panel attrition
997 weet niet (Naar 51a)
998 wil niet zeggen (Naar 51a)
999 (inappropriate) routing

50c ENQ: Noteer waarom OP bezwaar heeft.

ENQ: Indien OP niet aangeeft waarom hij bezwaar heeft, vragen:
Kunt u misschien aangeven waarom u hier bezwaar tegen heeft?
..... (Naar 51a)

50d ENQ: Noteer de volgende gegevens van OP

Naam OP:

Voorletters OP:

Telefoonnummer OP:

ENQ: Invullen zonder te vragen.

51a ENQ: Stel vast of er tijdens het gesprek ook andere personen in de kamer waren.

1 ja

2 nee (Naar 52a)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet (Naar 52a)

999 (inappropriate) routing

51b ENQ: Stel vast wie dat waren.

(voer maximaal 5 codes in)

1 Kind(eren) jonger dan 6 jaar (Naar 51c)

2 Oudere kinderen (Naar 51d)

3 Man, vrouw, partner (Naar 51e)

4 Overige familieleden (Naar 51f)

5 Overige volwassenen (Naar 51g)

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

999 (inappropriate) routing

51c Wilt u van deze persoon of personen aangeven of zij het gesprek geheel of gedeeltelijk volgden d.w.z. actief meeluisterden, zich in het gesprek mengden d.w.z. een eigen mening gaven, of zij de ondervraagde persoon corrigeerden, of zij antwoorden suggereerden etcetera?

Alleen gesteld indien kinderen jonger dan 6 jaar aanwezig.

Kinderen jonger dan 6 jaar:

1 volgden gesprek niet

2 volgden gesprek wel

3 mengden zich in gesprek

996 panel attrition

997 weet niet

999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien oudere kinderen aanwezig.

51d Oudere kinderen:

1 volgden gesprek niet

2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien man, vrouw, partner aanwezig.

51e Man, vrouw, partner:
1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien overige familieleden aanwezig.

51f Overige familieleden:
1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

Alleen gesteld indien overige volwassenen aanwezig.

51g Overige volwassenen:
1 volgden gesprek niet
2 volgden gesprek wel
3 mengden zich in gesprek
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

52a ENQ: Waren er storende invloeden bij het gesprek, zoals bijvoorbeeld: TV of radio stond aan, mensen kwamen op bezoek, etcetera?

1 wel storende invloeden (Naar 50b)
2 geen storende invloeden (EINDE INTERVIEW)
996 panel attrition
997 weet niet
999 (inappropriate) routing

52b De storende invloeden waren nl. ... (EINDE INTERVIEW)

Datum einde interview:

Tijdstip einde interview:

5.1.4 Showcards wave 2 (Dutch)

Kaart 1

(bijna) altijd
vaak
zo nu en dan
zelden of nooit
leest geen krant

Kaart 2

een raambiljet of een verkiezingsbord thuis opgehangen
gesprekken met anderen gevoerd om hen over te halen op een bepaalde partij te stemmen
aan een politieke partij geld gegeven speciaal voor haar verkiezingsactiviteiten
een verkiezingsvergadering, -bijeenkomst of -forum bezocht

Kaart 3

GroenLinks	VVD
D66	PVDA
	CDA

Kaart 4

de orde in het land handhaven
de politieke inspraak van de burgers vergroten
prijsstijgingen tegengaan
de vrijheid van meningsuiting beschermen

Kaart 5

Lijn 1..10
1 zeer onbelangrijk
10 zeer belangrijk
weet niet

Kaart 6

- 1 Milieu- en natuurbehoud, zoals Greenpeace, Natuurmonumenten
- 2 Verkeer en vervoer, zoals de ANWB, de ENFB of de reizigersorganisatie ROVER
- 3 Vereniging van huurders of van eigen woningbezit, zoals de vereniging Eigen huis
- 4 Derde wereld, mensenrechten en vrede, zoals Terre des Hommes of Amnesty International
- 5 Vakbond, zoals de FNV of het CNV
- 6 Beroeps- of standsorganisatie
- 7 Buurt- of wijkvereniging
- 8 Vrouwenvereniging of -bonden
- 9 sportverenigingen

Kaart 7

Lijn 1..7

1 in Nederland moet snel worden gewerkt aan uitbreiding van het aantal kerncentrales

7 in Nederland moeten in het geheel geen kerncentrales worden gebouwd
weet niet

Kaart 8

Lijn 1..7

1 De uitkeringen zijn veel te laag

7 De uitkeringen zijn veel te hoog

weet niet

Kaart 9

Lijn 1..5

1 de verkiezingen zijn eerlijk verlopen

5 de verkiezingen zijn oneerlijk verlopen

Kaart 10

Lijn 1..5

1 de partijen hebben belangstelling voor wat gewone mensen willen

5 de partijen hebben geen belangstelling voor wat gewone mensen willen

Kaart 11

Lijn 1..5

1 politieke partijen zijn nodig in ons politieke stelsel

5 politieke partijen zijn niet nodig in ons politieke stelsel

Kaart 12

Lijn 1..5

1 Tweede Kamerleden zijn goed op de hoogte van wat gewone mensen denken

5 Tweede Kamerleden weten niet wat gewone mensen denken

Kaart 13

Lijn 1..5

1 wat men ook stemt, het maakt geen verschil voor wat er gebeurt

5 wat men ook stemt, het maakt wel verschil voor wat er gebeurt

Kaart 14

Lijn 1..5

1 het maakt geen verschil wie aan de macht is

5 het maakt wel verschil wie aan de macht is

Kaart 15

Lijn 1..5

1 de meeste mensen zeggen wat zij vinden over politieke zaken

5 de meeste mensen houden voor zich wat zij vinden over politieke zaken

Kaart 16

helemaal mee eens

mee eens
niet mee eens, niet mee oneens
mee oneens
helemaal mee oneens

Kaart 17

Lijn 1..7
1 helemaal geen vertrouwen
7 zeer veel vertrouwen
weet niet

Kaart 18

Lijn 1..10
1 Links
10 Rechts
weet niet

Kaart 19

dat is zo
dat is niet zo

Kaart 20

helemaal mee eens
mee eens
mee oneens
helemaal mee oneens

Kaart 21

openlaten

Kaart 22

1..10
1 helemaal niet mee eens
10 helemaal mee eens

Kaart 23

openlaten

Kaart 24

helemaal mee eens
mee eens
niet mee eens, maar ook niet mee oneens
mee oneens
helemaal mee oneens

Kaart 25

openlaten

Kaart 26

Lijn 1..10
1 ik zal zeker nooit op deze partij stemmen
10 ik zal zeker weleens op deze partij stemmen

Kaart 27

- 1 contact opgenomen met minister
- 2 contact opgenomen met lid van de Tweede Kamer
- 3 meegedaan aan handtekeningenactie
- 4 een organisatie ingeschakeld
- 5 radiotelevisie ingeschakeld
- 6 geprobeerd politieke partij in te schakelen
- 7 contact opgenomen met burgemeester of wethouder
- 8 contact opgenomen met gemeenteraadslid
- 9 meegedaan aan actiegroep
- 10 meegedaan aan demonstratie
- 11 de krant ingeschakeld
- 12 een bezwaarschrift ingediend
- 13 contact opgenomen met ambtenaar van ministerie

Kaart 28

- hogere klasse
- hogere middenklasse
- gewone middenklasse
- hogere arbeidersklasse
- gewone arbeidersklasse

Kaart 29

- helemaal mee eens
- mee eens
- niet mee eens, niet mee oneens
- mee oneens
- helemaal mee oneens

5.2 Interviewer instructions

1 Achtergronden Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek (NKO)

Doelstelling

Het Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek (hierna NKO te noemen) is een onderzoek dat sinds 1967 wordt gehouden rond de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen in Nederland onder leiding van een interuniversitair samenwerkingsverband. Sinds 1989 opereert dit samenwerkingsverband onder de naam Stichting Kiezersonderzoek Nederland (SKON). Het NKO heeft twee doeleinden. Allereerst heeft het NKO als doel het gedrag en de opvattingen van kiezers bij verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer te analyseren en te verklaren. Tevens biedt het NKO vanwege haar lange traditie inzicht in politieke veranderingen in Nederland. De vraagstelling is door de jaren heen voor een groot gedeelte gelijk gebleven zodat verschuivingen in gedrag en opvattingen op eenvoudige wijze gesignaleerd kunnen worden. De gegevens worden verzameld ten behoeve van wetenschappelijk onderzoek en zijn voor iedereen beschikbaar.

In 1967 werd het eerste NKO gehouden. Dit onderzoek vond plaats na de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen van 1967. Vanaf 1971 wordt er zowel voor als na de verkiezingen voor de Tweede Kamer een enquête gehouden. De overige NKO's werden gehouden in 1971, 1972, 1977, 1981, 1982, 1986, 1989 en in 1994. Dit jaar zal het NKO 1998 aan de reeks kiezersonderzoeken worden toegevoegd.

Het NKO 1998 wordt, onder auspiciën van SKON, uitgevoerd door een projectdirectie, bestaande uit: Dr. C.W.A.M. Aarts, Dr. H. van der Kolk en Drs. M.A.A. Kamp, allen verbonden aan de Universiteit Twente te Enschede. Het ITS te Nijmegen is verantwoordelijk voor het veldwerk.

Het NKO 1998 wordt financieel mogelijk gemaakt door o.a. het ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken, het ministerie van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport, het Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau en de Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk onderzoek.

Opzet van het onderzoek

Het NKO 1998 bestaat uit een hoofdonderzoek, een vervolgonderzoek, een schriftelijke vragenlijst en een non-respons onderzoek.

Het hoofdonderzoek

Voor het hoofdonderzoek worden kiesgerechtigde Nederlanders benaderd door middel van een steekproef uit het gemeenteregister. Hiervoor zijn 93 gemeenten benaderd, verspreid over het land. Het onderzoek bestaat uit twee gedeeltes. Het eerste vraagesprek vindt plaats voor de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen, de zogenaamde eerste golf.

Het tweede vraagesprek vindt plaats na de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen, de zogenaamde tweede golf. De nettosteekproef omvat in 1998 2000 adressen. Aangezien er gebruik gemaakt wordt van een personensteekproef is het mogelijk om de respondenten persoonlijk aan te schrijven en te benaderen.

Het vervolgonderzoek

Naast het hoofdonderzoek omvat het NKO ook een vervolgonderzoek. Het vervolgonderzoek houdt een derde benadering in van de respondenten die in 1994 hebben deelgenomen aan de eerste en de tweede golf. Zij hebben destijds toegezegd

mee te zullen werken aan een vervolgonderzoek bij de volgende verkiezingen. Dit onderzoek blijft hier echter buiten beschouwing.

De schriftelijke vragenlijst

Na het interview van de tweede golf wordt bij de respondenten een schriftelijke vragenlijst achtergelaten. Deze vragenlijst kan door de respondenten op een later tijdstip worden ingevuld zonder aanwezigheid van de interviewer. Deze vragenlijst zal o.a. vragen bevatten naar het gebruik van media en het sociale netwerk van de respondent.

Het non-respons onderzoek

Indien een interviewer contact heeft gehad met een respondent, maar deze weigert zich te laten interviewen of als de respondent na verschillende bezoeken van de interviewer niet thuis wordt aangetroffen, wordt vanuit het ITS getracht deze persoon telefonisch te bereiken. Tijdens dit telefoongesprek wordt naar de reden gevraagd waarom men niet deel wil nemen aan het interview. Soms zal deze reden aanleiding zijn om een afspraak te maken of om een andere enquêteur te sturen. Indien de respondent nog steeds weigert om deel te nemen aan het interview wordt getracht nog enkele vragen te stellen met betrekking tot de politieke interesse, het stemgedrag en enkele achtergrondkenmerken.

Steekproeftrekking

Voor het NKO 1998 is een steekproef van kiesgerechtigde Nederlanders getrokken op basis van de gemeentelijke bevolkingsadministratie (GBA). De naam-, adres- en woonplaatsgegevens die zo verkregen worden, worden gebruikt om de respondenten persoonlijk aan te schrijven. Het persoonlijk aanschrijven gebeurt door middel van de brief die de enquêteur aan de respondent stuurt. Door middel van deze brief wordt de respondent geïnformeerd over het onderzoek en wordt het bezoek van de enquêteur aangekondigd.

Nadat de brief aan de respondenten is verstuurd, gaat de enquêteur langs bij de respondent. Indien de respondent niet thuis is, wordt op een later tijdstip een nieuwe poging ondernomen. Als na X pogingen de respondent nog niet thuis is, kunt u deze respondent melden als NON-RESPONS.

Incentives

Om de bereidheid om mee te werken te vergroten wordt aan elke persoon in de steekproef tegelijk met de introductiebrief een 15 staatslot gestuurd. Indien de respondent echter principiële bezwaren heeft dient u zich te verontschuldigen en te streven naar een interview zonder incentive.

Na het tweede interview wordt er zoals reeds eerder vermeld een schriftelijke vragenlijst achtergelaten. Hierbij ontvangt de respondent wederom een 15 staatslot. Indien de respondent echter principiële bezwaren had bij het eerste vraaggesprek dan geeft u dit staatslot niet!

2. Organisatie en looptijd veldwerk

Zoals reeds vermeld in de brief die u ontving ter introductie van het NKO-onderzoek, bestaat het veldwerk voor u uit twee gedeelten:

Eerste Golf

Hieronder wordt de eerste meting verstaan waarin het >Rolling Thunder Design= wordt toegepast. Het >Rolling Thunder Design= houdt in dat de steekproef in porties wordt verdeeld van elk 1 week. Aangezien de eerste golf bestaat uit 5 weken, zijn er dus 5 porties te verdelen. Het is noodzaak dat iedere portie een afspiegeling is van de totale steekproef. Daarom zullen iedere week ongeveer hetzelfde aantal interviews afgenomen moeten worden en bovendien moeten bepaalde kenmerken zoals leeftijd, geslacht, regio op dezelfde wijze gespreid zijn als in de totale steekproef. Het >Rolling Thunder Design= wordt toegepast om vast te stellen of er in de weken voorafgaande aan de verkiezingen veranderingen plaatsvinden bij de bevolking naar aanleiding van actuele gebeurtenissen.

Wat verlangen wij van u?

De bovenstaande methode van onderzoek (en ook van het veldwerk) is voor ons nieuw en vergt van u een bepaalde discipline in de organisatie van uw interviews. Aangezien vrijwel de meesten van u beschikbaar zijn voor alle 5 weken, dient u iedere week eenzelfde aantal interviews te realiseren. Dit aantal is vastgesteld op minimaal 4 interviews per week en betekent 20 interviews voor de gehele veldwerkperiode in de eerste golf. Uitgaande van de beschikking over 100 interview(-st)ers worden er dan per week 400 interviews afgenomen en dat zou het totaal aantal voor de eerste golf brengen op 2000. Een eenvoudig sommetje en na het lezen van dit stukje zult u denken: "dit wisten wij al!" en dat klopt. Echter, u weet ook dat uw (en ook ons) werk altijd een klus is waarbij veel >mareen alsen= voorkomen. Zodra we iets moeten doen dat met mensen te maken heeft, is 1+1 niet altijd meer 2! Want zoals het er nu voorstaat zullen 90 interview(-st)ers aan dit onderzoek meewerken en dat betekent dat er méér interviews per week door u gedaan moeten worden, namelijk 5. De voorgestelde bonus voor het realiseren van 4 interviews per week blijft gehandhaafd, maar voor het 5e gesprek krijgt u nog eens fl. 10,- extra. Om een misverstand uit de wereld te helpen: er zijn interview(-st)ers die denken dat er per dag 1 interview afgenomen moet worden. Dit is onjuist. Het gaat hierbij om 5 per week, dus u kunt (en mag) er meerdere per dag afnemen.

Wat krijgt u van ons?

Naast de (reeds aangekondigde) bonus en 15 staatslot, krijgt u van ons natuurlijk adressen, enveloppen en introductiebrieven met 15 staatslot. Het is de bedoeling dat u tweemaal zoveel adressen ontvangt dan het aantal interviews dat u moet afnemen.

Werkwijze

Aangezien u het dubbele aantal adressen ontvangt (wij gaan er vanuit dat het responspercentage 50% of hoger is), zal dit waarschijnlijk betekenen dat u uw respondenten meerdere malen zult moeten benaderen voor het maken van een afspraak. De introductiebrieven en staatsloten krijgt u van mij opgestuurd in de week van 23 maart. U verstuurt de brieven naar uw respondenten direct na ontvangst en u probeert dan een afspraak te maken voor een van de volgende 5 weken. Er wordt dus veel gevraagd van uw overredingskracht en van uw planning. Wij hebben geprobeerd door middel van dat 15 staatslot bij te dragen om de respondent het belang van deelname aan het onderzoek te laten inzien.

Let wel: U dient *alle* respondenten te benaderen ook als u uw afsprakenquotum van 5 per week reeds heeft behaald. Het is namelijk van groot belang dat we de nonrespons goed in kaart kunnen brengen.

Omdat u ook het telefoonnummer van de respondent ter beschikking krijgt, mag u de respondent telefonisch benaderen voor het maken van een afspraak. Omdat er telefonisch afspraken gemaakt kunnen worden, is het ditmaal niet zo dat een benadering van 5 keer als non-respons wordt beschouwd!

Tijdens de gehele veldwerkperiode is het de bedoeling dat u uw data (respons, nonrespons en afspraken) van dit project frequent (1 maal per dag) overstuurt zodat wij direct weten hoe in een week de stand van zaken is en een overzicht hebben van het verloop van uw acties. Ook al heeft u die dag geen interview afgenomen, wij willen wel weten welke en hoeveel afspraken u gemaakt heeft voor alle 5 weken. Eventueel kunnen we dan nog tijdig adressen en brieven nasturen (dit geldt vooral voor de grotere steden, waar de nonrespons traditioneel groter is dan elders). Een en ander is ook van belang voor het eventueel nabestellen van staatsloten.

Looptijd van de eerste golf

De eerste dag dat er interviews afgenomen kunnen worden is maandag 30 maart. Dit is dus de eerste week. De tweede week start op 6 april, de 3e week op 13 april, de 4e week op 20 april.

De laatste en 5e week start op 27 april en duurt tot 5 mei. Deze laatste datum is de dag voor de verkiezingen van 6 mei.

Tweede Golf

Direct na de verkiezingen start de tweede golf. Deze interviews vinden plaats met dezelfde respondenten die u in de eerste golf geïnterviewd hebt. U kunt al na het eerste gesprek vragen of de respondent aan een tweede gesprek wilt meewerken en alvast voor na 6 mei een afspraak maken voor dit gesprek. Deze interviews dienen plaats te vinden voor 1 juli. De looptijd voor deze tweede golf bedraagt dus 8 weken.

Voor dit gedeelte van het veldwerk zullen geen instructies plaatsvinden. De vragenlijst krijgt u op floppydisk toegestuurd waarna u deze kunt installeren op uw notebook.

Bovendien ontvangt u ook een schriftelijke versie van de vragenlijst.

Dit zal gebeuren in de week van 11 mei.

Algemene opmerkingen

De steekproef

Ten tijde van het schrijven van deze instructie waren nog niet alle adresbestanden van de gemeenten ontvangen. Het kan dus mogelijk zijn dat u adressen ontvangt die niet via de bevolkingsregisters is verkregen en u dus niet kunt beschikken over de gegevens van de respondent aangaande het geslacht en de geboortedatum. Wel krijgt u naam-, adres-, woonplaatsgegevens en telefoonnummers tot uw beschikking.

Vergoedingen instructies

Voor het bijwonen van de instructie (2 uur) wordt fl 20,- vergoed.

De reistijdvergoeding van en naar de instructie bedraagt fl. 10,- per uur.

Openbaar vervoer wordt vergoed.

Vergoedingen interviews

De vergoeding van een interview is fl. 20,-. (het gesprek duurt 1 uur)

Voor de respons bedraagt de vergoeding fl. 7,50

Kilometervergoeding bedraagt fl 0,75 per gereden kilometer.

Voor een non-respons kunt u fl. 2,50 declareren.

3 Interviewregels

Het NKO 1998 is niet ingewikkeld van structuur, toch zijn er enkele aspecten waar rekening mee gehouden dient te worden.

U dient zich strikt te houden aan de volgende regels:

- 1 De vragen moeten letterlijk gesteld worden, ongeacht de lengte
- 2 Lees de vragen neutraal voor, zodat uit de intonatie geen mening te beluisteren valt
- 3 Goed doorvragen, met name bij open vragen
- 4 Antwoorden niet interpreteren, vraag goed door indien het antwoord onduidelijk is.
- 5 Niet sturen van antwoorden
- 6 Ga niet in discussie met de respondent
- 7 Laat geen eigen mening, ideeën of gevoelens blijken, zowel in woord als in gebaar
- 8 Respecteer de normen en waarden van de respondent, de respondent moet zich vrij voelen in het beantwoorden van de vragen. Dit is essentieel voor het onderzoek, aangezien het gaat om politiek wat voor veel mensen een onderwerp is waar niet makkelijk over gepraat wordt
- 9 Bij de meeste vragen is een spontaan antwoord van de respondent vereist, waar niet lang over nagedacht moet worden. Toch zullen vele respondenten een langere bedenktijd nodig hebben. Indien de respondent om nadere uitleg vraagt of een discussie begint, kunt u hier het beste NIET op ingaan. U kunt bijvoorbeeld zeggen; "Het gaat om het antwoord dat u het eerste te binnen schiet".
- 10 Bij vragen waarbij een toonkaart gehanteerd wordt, dient u het nummer van de toonkaart voor het stellen van de vraag te noemen, zodat de respondent de juiste toonkaart voor zich kan nemen.
- 11 De antwoorden op open vragen letterlijk en volledig noteren
- 12 Indien de respondent meerdere antwoorden kan geven bij open vragen, de antwoorden scheiden d.m.v. een puntkomma ;

4 Toonkaarten en de vragenlijst

Opstarten

Efficiënt, gemakkelijk en meest praktisch is, na te gaan of de persoon die geïnterviewd moet worden aanwezig is en mee wil doen voordat men de gegevens gaat invoeren. Indien de respondent wel aanwezig is maar op dit moment niet mee wil werken maar op een later tijdstip wel, dan is het raadzaam een afspraak te maken.

Toonkaarten

Bij het NKO wordt een groot aantal toonkaarten en een setje met losse toonkaarten gebruikt met hierop de antwoorden waaruit de respondent kan kiezen. Het is de bedoeling dat de respondent de betreffende kaart voor zich heeft tijdens het stellen van de vraag. De respondent kan dan meelesen en heeft op deze wijze enige houvast bij het beantwoorden van de vragen.

In het onderzoek worden enkele vragenblokken gerandomiseerd. Dit betekent dat de vragen in verschillende volgorden gesteld worden. Het is daarom van belang om bij het stellen van de vraag duidelijk aan te geven welke toonkaart gehanteerd moet worden, zodat de respondent de juiste toonkaart voor zich heeft.

De vragenlijst

Geslacht vaststellen

C stel ZELF het geslacht van de respondent vast, dit hoeft u dus NIET te vragen. Typ het nummer in wat van toepassing is.

vraag 1a

C indien de respondent een andere nationaliteit heeft dan de Nederlandse, noteer bij 2 de nationaliteit

C indien de respondent niet de Nederlandse nationaliteit heeft, eindigt hier het interview, zij mogen namelijk GEEN stem uitbrengen bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei 1998

C indien de respondent niet de Nederlandse nationaliteit heeft verschijnt de melding: Maak dit onderzoek Non-Respons. Druk op <Enter>, u komt nu weer bij 1a, toets in CTRL-ENTER en er verschijnt

Doorgaan
Uitstel interview
Non-respons

Selecteer nu Non-respons.

vraag 1b

C geboortedatum: noteer de dag, de maand en het geboortjaar van de respondent, bv 16 08 45

vraag 1c

- C gehuwd geweest: respondent is gescheiden
- C indien respondent getrouwd is maar niet (meer) met de echtgenoot samenleeft, maar met een andere partner dit noteren bij gehuwd

vraag 2

- C kaart 1 gebruiken
- C binnenlands nieuws: berichten in de krant over gebeurtenissen in Nederland
- C regering: de Koningin plus de ministers

vraag 3

- C zulke problemen in ons land: bv regeringsproblemen, problemen die in Nederland gesignaleerd worden

vraag 4

- C kaart 1 gebruiken
- C buitenlands nieuws: berichten in de krant over gebeurtenissen in het buitenland

vraag 6

- C indien respondent regionale krant noemt, deze bij 7 noteren
- C indien respondent andere krant noemt, deze bij 8 noteren

vraag 7

- C kaart 2 gebruiken
- C NOS-journaal: het journaal op Nederland 1, 2 en 3
- C het tijdstip waarom men dit journaal bekijkt is niet van belang
- C indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren

vraag 8

- C kaart 2 gebruiken
- C RTL-journaal: het journaal op RTL4 en RTL5
- C indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren
- C het tijdstip waarop men dit journaal bekijkt is niet van belang

vraag 9

- C kaart 2 gebruiken
- C NOVA-Den Haag Vandaag: het actualiteitenprogramma van Nederland 3, na het 10 uur journaal
- C indien OP zender niet kan ontvangen, code 4 noteren

vraag 10

- C de enquêteur mag geen suggesties geven!
- C de respondent kan maximaal 7 problemen noemen
- C noteer de problemen letterlijk en volledig
- C mogelijke nationale problemen kunnen bv zijn: toenemende criminaliteit, toenemende milieuvervuiling. Indien respondent bv criminaliteit noemt, vragen of

de respondent dit kan toelichten, probeer te achterhalen of de respondent de toenemende milieucriminaliteit een probleem vindt of de toenemende criminaliteit onder jongeren; laat de respondent zelf het probleem specificeren
C indien de respondent maar 1 probleem noemt, vraag dan: Zijn er nog ander problemen?

vraag 11a

C aanhanger: persoon die de ideeën van een bepaalde partijgroep onderschrijft en/of steun geeft aan een partijgroep

vraag 11c

C overtuigde aanhanger: persoon die ideeën van een bepaalde partijgroep onderschrijft en/of steun geeft aan een partijgroep

vraag 11d

C aangetrokken tot: zich aangesproken voelen door de ideeën van een bepaalde partijgroep

vraag 12a

C lid: persoon die contributie betaalt aan een partijvereniging

vraag 12e

C overwogen om lid te worden: er over nagedacht om lid te worden en mogelijk actie ondernomen om lid te worden, uiteindelijk is de respondent echter geen lid geworden van een politieke partij

vraag 13a

C kaart 3

C beleid: maatregelen, wetten, richtlijnen, regels en dergelijke die de overheid maakt om bepaalde doelen te bereiken

C economische toestand: de situatie van Nederland m.b.t. de economie; bv de waarde van de gulden t.o.v. de Duitse mark, de export- en importcijfers van Nederland

vraag 13b vraag 13c

C kaart 3

vraag 14

C kaart 4

vraag 15a

C indien respondent antwoordt dat weet ik (nog) niet, code 3 noteren

vraag 2b in Box 2

C partij waarop u overweegt te stemmen: partijen waarop OP misschien gaat stemmen

vraag 16a tm vraag 16i

C kaart 5

vraag 16c

C kaart 5

C democratie: vorm van politieke besluitvorming; burgers brengen een stem uit en kiezen op deze wijze vertegenwoordigers die hun belangen behartigen en besluiten nemen

vraag 17a

C kaart 6

C moeite doen om te gaan stemmen: een inspanning leveren om te gaan stemmen

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 17b

C kaart 7

C opkomst: aantal mensen dat is gaan stemmen bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 17c tm vraag 17e

C losse kaartjes 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d

C het gaat om een ordening van voorkeuren

C dring zondig aan op een keuze

C indien respondent geen keus kan maken code 5 noteren

vraag 18a

C opiniepeilingen: onderzoek dat een indicatie geeft hoe groot de aanhang van een bepaalde partij is op een bepaald moment, bijvoorbeeld hoeveel zetels een partij zal behalen of hoeveel mensen er gaan stemmen bij verkiezingen

vraag 18b

C aanhang: persoon die de ideeën van een partijgroep onderschrijft en/of steun geeft aan een partijgroep

vraag 18c tm vraag 18f

C kaart 9

vraag 18g

C grootst: de partij die de meeste stemmen zal krijgen bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen

vraag 19a - 19g

C kaart 10

- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, code 8 noteren
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kent, code 9 noteren
- C euthanasie: op verzoek van de patiënt beëindigt een arts het leven van de patiënt

vraag 20a - 20g

- C kaart 11
- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, code 8 noteren
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kent, code 9 noteren

vraag 21a - 21g

- C kaart 12
- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, code 8 noteren
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kent, code 9 noteren
- C asielzoekers: mensen die verzoeken om in een land te mogen wonen omdat zij in hun eigen land niet veilig kunnen leven, bijvoorbeeld vanwege hun godsdienst of politieke overtuiging, of omdat zij op zoek zijn naar betere leefomstandigheden en ontwikkelingsmogelijkheden

vraag 22a - 22g

- C kaart 13
- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, code 8 noteren
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kent, code 9 noteren
- C allochtonen: mensen die niet de nationaliteit bezitten van het land waarin zij wonen
- C etnische minderheden: mensen met een nationaliteit die in de minderheid is in het land waarin ze leven

vraag 23a - 23g

- C kaart 13.1
- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, code 8 noteren
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kent, code 9 noteren
- C Europese eenwording: één Europa zonder landsgrenzen en met één gemeenschappelijke munt

vraag 24a

- C kaart 13.2
- C noteer de cijfers die de respondent noemt
- C Europese unie: samenwerkingsverband van Europese landen, dit moet uiteindelijk leiden tot één Europa zonder landsgrenzen en met één gemeenschappelijke munt

vraag 25

- C kaart 14
- C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt
- C politieke opvattingen: ideeën met betrekking tot de politiek
- C indien respondent 11 weet niet zegt, type [(het weet niet teken)

vraag 27a

- C de laatste gemeenteraadsverkiezingen kunnen gehouden zijn op 4 maart j.l, maar het is ook mogelijk (i.v.m. gemeentelijke herindeling) dat dit langer geleden is

vraag 28a tm vraag 28l

- C kaart 15
- C sympathiek vinden : waardering hebben
- C indien de respondent een partij niet kent, code 101 noteren, kent partij niet
- C indien de respondent de partij niet kan plaatsen, weet niet noteren
- C hier zit een lege vraag in, type [(het weet niet teken)

vraag 29a tm vraag 29j

- C kaart 15
- C sympathiek vinden : waardering hebben
- C indien de respondent de politicus niet kent, code 101 noteren
- C indien de respondent de politicus niet kan plaatsen, [weet niet noteren

vraag 30a tm vraag 30l

- C kaart 16a, 16b, 16c, 16d
- C overhandig de foto=s maar noem de namen van de personen niet!!!
- C de namen van de politici en de functie verschijnen op het scherm
- C indien de respondent een naam en/of functie noemt die niet overeen komt met die op het scherm, noteer dan het antwoord van de respondent letterlijk en volledig

vraag 31a tm vraag 31d

- C zetels: aantal plaatsen die een partij heeft in de Tweede Kamer op basis van het aantal stemmen dat de partij behaald heeft bij de laatste Tweede Kamerverkiezingen

vraag 31f

- C indien respondent maar een partij noemt, vraag dan : "Zijn er wellicht partijen die volgens u ook moeten deelnemen aan deze regering?"

vraag 32a tm vraag 32q

- C kaart 17
- C persoonlijke en sociale doelen: zaken die van individueel en/of collectief belang zijn om na te streven

C de stellingen kunnen zowel voor de respondent alleen, als voor iedereen van belang zijn

vraag 32d

C kaart 17

C persoonlijke vrijheid: een individu maakt zelf uit wat hijzj doet enof belangrijk vindt

vraag 32g

C kaart 17

C persoonlijke ontplooiing: de mogelijkheden voor een individu om zich te ontwikkelen

vraag 32i

C kaart 17

C minima: mensen die rond moeten komen met een inkomen op of onder het niveau van het sociale minimum

vraag 32j

C kaart 17

C verbetering van het milieu: maatregel om het milieu minder te belasten, te verbeteren

C kwaliteit van het bestaan: mensen een doel geven in hun leven

vraag 32n

C kaart 17

C autoriteiten: gezaghebbende instanties

vraag 32p

C kaart 17

C andersdenkenden: mensen met een afwijkende mening

vraag 33a tm vraag 33d

C kaart 18

vraag 33c

C kaart 18

C saamhorigheid: het gevoel dat mensen bij elkaar horen

vraag 35avraag 36a

C partijvoorkeur: partij waarvan vader of moeder aanhanger was

vraag 35bvraag 36b

C meer antwoorden mogelijk

vraag 37a

C kinderen die elders op kamers wonen NIET tot het huishouden rekenen

vraag 37n

C er wordt gevraagd naar de namen van de andere personen in het huishouden; noteer hier bijvoorbeeld pa, ma, kind 1, kind 2, persoon 1, persoon 2 etc

vraag 37b tm vraag 37e

C de antwoorden die de respondent geeft invullen

C leeftijd in jaren

C de gegevens van de respondent ook invullen, dit in verband met vraag 37e!

C meerdere personen kunnen een gelijk inkomen ontvangen

vraag 38a

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 38b

C cursussen korter dan een jaar niet meerekenen

vraag 38c

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 39a

C kaart 20

C indien respondent meer dan 1 werkkring heeft, vragen naar de werkkring met de meeste uren

C indien respondent 2 banen heeft van 20 uur, vragen naar de werkkring met het hoogste salaris

C banen via het uitzendbureau is >elders in loondienst=

C vrijwilligersniet betaald werk is >heeft betaald werk verricht= of >heeft nooit betaald werk verricht=

vraag 39b vraag 39c vraag 39d

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

vraag 39f vraag 39h

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

vraag 39i

C uren van alle banen meetellen

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

vraag 39j

C kaart 21

C het gaat om de voornaamste bezigheden

vraag 39k vraag 39l

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

C als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie

C indien de respondent zijn werkzaamheden langzaam heeft afgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die de respondent voorheen had

vraag 39n

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 39o

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 40a

C kaart 22

vraag 40b vraag 40f

C indien respondent antwoordt protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

C indien respondent antwoordt Samen op weg, code 5 noteren

C indien respondent antwoordt godsdienst, godsdienst noteren bij code 5

vraag 40c vraag 40d

C elke andere stroming letterlijk en volledig noteren

vraag 41

C kaart 23

vraag 42a

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 42b

C cursussen korten dan een jaar niet meerekenen

vraag 42c

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 43a.1

C kaart 20

C indien respondent meer dan 1 werkring heeft, vragen naar de werkring met de meeste uren

C indien respondent 2 banen heeft van 20 uur, vragen naar de werkkring met het hoogste salaris

C banen via het uitzendbureau is >elders in loondienst=

C vrijwilligersniet betaald werk is >heeft betaald werk verricht= of >heeft nooit betaald werk verricht=

vraag 43b vraag 43c vraag 43d

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

vraag 43f vraag 43h

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 43i

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 43j

C kaart 21

C het gaat om de voornaamste bezigheden

vraag 43k vraag 43l

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

C als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie

C indien de respondent zijn werkzaamheden langzaam heeft afgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die de respondent voorheen had

vraag 43n

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding werd gegeven meetellen

vraag 43o

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 44a vraag 44e

C indien respondent antwoordt protestant, vragen Nederlands Hervormd of Gereformeerd

C indien respondent antwoordt Samen op weg, code 5 noteren

C indien respondent antwoordt andere godsdienst, deze noteren bij code 5

vraag 44b vraag 44c

C elke andere stroming letterlijk en volledig noteren

vraag 45a

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 45b

C cursussen korten dan een jaar niet meerekenen

vraag 45c

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 46a.1

C kaart 20

C indien respondent meer dan 1 werkkring heeft, vragen naar de werkkring met de meeste uren

C indien respondent 2 banen heeft van 20 uur, vragen naar de werkkring met het hoogste salaris

C banen via het uitzendbureau is >elders in loondienst=

C vrijwilligersniet betaald werk is >heeft betaald werk verricht= of >heeft nooit betaald werk verricht=

vraag 46b vraag 46c vraag 46d

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

vraag 46f vraag 46h

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 46i

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 46j

C kaart 21

C het gaat om de voornaamste bezigheden

vraag 46k vraag 46l

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

C als niet voldoende duidelijk is goed doorvragen naar beroepfunctie

C indien de respondent zijn werkzaamheden langzaam heeft afgebouwd, vragen naar de functie die de respondent voorheen had

vraag 46n

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 46o

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 47

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 48a.1

C kaart 20

C indien respondent meer dan 1 werkkring heeft, vragen naar de werkkring met de meeste uren

C indien respondent 2 banen heeft van 20 uur, vragen naar de werkkring met het hoogste salaris

C banen via het uitzendbureau is >elders in loondienst=

C vrijwilligersniet betaald werk is >heeft betaald werk verricht= of >heeft nooit betaald werk verricht=

vraag 48b vraag 48c vraag 48d

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

vraag 48f vraag 48h

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 48i

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 48j

C kaart 21

C het gaat om de voornaamste bezigheden

vraag 49

C kaart 19

C noteer het cijfer dat de respondent noemt

vraag 50a.1

C kaart 20

C indien respondent meer dan 1 werkkring heeft, vragen naar de werkkring met de meeste uren

C indien respondent 2 banen heeft van 20 uur, vragen naar de werkkring met het hoogste salaris

C banen via het uitzendbureau is >elders in loondienst=

C vrijwilligersniet betaald werk is >heeft betaald werk verricht= of >heeft nooit betaald werk verricht=

vraag 50b vraag 50c vraag 50d

C noteer het antwoord dat de respondent geeft letterlijk en volledig

vraag 50f vraag 50h

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C personeel aan wie via anderen leiding wordt gegeven meetellen

vraag 50i

C indien respondent zegt varieert, dan vragen naar de situatie op dit moment

C de uren van alle banen optellen

vraag 50j

C kaart 21

C het gaat om de voornaamste bezigheden

vraag 51

C kaart 24

C let erop dat het om het totale NETTO inkomen gaat

C indien respondent bezwaar maakt of aarzelt, zeg dan: “ Deze vraag is voor het onderzoek erg belangrijk. Ik kan u verzekeren dat dit natuurlijk STRIKT GEHEIM blijft.”

C dit is de laatste vraag van de vragenlijst.

vraag 52a

C bedank de respondent

vraag 52b

C noteer hier het bezwaarde bezwaren

vraag 52d

C noteer het nieuwe adres van de OP

vraag 52f

C noteer hier de afspraak die gemaakt is met de OP

vraag 52g

C noteer hier wanneer de OP afwezig is

vraag 53a tm vraag 54b

C deze vragen moet U zelf invullen

5.3 Self-Completion questionnaire

The self-completion questionnaire contained questions about the social network of the respondent, the television programs he watches and the radioprograms he listens to. Because of a routing schema not every respondent had to answer all questions. If a respondent could skip a question this was indicated by the sentence: 'hoeft u voor die persoon personen niet in te vullen'. Sometimes only one answer could be coded. If this was the case this was indicated by the sentence: 'slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk'. If more answers could be coded this was indicated by the sentence 'meerdere antwoorden mogelijk'. For most questions the respondent could circle a number which represented the answer. Sometimes the category 'different' was used. In those cases the respondent could write down what the different situation was like. These different situations are presented as verbatim answers in the data file.

5.3.1 Self-completion questionnaire (Dutch)

U heeft zojuist deelgenomen aan een onderzoek dat het ITS houdt in opdracht van een aantal Nederlandse universiteiten. Wij danken u hartelijk voor de tijd en moeite die u gedaan heeft om 2 gesprekken te voeren met onze interview(st)er. Als onderdeel van dit onderzoek is deze vragenlijst bij u achtergelaten. Deze vragenlijst bevat enkele vragen over hoe mensen aan informatie en meningen over politieke zaken komen. Tijdens een mondeling interview kunnen deze vragen minder goed aan de orde worden gesteld.

Wilt u de vragenlijst invullen en in de bijgevoegde envelop terugsturen aan het ITS?

Alvast bedankt voor uw medewerking.

De volgende vragen gaan over personen met wie u regelmatig contact heeft.

1 Met welke drie personen in uw omgeving bespreekt u dingen die u belangrijk vindt? Het gaat hier niet om uw partner, maar om vrienden of de rest van de familie.

Noteer hier de voornaam of initialen van deze personen

Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3

2 Wat is de leeftijd van deze persoon?
(Als u de leeftijd niet precies weet geef dan een schatting)

Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3

3 Is deze persoon een man of een vrouw?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
man	-1-	-1-	-1-
vrouw	-2-	-2-	-2-

4 Wat is de burgerlijke staat van deze persoon?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
Ongehuwd	-1-	-1-	-1-
Gehuwd	-2-	-2-	-2-
Gehuwd geweest	-3-	-3-	-3-
Widuwewiduwenaar	-4-	-4-	-4-

5 Wat is de relatie van deze persoon tot uzelf? *(Meer antwoorden mogelijk)*

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
familielid	-1-	-1-	-1-
iemand van het werk	-2-	-2-	-2-
lid van dezelfde vereniging	-3-	-3-	-3-
lid van dezelfde kerk	-4-	-4-	-4-
buurmanbuurvrouw	-5-	-5-	-5-
vriendvriendin	-6-	-6-	-6-
anders, nl	-7-	-7-	-7-

6 Rekent deze persoon zichzelf tot een bepaalde kerkelijke gezindte of levensbeschouwelijke groepering en zo ja tot welke?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
Rooms Katholiek	-1-	-1-	-1-
Nederlands Hervormd	-2-	-2-	-2-
Gereformeerd	-3-	-3-	-3-
Islamitisch	-4-	-4-	-4-
Anders, nl.	-5-	-5-	-5-
Geen	-6-	-6-	-6-
weet niet	-7-	-7-	-7-

7 Welke situatie is op deze persoon van toepassing?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
verricht betaald werk	-1-	-1-	-1-
heeft betaald werk verricht, maar doet dat nu niet (meer)	-2-	-2-	-2-
heeft nooit betaald werk verricht	-3-	-3-	-3-

8 Wat is/was het beroep of de functie van deze persoon?

Indien een van de personen nooit betaald werk heeft verricht (vraag 7), hoeft u voor die persoon niets in te vullen.

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3

9 Hoe vaak spreekt u deze persoon persoonlijk of via de telefoon?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
elke dag of bijna elke dag	-1-	-1-	-1-
minstens 1 keer per week	-2-	-2-	-2-
minstens 1 keer per maand	-3-	-3-	-3-

minder dan 1 keer per maand	-4-	-4-	-4-
-----------------------------	-----	-----	-----

10 Is deze persoon zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
zeer geïnteresseerd	-1-	-1-	-1-
tamelijk geïnteresseerd	-2-	-2-	-2-
niet geïnteresseerd	-3-	-3-	-3-

11 Hoe vaak spreekt u met deze persoon over politiek?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
altijd als ik deze persoon zie	-1-	-1-	-1-
soms	-2-	-2-	-2-
zelden	-3-	-3-	-3-
nooit	-4-	-4-	-4-

12 Als u over politiek spreekt met deze persoon. Hoe vaak bent u het dan met elkaar eens? *Indien u met een persoon nooit over politiek spreekt (vraag 11) dan hoeft u voor deze persoon niets in te vullen.*

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
vaak	-1-	-1-	-1-
soms	-2-	-2-	-2-
zelden	-3-	-3-	-3-
nooit	-4-	-4-	-4-

13 Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij LINKS of RECHTS zijn. Wanneer u denkt aan de politieke opvattingen van deze drie personen, waar zou u hen dan plaatsen op een schaal van 0 tot 10?

0 betekent LINKS en 10 betekent RECHTS. Noteert u maar het nummer dat van toepassing is.

Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
-----------	-----------	-----------

--	--	--

14 En waar zou u zichzelf plaatsen?

-0-	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-	-7-	-8-	-9-	-10-
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

15 Op welke politieke partij heeft deze persoon gestemd bij de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen van 6 mei?

	Persoon 1	Persoon 2	Persoon 3
PVDA	-1-	-1-	-1-
CDA	-2-	-2-	-2-
VVD	-3-	-3-	-3-
D66	-4-	-4-	-4-
GroenLinks	-5-	-5-	-5-
SGP	-6-	-6-	-6-
GPV	-7-	-7-	-7-
RPF	-8-	-8-	-8-
Centrumdemocraten	-9-	-9-	-9-
UNIE 55+AOV	-10-	-10-	-10-
SP	-11-	-11-	-11-
anders, nl.	-12-	-12-	-12-
niet kiesgerechtigd	-13-	-13-	-13-
heeft niet gestemd	-14-	-14-	-14-
weet niet	-15-	-15-	-15-

16 Hoe vaak heeft u contact met:
(AUB. Gezinsleden niet meerekenen.)

Contact: zowel praten door de telefoon als op visite gaan of iemand spreken

	een of meer keren per week	ongeveer eenmaal per twee weken	eenmaal per week	nooit

familieleden	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
vriendenvriendinnen	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
buren	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
collega's op het werk	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-

17 Bespreekt u persoonlijke problemen met
Indien u nooit contact heeft (vraag 16) dan hoeft u voor deze personen niets in te vullen.

	ja	nee
familieleden	-1-	-2-
vriendenvriendinnen	-1-	-2-
buren	-1-	-2-
collega's op het werk	-1-	-2-

18 Wilt u mij voor elk van de volgende Nederlandse instellingen zeggen of u daar heel veel, tamelijk veel, niet zo veel of helemaal geen vertrouwen in heeft?

	heel veel	tamelijk veel	niet zo veel	helemaal geen
kerken	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
leger	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
rechters	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
pers	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
politie	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
Tweede Kamer	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
ambtenaren	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
grote bedrijven	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
De Europese Unie	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
De NAVO	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-

Dan nu enkele vragen over uzelf, we beginnen met televisie- en radioprogramma's.

19 Hoe vaak in de week kijkt u gemiddeld naar de volgende programma's?
(per programma is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	kijkt nooit	minder dan 1 keer per week	1 à 2 keer per week	3 à 4 keer per week	(vrijwel) dagelijks	weet niet
Netwerk (Ned. 1)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
NOS 8 uur journaal (Ned.1)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

NOS 6 uur journaal (Ned.2)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
2 Vandaag (Ned.2)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Jeugdjournaal (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Barend & Witteman (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Lingo (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Achter het nieuws (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
NOS 10 uur journaal (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
NOVA-Den Haag Vandaag (Ned.3)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
RTL4-journaal (RTL4)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
5 Uur Show (RTL4)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
The bold and the beautiful (RTL4)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Goede Tijden Slechte Tijden (RTL4)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
RTL5-journaal (RTL5)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Vijf in het Land (RTL5)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Hart van Nederland (SBS6)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Actienieuws (SBS6)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Goudkust (SBS6)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Onderweg naar Morgen (Veronica)	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

20 Hoeveel uur per week luistert u gemiddeld naar de volgende radiozenders?
(per zender is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	luistert nooit	minder dan 1 uur per week	1-8 uur per week	8-16 uur per week	meer dan 16 uur per week	weet niet
Radio 1	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio 2	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

Radio 3	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio 4	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio 5	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio 10 Gold	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Veronica	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio 538	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Sky Radio	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Radio Klassiek	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Regionale zender	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Andere zender, nl.	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

21 Hoeveel uur per dag besteedt u gemiddeld aan het lezen van de volgende kranten?
(per krant is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	leest nooit	minder dan een kwartier per dag	een kwartier tot een half uur per dag	een half uur tot een uur per dag	meer dan een uur per dag	weet niet
De Telegraaf	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Algemeen Dagblad	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
NRC Handelsblad	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
De Volkskrant	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Trouw	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Het Parool	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Regionale krant	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

22 Hoeveel uur per week besteedt u gemiddeld aan het lezen van de volgende tijdschriften?
(per tijdschrift is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	leest nooit	minder dan 1 uur per week	2 uur per week	2-3 uur per week	meer dan 3 uur per week	weet niet

De Groene Amsterdammer	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Elsevier	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Vrij Nederland	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Story	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Weekend	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Privé	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Panorama	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Viva	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Margriet	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Libelle	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-
Ander blad, nl.	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-

23 Heeft u tijdens de verkiezingsperiode een promotiefilm of een uitzending van een politieke partij gezien op televisie?
(per partij is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	ja	nee	weet niet
PVDA	-1-	-2-	-3-
CDA	-1-	-2-	-3-
VVD	-1-	-2-	-3-
D66	-1-	-2-	-3-
GroenLinks	-1-	-2-	-3-
SP	-1-	-2-	-3-
Andere partij, nl.	-1-	-2-	-3-

24 Wat vond u van deze promotiefilm?
(per promotiefilm is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)
 Indien u van een partij geen promotiefilm heeft gezien (vraag 23) dan hoeft u voor die partij niets in te vullen.

goed	matig	slecht	geen
------	-------	--------	------

				mening
PVDA	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
CDA	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
VVD	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
D66	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
GroenLinks	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
SP	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
Andere partij, nl.	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-

25 Heeft u tijdens de verkiezingsperiode wel eens het Internet gebruikt om informatie over politiek te verkrijgen?

ja	-1-
nee	-2-

26 Bent u tijdens de verkiezingsperiode door een politicus van een politieke partij benaderd door middel van post of een gesprek op straat?
(Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk)

Door een lid van:	ja, via post	ja, via gesprek op straat	ja, anders	nee
PVDA	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
CDA	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
VVD	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
D66	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
GroenLinks	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
SGP	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
GPV	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
RPF	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
Centrumdemocraten	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
UNIE 55+	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-

AOV	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
SP	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
Senioren 2000	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
anders, nl.	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-
weet niet	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-

27 Bent u zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd?

zeer geïnteresseerd	-1-
tamelijk geïnteresseerd	-2-
niet geïnteresseerd	-3-

28 Heeft u heel veel, tamelijk veel, weinig of helemaal geen interesse in politieke gebeurtenissen:
(per gebeurtenis is slechts 1 antwoord mogelijk)

	heel veel	tamelijk veel	weinig	helemaal geen	weet niet
in uw woonplaats	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-
in de buurt of wijk waar u woont	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-
in uw provincie	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-
in de Europese politiek	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-
in politiek uit de rest van de wereld	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-

29 In welke bladen leest u:
(meerdere antwoorden mogelijk)

	landelijke krant	regionale krant	weekblad	maandblad	weet niet
binnenlands nieuws	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-
buitenlands nieuws	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-

30 Heeft u tijdens de campagne kennissen aangeraden op een bepaalde partij te stemmen?

ja	-1-
nee	-2-

31 En heeft iemand van uw kennissen u aangeraden op een bepaalde partij te stemmen?

Ja	-1-
Nee	-2-

32 Hebben uw vrienden of kennissen in het algemeen dezelfde partijvoorkeur als u of hebben zij een andere partijvoorkeur?

dezelfde partijvoorkeur	-1-
andere partijvoorkeur	-2-
weet niet	-3-

33 Wat is de datum waarop u deze vragenlijst heeft ingevuld?

Dag: Maand:

34 Wat is het tijdstip waarop u deze vragenlijst heeft ingevuld?

Uur: Minuten:

35 Hoe lang heeft u gedaan over het invullen van deze vragenlijst?

Minuten:

36 Wat is uw geboortedatum?

Dag: Maand: Jaar:

37 Wat is uw geslacht?

man	-1-
vrouw	-2-

Dit is het einde van de vragenlijst.

Hartelijk dank voor uw medewerking.

5.4 Non-response questionnaire

The documentation of the non-response questionnaire is comparable with the documentation of the first and second wave. These questions have standardized answering categories and are identical to questions used in the main study of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998. Background characteristics like age and sex and education are asked to be compared with the background characteristics of the respondents in the first and second wave and to make sure the right person was interviewed. Also the reason for not participating in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study was established. The interest in politics was measured to find out whether people who do not participate in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study are less interested in politics than people who do participate. Political efficacy is measured in the non-response project, because a lack of political efficacy can also be a reason for not participating in the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study. Questions about voting behavior and political preference were asked at the end of the interview because they were expected to meet with resistance.

5.4.1 Non-response questionnaire (Dutch)

1 Wij willen graag iets meer weten waarom u niet aan het Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek meedoet. Kunt u mij daarover iets meer vertellen?
Enq.: Het gegeven antwoord in één van de volgende categorieën indelen.

- 1 doet nooit mee principieel tegen enquêtes
- 2 doet nu niet mee geen interesse in het onderwerp
- 3 privacyredenen
 - laat geen vreemden binnen angstgevoelens
 - vrees dat gegevens niet vertrouwelijk behandeld worden
 - geeft geen persoonlijke gegevens
- 4 onbekwaamheidsgevoelens
 - ik weet er niets van af
 - ze kunnen net zo goed een ander vragen
 - ik ben er te oud voor
- 5 overlast
 - te vaak lastiggevallen met enquêtes
 - kost te veel tijd moeite
 - persoonlijk al genoeg zorgen
- 6 nutteloosheid
 - ze doen er toch niets mee
 - ik hoor er toch niets meer van
 - ik heb er geen belang bij
- 7 anders, nl.....
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

2 *Enq. Noteer geslacht*

1 man

2 vrouw

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

3 Mag ik vragen wat uw leeftijd is?

.....

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

4 Kunt u mij zeggen wat uw hoogst voltooide school-opleiding is?

1 Lager onderwijs

2 VGLO, LAVO

3 LBO, LTS, ITO, Huishoudschool, LEAO, LHNO, Lagere

LandbouwTuinbouwNautische School, 3 jaar Handelsavondschoon

4 (M)ULO, 3-jarige HBS, MAVO, IVO, 3 jaar VWO, 3 jaar VHMO, Middenschool,
3 jaar Atheneum, 3 jaar Gymnasium

5 MEAO, UTS, MBO, MHNO, InAs, Verpleegster, KMS, Politieschool,
Middelbare LandbouwTuinbouwNautische School, Middelbare
Detailhandelschool

6 5-jarige HBS, Gymnasium, MMS, Lyceum, Atheneum, HAVO

7 MBA, MTS

8 HBO, HTS (voor '57 MTS), HEAO, Sociale of pedagogische academie,
kunstonderwijs, zeevaartschool, kweekschool, SPD, GA-1, Hogere
LandbouwTuinbouwZeevaartschool, Hogere detailhandelschool, NLO

9 MO-akten, Accountancy, Beroeps Officiersopleiding, GA-2, GF etc., KMA,
Politie Academie, Kandidaatsopleiding

10 Universiteit, Hogeschool

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

5 Bent u zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd?

1 zeer geïnteresseerd

2 tamelijk geïnteresseerd

3 niet geïnteresseerd

997 weet niet

998 wil niet zeggen

999 (inappropriate) routing

Ik wil graag uw mening over de volgende twee stellingen.

6 Soms lijkt de politiek zo ingewikkeld dat mensen zoals ik niet echt kunnen begrijpen wat er speelt. Bent u het met deze stelling...

- 1 helemaal mee eens
- 2 mee eens
- 3 mee oneens
- 4 helemaal mee oneens
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

7 Kamerleden bekommeren zich niet om de mening van mensen zoals ik. Bent u het met deze stelling...

- 1 helemaal mee eens
- 2 mee eens
- 3 mee oneens
- 4 helemaal mee oneens
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

8 Heeft u gestemd bij de laatste gemeenteraadsverkiezingen?

- 1 ja, wel gestemd
- 2 nee, niet gestemd
- 3 nee, mocht (nog) niet stemmen
- 997 weet niet
- 998 wil niet zeggen
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

9 Zoals u misschien weet zijn er mei van dit jaar verkiezingen voor de TWEEDE KAMER. Gaat u dan wel of niet stemmen, of weet u dat nog niet?

- 1 gaat wel stemmen (10 en 12 niet stellen)
- 2 gaat niet stemmen (11 en 12 niet stellen)
- 3 weet het nog niet (10 en 11 niet stellen)
- 997 weet niet (19 en 11 niet stellen)
- 998 wil niet zeggen (10 en 11 niet stellen)
- 999 (inappropriate) routing

10 Waarom gaat u niet stemmen?

ENQ: Meerdere antwoorden mogelijk
ENQ: Letterlijk noteren.

11 Op welke partij gaat u stemmen op 6 mei?

12 Als u op 6 mei gaat stemmen, op welke partij gaat u dan stemmen?

5.5 Stimulus effect project

The documentation of the stimulus-effect questionnaire is comparable with the documentation of the main study and the non-response study. The questions have standardized answering categories and are almost identical to questions used in the non-response study of the Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1998. Background characteristics like age and sex and education are asked to be compared with the background characteristics of the respondents in the first and second wave and to make sure the right person was interviewed.

5.5.1 Stimulus-effect questionnaire (Dutch)

A. Goedemiddag\avond u spreekt met ... van ITS Nijmegen. Ik zou graag willen spreken met ... Bent u dat, of zou deze persoon even aan de lijn kunnen komen?

Kort geleden hebben we u een brief gestuurd met het verzoek om mee te werken aan het Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek. Zou u in principe bereid zijn hieraan mee te willen werken?

B. Interviewer. Probeer respondent over te halen mee te werken door op het nut van het onderzoek te wijzen.

INT: Vraag niet om waarom, maar noem redenen en vraag, bent u bereid?
argumenten: wetenschappelijk, alle bevolkingsgroepen vertegenwoordigd
c1 alsnog bereid (naar D)
c2 blijft bij weigering (naar C)

C. We respecteren uw mening. Toch wil ik u nog wat vragen. U zou ons erg helpen als u nu een paar korte vragen zou willen beantwoorden. Dit duurt hooguit 2 minuten. Kan dat?

INT: LICHT EVENTUEEL TOE: Het Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek wordt elke vier jaar naar aanleiding van de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen georganiseerd. Er zijn altijd veel mensen die hier aan mee werken, maar ook steeds meer mensen die er niet aan mee willen werken. Omdat we weinig van deze mensen weten wordt de waarde van het onderzoek in gevaar gebracht. Daarom willen we u enkele vragen stellen
Ja (naar v1)
Nee (naar einde)

D. Wij zijn blij met uw bereidheid om mee te willen werken. Het is zo dat we nog niet precies bepaald hebben wie er wel en niet aan het onderzoek mee gaan doen.

U komt bij ons in een kaartenbak en we zullen later wellicht contact met u opnemen om een afspraak te maken. Nu zouden we graag een paar korte vragen willen stellen.

Dit duurt hooguit 2 minuten. Kan dat?

INT: LICHT EVENTUEEL TOE: Het Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek wordt elke vier jaar naar aanleiding van de Tweede Kamerverkiezingen georganiseerd.

Er zijn altijd veel mensen die hier aan mee werken, maar ook steeds meer mensen die er niet aan mee willen werken. Van al deze mensen willen we weten wat voor soort mensen het zijn, om de waarde van het onderzoek niet in gevaar te brengen

Ja (naar v1)

Nee (naar einde)

v1. Bent u zeer geïnteresseerd in politieke onderwerpen, tamelijk geïnteresseerd of niet geïnteresseerd? :

c1 zeer geïnteresseerd,

c2 tamelijk geïnteresseerd,

c3 niet geïnteresseerd

v2. Zoals u misschien weet waren er 6 mei verkiezingen voor de TWEEDE KAMER. Heeft u wel of niet gestemd:

c1 ja, wel gestemd,

c2 nee, niet gestemd,

c3 nee, mocht niet stemmen

v3a. INT: Noteer geslacht :mv;

v3b. Mag ik vragen wat uw leeftijd is?:18..99;

v3c. Heeft u de Nederlandse Nationaliteit?:Ja Nee;

v4a. Kunt u mij zeggen wat uw hoogst voltooide school-opleiding is?:

c1 Lager onderwijs,

c2 VGLO, LAVO,

c3 LBO, LTS, ITO, Huishoudschool, LEAO, LHNO, Lagere Landbouw\ Tuinbouw\ Nautische School, 3 jaar Handelsavondschoon,

c4 (M)ULO, 3-jarige HBS, MAVO, IVO, 3 jaar VWO, 3 jaar VHMO, Middenschool, 3 jaar Atheneum, 3 jaar Gymnasium,

c5 MEAO, UTS, MBO, MHNO, InAs, Verpleegster, KMS, Politieschool, Middelbare Landbouw\Tuinbouw\Nautische School, Middelbare Detailhandelschool,

c6 5-jarige HBS, Gymnasium, MMS, Lyceum, Atheneum, HAVO, VWO,

c7 MBA, MTS,

c8 HBO, HTS (voor '57 MTS), HEAO, Sociale of pedagogische academie, kunstonderwijs, zeevaartschool, kweekschool, SPD, GA-1, Hogere Landbouw\Tuinbouw\Zeevaartschool, Hogere detailhandelschool, NLO, c9 MO-akten, Accountancy, Beroeps Officiersopleiding, GA-2, GF etc., KMA, Politie Academie, Kandidaatsopleiding, c10 Universiteit, Hogeschool);

v4b. Uzelf meegerekend, uit hoeveel personen bestaat het huishouden waartoe u behoort? Enq: Kinderen die elders op kamers wonen niet tot het huishouden rekenen. :

c1 1 persoon,

c2 meer dan een persoon);

v4c. Uit welke personen bestaat het huishouden waartoe u behoort?

INT: EVENTUEEL HELPEN :

c1 echtpaarvaste partners,

c2 echtpaarvaste partners en kind(eren),

c3 echtpaarvaste partners en kind(eren) en ander(en),

c4 echtpaarvaste partners en ander(en),

c5 1-ouder en kind(eren),

c6 1-ouder en kind(eren) en ander(en),

c7 anders, nl...);

v4cand v4cand Anders, namelijk:....

Ik wil graag uw mening over de volgende twee stellingen.

v5a. Soms lijkt de politiek zo ingewikkeld dat mensen zoals ik niet echt kunnen begrijpen wat er speelt.

Bent u het met deze stelling ... :

c1 helemaal eens,

c2 eens,

c3 oneens,

c4 helemaal oneens

v5b. Kamerleden bekommeren zich niet om de mening van mensen zoals ik.

Bent u het met deze stelling ... :

c1 helemaal eens,

c2 eens,

c3 oneens,

c4 helemaal oneens

(alleen te stellen als op vraag 2 'gaat wel stemmen' is geantwoord).

v6. Op welke partij heeft u gestemd op 6 mei? :

v6and 5band Welke andere partij?:

v6a. Heeft u gestemd bij de laatste gemeenteraadsverkiezingen? :

c1 ja, wel gestemd,

c2 nee, niet gestemd,

c3 nee, mocht (nog) niet stemmen


Ik wil u hartelijk bedanken voor uw medewerking!


(Indien buiten de populatie)

Dan heb ik verder geen vragen meer voor u. We willen alleen spreken met kiesgerechtigde Nederlanders. Ik wil u hartelijk bedanken voor uw bereidheid tot medewerking!

6. Previous election studies and comparability of questions

6.1 Overview of DPES Studies 1971-1998





6.2 Comparability of questions with previous election studies

In this section an overview of questions asked in previous Dutch Parliamentary Election Studies (1971-1998) is presented. All previous questions have been inspected, compared and listed in this overview. If two questions dealt with the same topic the question is comparable and listed in the same row. Therefore the questions in one row can differ with regard to formulations and presentations. Questions concerning background characteristics like sex and age were not included in this overview.

In the first column English labels are presented. The second and third column contain the numbers of the questions in the Dutch questionnaire in the first and the second wave of the 1998 study, etcetera's.

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH 4	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
reads national news	2	-	2	-	PANEL 2	2	-	PANEL 2	1	-	PANEL 1	1	1	1	1	1	PANEL 1	3	-	22 10	-	21 20	-	14	
talks national news	3	-	3	-	3	3	-	3	2	-	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	-	21 9	-	28 10	-	13	
people ask you for opinion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20 8	-	29 11	-	12	
read foreign news	4	-	4	-	4	4	-	4	3	-	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	-	24	-	22	-	-	
interested in politics	5	-	5	-	5a	5	-	5	4	-	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	-	5	-	27	-	11	
interest in politics changed in last 4 years	-	-	-	-	5b 5c 5d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
most/least interested in local, national or foreign news	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	24	-	-
daily newspaper	6	-	6	-	-	65	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	5 6	7	-	
watching nos-news	7	-	7	-	6	6	-	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
watching rtl4-news	8	-	8	-	7	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
watching tvprograms	9	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	3	-	10	
listening radioprograms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	4	-	-	
paper or nos-news most reliable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	26	-	-
local issues	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11 12	-	12 13	-	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA
																				13		14		15
																				14a		16a		16b
																				14b				14c
																				14d				17
most important problems OP	10	-	9	-	11	7	-	8	8	-	-	5	5	5	5	5	-	10	-	15	-	17	-	9
local problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-			18
international problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	8	-	-
party/person to solve important problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	19	-	-
OP is adherent party	11a	-	10a	-	12a	9a	-	-	9a	-	-	6a	6a	7a	7a	7a	5a	36	-	7	-	9	-	47
adherent which party	11b	-	10b	-	12b	9b	-	-	9b	-	-	6b	6b	7b	7b	7b	5b	37	-	68	-	60	-	48
convinced adherent	11c	-	10c	-	12c	9c	-	-	9c	-	-	6c	6c	7c	7c	7c	5c	38	-	69	-	61	-	49
other parties OP is adherent to	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7a 7b 7c 7d	8a 8b 8c 8d	8a 8b 8c 8d	-	6a 6b 6c 6d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party doesn't exist anymore, OP is attracted to..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65	66	-
OP is attracted to party	11d	-	10d	-	12d	9d	-	-	9d	-	-	7a	8a	9a	9a	8a	7a	39	-	71	-	62	-	50
attracted to which party	11e	-	10e	-	12e	9e	-	-	9e	-	-	7b	8b	9b	9b	8b	7b	40	-	72	-	63	-	51
													10a	10a	10a	8a 8b								
													10b	10b	10b									
other parties OP is attracted to	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9a 9b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
most attracted to party	11b2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party member	12a	-	11a	-	-	10a	-	-	10a	-	-	8a	10a	11a	-	-	-	41	-	73	-	99	-	6
which party	12b	-	11b	-	-	10b	-	-	10b	-	-	8b	10b	11b	-	-	-	42	-	74	-	100	-	7
ever been party member	12c	-	-	-	-	10c	-	-	10c	-	-	8c	10c	11c	-	-	-	43	-	75	-	101	-	-
party ever been member of	12d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102	-	-
considered to be member	12e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party considered to be member	12f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
other members family party member	-	-	11c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
members family party member of which party	-	-	11d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
effect policy economic situation last 4 years future economic situation	13a	-	12a	-	PANEL 16a	12a	-	PANEL 22a	11a	-	PANEL 8	-	-	-	-	-	PANEL 14a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
effect policy employment last 4 years	13b	-	12b	-	16b	12b	-	22b	11b	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	14b	-	-	-	162 163	-	-	-	-
effect policy OP finances last 4 years	13c	-	12c	-	16c	12c	-	22c	11c	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	14c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
satisfaction government last 4 years	14	-	13	-	17	11	-	23	12	-	11	-	-	-	33	-	15	-	59	83	44	-	4	25	
satisfaction opposition	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48c 48d	-	-	26 27	
effect policy environment last 4 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
effect policy social security last 4 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
evaluation ministers, second chamber	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	51a 51b 51c 51d 51e 51f 51g 51h	78 91	-	39 67	-	-	
evaluation government's policy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13a 13b 13c 13d 13e 13f 13g 13h 13i 13j 13k 13l	-	84a 84 b 84c 84d 84e	-	-	-	-	
evaluation foreign policy promotion/distribution affluence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	109	-	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	45a 45b 45c 45d 45e 45f	-	-	13 14 15 16 17 18	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA
gouvernement should/ did increase affluence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 3 4 5	-	5 7 8 9 10	31
others who increase affluence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6a 6b	-	11 12	-
personal situation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7a 7b 7c 7d	-	6	-
satisfaction education possibilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16 17 18	-	19 20 21	-
evaluation government's spending taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-	-
increase government expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85 86	-	-	-	-
party/politician should follow own program/issues as a coalition partner	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	60 88 89 90	-	45 41 42 43 44	-	-
OP intends to vote	15a	-	14a	-	-	8a	-	-	15a	-	-	-	-	16a	15a	-	-	23	-	-	48g	-	-	-
vote if today elections are held	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85	-	-

	1998	1998	1994	1994	1994	1989	1989	1989	1986	1986	1986	1982	1982	1981	1981	1981	1981	1977	1977	1972	1972	1971	1971	1971
WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	FRESH	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE	WAVE
	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		4	1	2	3	5	1	2	1	2	1	2	5
OP votes for which party	Box 2	-	Box 2a	-	-	8b	-	-	15b	-	-	-	-	16b	15b	-	-	24a	-	-	48h	86	-	-
why this party	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87	-	-
OP hesitates to vote/between parties	Box 2	-	Box 2a/2c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	-	-
why OP doesn't vote	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89	-	-
voting depends on what party OP votes for if he decides to vote	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90	-	-
OP voted in previous elections	26a	-	15a	-	34a	18a	-	-	16	-	40a	43a	6a	6a	6a	-	21		51	-	94	-	4a	5a
OP ever voted in previous elections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	28	-	-	24	-	-	4	-	-	96	-	5b
OP voted for which party	26b	-	15b	-	34b	18b	-	-	17	-	40b	43b	6b	6b	6b	-	22	5	52a	-	95	-	4b	
why OP doesn't vote party OP votes for if voting is obliged	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52b	-	-	-	-
party OP votes for if OP had two votes	Box 2	-	Box 2b	-	-	8c	-	-	15c	-	-	-	-	16c	15c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
voting issues	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16i	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
willing to vote	17a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	17b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	17c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	17d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	17e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
interest in elections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	83	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA	
abortion party + self	-	-	-	-	-	13a 13b 13c 13d 13e	-	-	13a	-	-	37a	39a	-	26a	-	28	26a 26b 26c 26d	58a 58b 58c 58d 57a 57b 57c 57d	-	-	-	-	-	
euthanasia party + self	19a 19b 19c 19d 19e 19f 19g	-	16a 16b 16c 16d 16e	-	18a 18b 18c 18d 18e	-	17a 17b 17c 17d 17e	24a 24b 24c 24d 24e 24f	-	18a	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
crime party + self	-	-	17a 17b 17c 17d 17e	-	21a 21b 21c 21d 21e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
income differences party + self	20a 20b 20c 20d 20e 20f 20g	-	18a 18b 18c 18d 18e	-	19a 19b 19c 19d 19e	16a 16b 16c 16d 16e	-	25a 25b 25c 25d 25e	13c	18b	-	38	40	-	27	-	31	28a 28b 28c 28d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
nuclear plants party + self	-	27a 27b 27c 27d 27e 27f 27g	19a 19b 19c 19d 19e	-	-	14a 14b 14c 14d 14e	-	-	13b	18c	12	37b	39b	-	26b	-	29	27a 27b 27c 27d	-	-	-	-	-	-	
persons seeking asylum	21a 21b 21c 21d 21e 21f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA	
OP reads about abortion, nuclear weapons, income, aid, defense etc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31b 31c 31d 25a 25 b 25c 25d 25e 25f	-	-	-	-	-	-	
close nuclear plants equality of opportunities, incomes	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	13b	18d	12	37c	39c	-	26c	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55a 55b 55c 55d	44a 44b 44c 44d	-	31a 31b 31c 31d	-	30 30 30 30	
freedom rights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54a 54b 54c	43a 43b 43c	-	30a 30b 30c 30d	-	29 29 29	
OP votes for municipal elections	27a	-	21a	-	35a	-	-	-	14a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145	-
party OP voted for last municipal elections	27b	-	21b	-	35b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
why vote for municipal elections	-	-	22a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP voted for which party at municipal elections	-	-	22b	-	35b	-	-	-	14b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	146	-
why OP didn't vote at municipal elections	27c	-	22c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
idealism	-	-	23a 23b 23c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
good/bad characteristics parties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16a 16b 16c 16d 16e 16f 16g 16h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5	
politicians out of own district	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
male or female members of parliament	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
sympathy parties	28a	-	24a	-	40a	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	12a	11a	9a	-	7a	-	67	-	-	-	-	
	28b		24b		40b									12b	11b	9b		7b							
	28c		24c		40c									13a	12a	10a		8a							
	28d		24d		40d									13b	12b	10b		8b							
	28e		24e		40e									14a	13a	11a		9a							
	28f		24f		40f									14b	13b	11b		9b							
	28g		24g		40g									15a	14a	12a									
	28h		24h		40h									15b	14b	12b									
	28i		24i		40i																				
	28j				40j																				
	28k				40k																				
	28l				40l																				
sympathy politicians	29a	-	25a	-	-	-	40a	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	29b		25b				40b																		
	29c		25c				40c																		
	29d		25d				40d																		
	29e		25e				40e																		
	29f		25f				40f																		
	29g		25g				40g																		
	29h		25h				40h																		
	29i		25i				40i																		
	29j		25j				40j																		
			25k				40k																		
			25l																						
faith in people who rule the country	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	41	-	-	-	38
faith in organizations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42a	-	-	-	-	
																				42b					
																				42c					
																				42d					
																				42e					
																				42f					
																				42g					
																				42h					
																				42i					

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA	
coalition preference	31f	17	26	-	37a	19	-	-	21a	-	-	26	31	-	17	27	25	14	-	42j 42k 42l 42m 42n 42o 42p 63	48a	53	66	68	45
OP expects coalition coalition formed in accordance with election outcome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62	59	57	58	-	
matters to OP who are in coalition	31g	-	27	-	37b	20	-	-	22	-	-	27	32	-	18	28	26	19	-	64	43	56	67	-	
party states that it intends to form a coalition before elections are held	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	64	42a 42b 44 45	52	-	44	
queen/other asks formation of cabinet	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	18	-	-	-	-	-	
OP reads polls	18a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
accuracy of polls	18b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
party lost compared to last elections	18c 18d 18e 18f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25a 25b 25c 25d 25e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
citizenship	33a 33b 33c 33d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
political socialization	34a 34b 34c 35a 35b 36a 36b	-	28 29a 29b 30a 30b	-	45a 45b 45c 45d 45e	28 29a 29b 30a 30b	-	-	p26a p26b p26c p26d p26e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68 69 70 71 72	96 97 98	79a 79b	103	-	41 43	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA	
motives/actions of OP considering environment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43d 44a 44b 45a 45b 46a 46b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
evaluation cooperation between parties	-	-	-	-	25	23a 23b 23c 23d	-	31a 31b 31c 31d 31e 31f 31g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
evaluation cooperation within parties	-	-	-	-	-	24a 24b 24c 24d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
evaluation politicians	-	-	-	-	-	25a 25b 25c 25d 26a 26b 26c 26d 26e 26f 26g	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP reads campaign news	-	2	-	2	9	-	1	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP did watch election debate on tv	-	3a 3b 3c	-	3	10	-	2	10	-	2	-	-	30a 30b 30c 30d 30e 30f 30g 30h 30i 30j	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
debate changed OP's opinion towards party/ do or don't vote	-	3c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30a 30b 30c 30d 30e 30f 30g 30h 30i 30j	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5	
campaign activity	-	4	-	4	13	-	31	-	-	35	-	44	-	-	-	36	-	45 44	13 14	76a 76b 76c 76d 76e 76f	-	104 105	-	1970 PA 8a 8b 8c 8d 8e 8f	
reason OP participated in campaign activity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15 16	-	-	-	-	-	
OP voted for last elections	-	5	-	5	14a	-	3	11	-	3	5	11	15	-	-	13	16	-	1	47	-	-	133	1	
why OP voted	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48a	-	-	-	-	
OP voted for which party	-	6	-	7	14b	-	4	12	-	4	5a	12	16	-	-	14	17	-	6	49	-	-	134	2	
why this party	-	7	-	8	14c	-	5a 5b	13	-	5	6 6a	13	17	-	-	15	18	-	7	50	-	-	135	-	
why OP vote blanc/invalid when decided to vote	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	235	-	
OP always voted for this party	-	8 10a 10b1 10b2	-	9 10a 10b	14d	-	9 10	14 15a 15b	-	6 7	-	14 15	18 19	-	-	16 17	19	-	8 9	-	53	-	-	136 139 138	-
OP would vote for other party if CDA did not exist (which party)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12a 12b	-	-	-	-	3a	
OP votes in future which other party	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	-	-	-	-	
OP considered not to vote	-	11	-	11	-	-	11	16	-	8	-	16	20	-	-	18	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	
OP considered to vote for different party	-	12a 12b	-	12a 12b	-	-	12a 12b	17a 17b	-	9 9a	-	17	21	-	-	19	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
for which party	-	13	-	13	-	-	13	18	-	10	-	18	22	-	-	20	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
evaluation performance campaign leader	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
importance performance campaign leader	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
why didn't OP vote when decided not to vote	-	14	-	14	15a	-	14	19	-	11	-	21	25	-	-	21	22	-	3	48b	-	-	140	-	
voted for which party if OP did vote	-	15	-	15	15b	-	15	20	-	12	-	22	26	-	-	22	23	-	-	-	-	-	141	-	
OP considers not to vote in future	-	16	-	16	-	-	16	21	-	13	7	23	27	-	-	23	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	142	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
voted for first on list	-	9a	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
reasons voted for first on list	-	-	-	-	-	-	7a 7b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
name of candidate OP	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
voted for why vote for other candidate	-	9b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OP voted for provincial elections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	143	-	
for which party	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	144	-	
statement to govern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
party best idea solving unemployment	-	20a 20b 20c 20d 20e 20f 20g	-	17	38a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party best idea solving crime	-	22a 22b 22c 22d 22e 22f 22g	-	18	38b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party best idea solving pollution	-	21a 21b 21c 21d 21e 21f 21g	-	19	38c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party best idea solving welfare fraud	-	-	-	20	38d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
party best idea solving budget deficit	-	-	-	21	38e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
party best idea solving pensioners income	-	-	-	22	38f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
party best idea solving housing problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
party best idea refugees	-	23a 23b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
					PANEL			PANEL			PANEL						PANEL								PA
		23c																							
		23d																							
		23e																							
		23f																							
party best idea heath		23g																							
		24a																							
		24b																							
		24c																							
		24d																							
		24e																							
		24f																							
		24g																							
party/organizations/govern ment dealing with housing problems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13 14 - 15	28 29 - 30 31 32 33 34			
party/organizations/govern ment dealing with education	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19a - 19b 20 21 22a 22b	22 23 - 24 25 26 27			
party/organizations/govern ment dealing with inflation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 9 10 - 11 12	35 36 - 37 38 39 40			
party/organizations/govern ment dealing with aid	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30a - 30b 30c 30d	-	-	28 29 - 30	45 46 - 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54			
developmental countries decide on their own how to spend money	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	-	-	
evaluation foreign policy parties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	110 - 111	
evaluation Dutch foreign policy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	112 - 113 114 115 116 117	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA	
evaluation foreign policy other countries	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118 a 118b 118c 118d 118e 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126a 126b 126c 126d 126e 126f 127 128 129 130 131a 131b
perception OP Nato and nuclear weapons	-	-	-	-	-	-	18a 18b 18c 18d 18e	-	-	19	27	39a	41a	-	30a	-	32	-	44	45	-	-	-	-	-
perception parties Nato and nuclear weapons	-	-	-	-	-	-	19a 19b 19c 19d	-	-	20	28	39b	41b	-	30b	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
sign petition nuclear weapons	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
station new nuclear weapons	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39c	41c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
preference OP/party to use extra income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60a 60b 60c	-	31a 31b 31c	-	56a 56b 56c	28 28 28	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA
civil rights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56a 56b 56c 56d	-	54a 54b 54c 54d 54e 53a 53b 53c 53d 53e	-	86a 86b 86c 86d 86e 85a 85b 85c 85d 85e 87a 87b 87c 87d 88a 88b 88c 88d	-
reduce deficit or unemployment	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	30	-	24	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
reduce or differ unemployment benefit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25a 25b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
unemployed self-others	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	20	-	-	-	-	-	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	60 61
cause unemployment/inflation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25a 25b	-	-	11 12	-	-	-	-	-	-
opposition between groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	51a 51b 51c 51d 51e 51f 51g 51h 51i 51j 51k 51l 69	-	57	-
expectations coalition on Op	-	-	-	-	-	-	21a	28a	-	22a	16a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48e	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA	
finances							21b	28b		22b	16b														
expectations general prosperity	-	-	-	-	-	-	22a	29a	-	23a	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48f	-	-	-
expectations coalition general prosperity	-	-	-	-	-	-	22b	29b	-	23b	18a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
							22c	29c		23c	18b														
								29d																	
								29e																	
								29f																	
expectation electoral outcome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	22	23	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
society should have more control on industry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	
more control on banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	
government influence on technics /birthcontrol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	36	-	-
valence issues	-	-	-	23a	39a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				23b	39b																				
				23c	39c																				
				23d	39d																				
				23e	39e																				
date on behalf of European elections	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP intents to vote for European elections	-	-	-	25a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
did OP vote at European elections	-	-	-	25b	-	17a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
why did OP vote	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
which party did OP vote for	-	-	-	27	-	17b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
why didn't OP vote	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
did OP advise others how to vote	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	17	-	-	84	-	-	-
did others advise OP how to vote	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
vote OP's friends the same as OP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	81	-	-	-	-
European unification party + self	22a	-	-	29a	22a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	22b			29b	22b																				
	22c			29c	22c																				
	22d			29d	22d																				
	22e			29e	22e																				

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA
OP's attitude towards world	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66 67	-	-	-
one government for western Europe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	-	71 72 73 74	-	83 84	54 55
OP 's influence on EG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108a 108b	78 79 80 81 82	-
Dutch attitude towards EG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	81 82	-	-	-	-
OP would move to other region/ abroad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75 76 77	-
faith in prospective premiers	-	32a 32b 32c 32d 32e 32f	-	30a 30b 30c 30d	26a 26b 26c 26d 26e 26f	-	24a 24b 24c	-	-	28	-	36	38	-	20	29	27a 27b 27c 27d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
political knowledge (photos)	30a 30b 30c 30d 30e 30f 30g 30h 30i 30j 30k 30l	-	-	31a 31b 31c 31d	36a 36b 36c 36d 36e 36f 36g 36h 36i 36j 36k 36l	-	23	-	-	27	-	35	37	-	19	-	-	46 47	-	-	-	-	-	-
coalition is already formed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	-
political knowledge (questions)	18g 24a 31a 31b 31c 31d 31e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57 32a 32b 32c	-	79 87 25 55 56	41 68	36 37 51 49	64 65	-
																							109a 109b 109c 109d	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1970 WAVE 5 PA	
position countries	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69a 69b 69c - 69d 69e	109e	-	-
there are too many parties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-
opinion OP amount of parties in Second Chamber	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	-	-
opinion OP certain amount of seats to make it to the Second Chamber	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	57 58	-	50	-	-	
good/bad characteristics	-	-	-	32a 32b 32c 32d 32e 32f 32g 32h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
prime-minister candidates	-	-	-	32a 32b 32c 32d 32e 32f 32g 32h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
left-right self attitude changed last 4 years	25 -	33 -	32 -	33 -	23a 23b 23c 23d 23e	27 -	25 -	- -	- -	29 -	15a -	10 -	14 -	19 -	22 -	31 -	12 -	49 -	61 -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
left-right parties	-	34a 34b 34c 34d 34e 34f 34g 34h 34i 34j 34k 34l 34m	-	34a 34b 34c 34d 34e 34f 34g 34h 34i 34j 34k 34l 34m	24a 24b 24c 24d 24e 24f 24g 24h 24i 24j 24k 24l	-	26a 26b 26c 26d 26e 26f 26g 26h 26i 26j 26k 26l	27a 27b 27c 27d 27e 27f 27g 27h 27i	-	30	15b	10a	14a	-	-	32	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
meaning left-right	-	-	-	35a 35b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20a 20b	-	-	-	50a 50b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH 4	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5
efficacy	-	35a 35b 35c 35d	-	36a 36b 36c 36d	PANEL 29a 29b 29c 29d	-	27a 27b 27c 27d	PANEL 41a 41b 41c 41d	-	31a 31b 31c 31d	-	9	-	18	21	30	PANEL 9	33	-	77a 77b 77c 77d	-	38a 38b 38c 38d	-	37 37 37 37
cynicism	-	37a 37b 37c	-	37a 37b 37c	31a 31b 31c	-	28a 28b 28c	42a 42b 42c	-	32a 32b 32c	-	-	12	-	-	42	-	-	50a 50b 50e 50c 50d	-	-	-	-	-
distrust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	41	-	-	77e 77f 77g	-	-	-	-	-
protest + reaction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
confidence in people	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	-	52a 52b 52c	-	59 60 61	39
confidence in organizations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	42	-	-	-	-
Op's opinion on society matters	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30f 30i 30b 30k 30g 30f 30l 30c 30d 30e 30j 30a 29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77a 77b 77c 77d 77e 77f 77g 77h 77i 77j 77k 77l	-	-	-	-	
chance of war	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47a 47b 47c 49 48	-	-	-	-	-
issues considering the world - help for threatened countries	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76 77 78	-	-	-
OP's orientations towards politics	-	36c 35e 36a 36b	-	38a 38b 38c 38d 38e	30c 29e 30a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
				38f 38g 38h 39a 39b 39c 39d 39e 39f 40a 40b 40c	PANEL 30b			PANEL			PANEL						PANEL								PA
importance institutions	-	-	-	41a 41b 41c 41d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
electoral issues	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34a 34b 34c 34d 34e 34f	-	94a 94b 94c 94d 94e 93	-	-	72a 72b 72c 72d 72e 72f 69 70 71	36	
government without second chamber accept decisions government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	-	68	-	35	
meaning democracy	-	-	-	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64a 64b 64c 64d 63	-	-	-	
OP's influence at company/organization where he works	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37 38	-	-	-	
priority to problems	-	19a	-	43a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5	
according to OP		19b 19c 19d 19e 19f 19g 19h 19i 19j 19k 19l 19m 19n 19o		43b 43c	PANEL			PANEL			PANEL						PANEL								PA
chance OP acting against local bill	-	-	-	44a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	
action local bill	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89 90	-	
chance OP acting against national bill	-	44a	-	44b	33a	-	29	47	-	33	-	41	-	-	-	33	-	-	30	-	57	-	94	-	
OP acts against European bill	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	-	95	-	
political participation	-	44b	-	45	33b	-	30a 30b 30c 30d 30e 30f 30g 30h 30i 30j 30k 30l 30m	48	-	34a 34b 34c 34d 34e 34f 34g 34h 34i 34j 34k 34l 34m	-	43	-	-	-	35	-	-	32 27 29	27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 38 39	61a 61b 61c 61d 61e 61f 61g 61h 61j 61k 61l 61m 55 56	-	98 89 90 91 92 93 99 100	-	
expected effect political participation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	-	-	-	34	-	-	31 34	37	60a 60b 60c 60d 60e	-	97	32 33	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA
expected effect political participation, local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60f 60g 60h 60i 60j 60k 59a 59b 59c 59d 59e 59f 59g 59h 59i 59j 59k 59l	-	96	-
OP acted on own initiative or because someone asked purpose of goals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-
goal achieved	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	36a 36b 37	-	-	-	-
meaning/ meaningful	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-
OP's opinion on political pressure tactics	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37a 37b 37c 40	-	62a 62b 62c 62d 62e 62f 62g 65	-	101a 101b 101c 101d 101e 101f 103 102a 102b 104 105 106a 106b	-
OP is member union	-	25a	-	46a	-	-	32a	-	-	36a	-	30 30	-	-	34	-	-	-	74	26a	-	152	-	-
OP is member of which	-	-	-	46b	-	-	32b	-	-	36b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	26b	-	153	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA
union	-	-	-	-	-	-	32c	-	-	36c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
union related to fnv, cnv	-	25b	-	46c	-	-	33	-	-	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	152	-	-
other family members	-	25a	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	38	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	74	26b	-	152	-	-
member union	-	25a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	-	34	-	-	-	74	26b 26a	-	-	-	-
member professional organization	-	25a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73	-	-	-	-	-
is OP member of woman-, neighborhoodorganization or other organizations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75 76	-	-	-	-	-
is OP member of a sportsorganization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75 76	-	-	-	-	-
is OP member of tv-organization or tv-magazine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	-	-	-	52
should the Netherlands continue membership of NATO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	-	-	-	-
sympathy social movements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39a 39b 39c 39d 39e	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP participate in social movements (environment/ anti nuclear power etc)	-	25a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40a 40b 40c 40d 40e	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
willing to participate in organizations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62	-	-	-	-	-
Op's own evaluation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	-	-	-	-	-
political dilemma's	-	-	-	47a 47b 47c 47d 47e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
position OP towards ideas to solve unemployment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OP's ideas to solve unemployment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
position OP towards income differences	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA	
position OP towards woman's emancipation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	36	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
position OP towards foreigners, people from another nationality/race	-	-	-	48a 48b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
position OP towards positive action towards foreigners	-	-	-	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	-	-
chance ever vote for a party	-	43a 43b 43c 43d 43e 43f 43g 43h 43i 43j 43k 43l	-	50a 50b 50c 50d 50e 50f 50g 50h 50i	-	-	35a 35b 35c 35d 35e 35f 35g 35h 35i 35j 35k 35l	38a 38b 38c 38d 38e 38f 38g 38h 38i	-	41	21	-	42	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	137	-
trait evaluations politicians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32a 32b 32c 32d 32e 32f 32g 33a 33b 33c 33d 33e 33f 33g 34a 34b 34c 34d 34e 34f	-	42a 42b 42c 42d 42e 42f 42g 42h	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH 4	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA
influence of groups/people on decisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25a	-	32a	-	107	-
																			25b		32b		132	
																			25c		32c			
																			25d		32d			
																			25e		32e			
																			25f		32f			
																			25g		32g			
																			25h		32h			
																			25i		32i			
																			25j		32j			
																			25k		32k			
																			25l		32l			
																			25m		32m			
																			25n		32n			
																			41		32o			
																					32p			
																					32q			
																					47			

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3 PANEL	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3 PANEL	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3 PANEL	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5 PANEL	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5 PA	
daughter marry's foreigner appropriate influence of groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26a 26b 26c 26d 26e 26f 26g 26h 26i 26j 26k 26l 26m 26n	46	-	33a 33b 33c 33d 33e 33f 33g 33h 33i 33j 33k 33l 33m 33n 33o 33p 33q	-	108	-
confessional attitude party	-	45a 45b	-	51a	-	-	36a	-	-	43	-	28a	-	-	31a	-	10a	51 52	-	-	49a	-	62	58	
confessional attitude unions	-	45c	-	51b	-	-	36b	-	-	43	-	28b	-	-	31b	-	10b	53	-	-	49b	-	62	58	
confessional attitude schools	-	45d 45e	-	51c	-	-	36c	-	-	43	-	28c	-	-	31c	-	10c	54	-	-	49c	-	62	58	
confessional attitude radio/tv	-	45f 45g	-	51d	-	-	36d	-	-	43	-	28d	-	-	31d	-	10d	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	
religion as guide	-	46	-	52	-	-	37	-	-	44	-	29	-	-	32	-	11	56b	-	-	50b	-	63b	-	
goal Christian party is unclear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56a	-	-	50a	-	63a	-	
religious attitudes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45a	-	-	-	-	-	43b 43c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
religion OP	40a 40b 40c 40d 40e 40f	-	-	58a 58b	-	-	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
confessional attitude nursing home	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
confessional attitude school for child	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

WAVE	1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5		
time OP voted	-	9g	-	56b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	PANEL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
reason OP didn't vote	-	-	-	56c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
amount of hours OP worked on electionday	-	-	-	56d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
did you give authority for voting	-	9c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
gave authority to	-	9d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
did you got authority from anyone	-	9e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
got authority from	-	9f	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
long term party preference	-	-	-	57a 57b 57c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
reason cooperate in interview	-	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CSES-module	-	29a 29b 29c 29d 29e 29f 29g 29h 29i 29j 29k 29l 29m 29n	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Questions about regions	-	30a 30b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Foreigners right to vote for municipal and 2 chamber elections	-	31a 31b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
extremism	-	48a 48b 48c 48d 48e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

WAVE

egoism-altruism

1998 WAVE 1	1998 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 1	1994 WAVE 2	1994 WAVE 3	1989 WAVE 1	1989 WAVE 2	1989 WAVE 3	1986 WAVE 1	1986 WAVE 2	1986 WAVE 3	1982 FRESH	1982 WAVE 4	1981 WAVE 1	1981 WAVE 2	1981 WAVE 3	1981 WAVE 5	1977 WAVE 1	1977 WAVE 2	1972 WAVE 1	1972 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 1	1971 WAVE 2	1971 WAVE 5
-	-	-	-	-	-	41a 41b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	48f 48g 48h			PANEL			PANEL			PANEL						PANEL							PA

6.3 Comparability with CSES questions

The Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) is a collaborative program of cross-national research among election studies conducted in over fifty consolidated and emerging democracies. The goals of this program of research are threefold: illuminate how electoral institutions constrain the beliefs and behaviors of citizens to condition the nature and quality of democratic choice as expressed through popular elections; understand the nature of political and social cleavages and alignments; and shed light on how citizens, living under diverse political arrangements, evaluate democratic institutions and processes. By coordinating the collection of electoral data across polities, the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems strives to advance the understanding of enduring and fundamental debates about electoral behavior.

CSES	Var	English	Dutch	Remarks
Q1	V0745	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the Netherlands? 1 very satisfied 2 fairly satisfied 3 not very satisfied 4 not at all satisfied 8 don't know	Bent u over het algemeen genomen zeer tevreden, tamelijk tevreden, niet erg tevreden of helemaal niet tevreden met de wijze waarop de democratie in Nederland functioneert? 1 zeer tevreden 2 tamelijk tevreden 3 niet erg tevreden 4 helemaal niet tevreden 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q2	V0746	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last elections in the Netherlands, where would you place it on the scale of one to five, where ONE means that the last election was conducted fairly and FIVE means that the last election was conducted unfairly? Scale 1..5 8 don't know	In sommige landen vinden de mensen dat hun verkiezingen eerlijk verlopen. In andere landen vinden mensen dat hun verkiezingen oneerlijk verlopen. Op deze kaart betekent een 1 dat de verkiezingen eerlijk verlopen zijn en een 5 dat de verkiezingen oneerlijk verlopen zijn. Wanneer u aan de afgelopen Tweede Kamerverkiezingen denkt, waar zou u uzelf dan plaatsen? 1...5 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
	V0747	Added, open follow-up question for those respondents choosing category 4 or 5:..... What exactly, do you believe, was unfair?	Alleen indien OP 4 of 5 noemt bij de vorige vraag Wat vond u precies oneerlijk?	
Q3	V0050	Do you usually think of	Veel mensen voelen zich	These

		<p>yourself as close to any particular political party?</p> <p>1 yes Naar 11b 2 no Naar 11d 8 don't know Naar 11d</p>	<p>aanhanger van een bepaalde politieke partij, maar er zijn ook mensen die zich geen aanhanger voelen van een politieke partij. Voelt u zich aanhanger of voelt u zich geen aanhanger van een politieke partij?</p> <p>1 is aanhanger --> Naar 11b 2 is geen aanhanger --> Naar 11d</p>	<p>questions have been included in the pre-election interview and have been formulated according to the DPES tradition.</p>
Q3a	V0051-V0053	<p>Which party is that?</p> <p>First party mentioned (one mentioned to 11c) Second party mentioned (more parties mentioned to 11b2) Third party mentioned</p>	<p>Van welke partij? (Meerdere partijen mogelijk)</p> <p>1 PVDA 2 CDA 3 VVD 4 D66 (...etcetera ...) 60 anders, nl... 97 weigert 98 weet niet 99 wil niet zeggen</p> <p>Indien meer dan 1 partij genoemd: 11b2 Tot welke van deze partijen voelt u zich het meest aangetrokken? (1 partij mogelijk)</p> <p>1 PVDA 2 CDA 3 VVD 4 D'66 (... etcetera...) 60 anders, nl... 97 weigert 98 weet niet 99 wil niet zeggen</p>	
Q3b	V0054	<p>Which party do you feel closest to? (To ...)</p>		
Q3c				
Q3e	V0055	<p>Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?</p> <p>1 very close 2 somewhat close 3 not very close 8 don't know</p>	<p>Zoudt u zichzelf een zeer overtuigde aanhanger willen noemen, een overtuigde aanhanger of vindt u zichzelf geen overtuigde aanhanger?</p> <p>1 zeer overtuigde aanhanger Naar 12a 2 overtuigde aanhanger Naar 12a 3 geen overtuigde aanhanger</p>	

			Naar 12a 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q3c	V0056	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others? 1 yes 2 no 8 don't know	Is er een partij waartoe u zich meer voelt aangetrokken dan tot andere partijen? 1 ja Naar 11e 2 nee Naar 12a 997 weet niet Naar 12a 998 wil niet zeggen	
	V0057	Which party is that?	Welke partij is dat? 1 PVDA 2 CDA 3 VVD 4 D'66 (... etcetera...) 60 anders, nl... 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q4	V0748	Some people say that political parties in the Netherlands care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in the Netherlands don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale on this card (where ONE means that political parties care about what ordinary people think, and FIVE means that they don't care what ordinary people think), where would you place yourself? Scale 1..5 8 don't know	Sommige mensen vinden dat de politieke partijen in ons land belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Anderen zeggen dat de partijen geen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat de partijen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen, en 5 dat de partijen geen belangstelling hebben voor de meningen van gewone mensen. Welke cijfer is op u van toepassing? 1 De partijen hebben belangstelling voor wat gewone mensen willen (...) 5 De partijen hebben geen belangstelling voor wat gewone mensen willen 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q5	V0749	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in the Netherlands. Others think that political parties are not needed in the Netherlands.	Sommige mensen vinden dat politieke partijen nodig zijn om ons politieke stelsel te laten werken. Anderen denken dat politieke partijen in ons land niet nodig zijn. [KAART] Op deze kaart betekent '1' dat politieke partijen nodig zijn	

		Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and FIVE means that political parties are not needed in the Netherlands), where would you place yourself? Scale 1..5 8 don't know	en `5' dat politieke partijen in ons stelsel niet nodig zijn. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing? 1 Politieke partijen zijn nodig in ons politieke stelsel (...) 5 Politieke partijen zijn niet nodig in ons politieke stelsel 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q6	-	Do you happen to remember the name of any candidates who ran/stood in your Lower house primary electoral district, e.g. constituency, district, riding/ in the last parliamentary/ congressional election? 1 yes 5 no (Naar Q7) 8 don't know Q6a Candidate 1 Q6b Candidate 2 Q6c Candidate 3		Q6 - Q6c have not been included in the DPES
Q7	V0170-V0180	I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about it, just say so. The first party is party A, etc.	Overhandig kaart Er zijn veel politieke partijen in ons land. Ik zou graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u deze partijen vindt. U kunt iedere partij hiervoor punten geven tussen de 0 en de 100. Hoe sympathieker u een partij vindt, hoe meer punten u die partij geeft. 50 betekent dat u die partij niet sympathiek, maar ook niet onsympathiek vindt. Als u een partij niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust. Als eerste nemen we de PvdA. Hoeveel punten zou u de PvdA geven? ENQ: Als OP deze partij niet kent dan code 101 gebruiken. 0..100 101 kent partij niet 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen Etc. overige partijen	Included in pre-election interview. Scale format according to DPES tradition, i.e. 0 - 100

Q8	V0181- V0189	And now using the same scale, I'd like to ask you how much you like or dislike some political leaders. Again, if I come to a leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don not know enough about, just say so. The first political leader is A, etc..	Gebruik weer kaart Ik zou ook graag van u weten hoe sympathiek u de volgende politici vindt. Als u een politicus niet kent, zegt u het dan gerust. ENQ: Als respondent deze politicus niet kent dan code 101 gebruiken. Allereerst nemen we Wim Kok. Hoeveel punten zou u hem geven? 0..100 101 kent kandidaat niet 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	Scale format according to DPES tradition, i.e. 0 - 100
Q9	V0750	What do you think of the state of the economy these days in the Netherlands. Would you say that the state of the economy is very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad or very bad? 1 very good 2 good 3 neither good not bad 4 bad 5 very bad 8 don't know	Wat vindt u van de algemene economische toestand in Nederland op dit moment? Vindt u deze zeer goed, goed, niet goed en niet slecht, slecht, of zeer slecht? 1 zeer goed 2 goed 3 niet goed en niet slecht 4 slecht 5 zeer slecht 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q10	V0751	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in the Netherlands has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse? 1 gotten better (to Q10a) 3 stayed the same 5 gotten worse (to Q10b) 8 don't know	Als u de economische toestand van nu vergelijkt met die van twaalf maanden geleden, is die economische toestand dan beter geworden, gelijk gebleven, of slechter geworden? 1 beter geworden (ga naar ...) 3 gelijk gebleven 5 slechter geworden (ga naar ...) 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q10a	V0751	Would you say much better or somewhat better? 1 much better 2 somewhat better 8 don't know	veel beter of enigszins beter? 1 veel beter 2 enigszins beter 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q10b	V0751	Would you say much worse or somewhat worse? 5 much worse 4 somewhat worse 8 don't know	veel slechter of enigszins slechter? 5 veel slechter 4 enigszins slechter 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q11	V0752	Some people say that members of Parliament know what ordinary people think. Others say that	Sommige mensen vinden dat leden van de Tweede Kamer goed op de hoogte zijn van wat gewone mensen denken. Anderen zijn van	

		<p>members of Parliament don't know much about what ordinary people think. Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that the members of Parliament don't know what ordinary people think, and FIVE means that the members of Parliament don't know much about what ordinary people think), where would you place yourself?</p> <p>Scale 1..5 8 don't know</p>	<p>mening dat leden van de Tweede Kamer niet weten wat gewone mensen denken. [KAART 3] Op deze kaart betekent het cijfer '1' dat Tweede Kamerleden goed op de hoogte zijn van wat gewone mensen denken, en cijfer `5' dat Tweede Kamerleden niet weten wat gewone mensen denken. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?</p> <p>1 Tweede Kamerleden zijn goed op de hoogte van wat gewone mensen denken (...) 5 Tweede Kamerleden weten niet wat gewone mensen denken 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen</p>	
Q12	V0753	<p>During the last twelve months, have you had any contact with a member of Parliament in any way?</p> <p>1 yes 5 no, no contact at all 8 don't know</p>	<p>Heeft u in het afgelopen jaar contact gehad met een lid van de Tweede Kamer?</p> <p>1 ja 2 nee 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen</p>	
Q13	V0755	<p>Some people say it makes a difference who is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference who is in power. Using the scale on this card (where one means that it makes a difference who is in power and five means that it doesn't make a difference who is in power) where would you place yourself?</p> <p>Scale 1..5 8 don't know</p>	<p>Sommige mensen vinden dat het verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Anderen vinden dat het geen verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Op deze kaart betekent een 1 dat het geen verschil maakt wie aan de macht is en een 5 dat het wel verschil maakt wie aan de macht is. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing?</p> <p>1 Het maakt geen verschil wie aan de macht is (...) 5 Het maakt wel verschil wie aan de macht is 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen</p>	
Q14	V0754	<p>Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a difference to what happens. Using the scale on this card (where one means</p>	<p>Sommige mensen vinden dat, wat men ook stemt, het geen verschil maakt voor wat er gebeurt. Anderen vinden dat wat men stemt wel verschil maakt voor wat er gebeurt. Welk cijfer op deze KAART is op u van toepassing? Op deze kaart</p>	

		that voting won't make a difference to what happens and five means that voting can make a difference), where would you place yourself? Scale 1..5 8 don't know	betekent 1 dat wat men stemt geen verschil maakt en 5 dat wat men stemt wel verschil maakt. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing? 1 Wat men ook stemt, het maakt geen verschil (...) 5 Wat men ook stemt, het maakt wel verschil 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	
Q15	V0756	When people are asked to express an opinion, do you believe most people in the Netherlands usually say what they think about politics or do you believe most people usually hide what they really think about politics? Using the scale on this card, (where one means that most people in the Netherlands usually say what they think about politics, and five means that most people usually hide what they really think), where would you place yourself? Scale 1..5 8 don't know	Wanneer mensen om een mening over politieke zaken wordt gevraagd, denkt u dan dat de meeste mensen in Nederland doorgaans ook zeggen wat zij echt vinden of denkt u dat zij dat meestal voor zich houden? Op deze kaart betekent 1 dat de meeste mensen in Nederland zeggen wat zij vinden over politieke zaken en 5 dat de meeste mensen voor zich houden wat zij vinden over politieke zaken. Welk cijfer is op u van toepassing? 1 De meeste mensen zeggen wat zij vinden over politieke zaken (...) 5 De meeste mensen houden voor zich wat zij vinden over politieke zaken 8 weet niet	
Q16	V0770	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means left and 10 means right.	Overhandig Kaart Van politieke opvattingen wordt vaak gezegd dat zij links of rechts zijn. Wanneer u denkt aan uw eigen politieke opvattingen, waar zou u dan zichzelf op deze lijn plaatsen? Noemt u maar het cijfer dat op u van toepassing is. Schaal 1..10 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	Scale format according to DPES tradition, i.e. 1 - 10 (pre-election interview contains left-right self-placement on 0 - 10 scale)
	V0775- V0785	Using the same scale, where would you place party A	Overhandig Kaart Ook van politieke partijen wordt gezegd dat zij links of rechts zijn.	Scale format according

			Wilt u aangeven in welke mate u vindt dat een partij links of rechts is? PvdA 1..10 etc. 11 kent partij niet 997 weet niet 998 wil niet zeggen	to DPES tradition, i.e. 1 - 10
--	--	--	--	--------------------------------

Other variables CSES module

CS ES	Question	Wave	Question	Variables
1	Age	1 /2	1b	V0316
2	Sex	1 /2	1	V0288
3	Education	1	38a t/m 38c	V0352-V0353
4	Marital status	1	1c	V0351
5	Member of a union	2	25a	V0724
6	Other union members in household	2	25b	V0729
7	Employment status	1	39b	V0358
8	Main occupation	1	39b	V0358
9	Public or private employment	1	39aw	V0355
10	Industrial sector of employment	1	39c	V0569
11	Income household	1	51	V0358
12	Number of people in household	1	37a	V0280
13	Number of people in household under 18	1	37a 37d	V0280-V0343
14	Attendance of religious services	1	40e	V0382
15	Religiosity (degree)	1	40b	V0377
16	Religious denomination	1	40b 40c 40d	V0377-V0379
17	Language usually spoken at home	-	-	-
18	Region of residence	1/2	sample info	V0010
19	Respondents race	-	-	-
20	Rural or urban residence	1/2	sample info	V0013
21	Political information	1	24, 30a-30l, 31a-31e	V0150-V0159 V0190-V0201 V0205-V0223
22	# days between election and interview	1/2	date interview	V0504 V0888
23	Electoral district	-	-	-

7. Outcome of the 1998 parliamentary elections

The 1998 election was characterized by a major role for the media. For the first time almost all political parties used the Internet to dispense information about politicians, the party, activities and their party manifesto. During special broadcasting time political parties were able to present themselves to the public. They were also able to buy broadcasting time from public and commercial television-stations to show spots on tv or to broadcast spots on radio stations. Several large polling firms signed contracts with the media and presented figures on tv about the predicted election outcome. Politicians appeared in several television shows and entertainment programs or toured through the country to meet voters. The traditional leaflets and window posters were also used during the election campaign.

The 1998 election outcome did not differ much from the predicted election outcomes which dominated the news. PvdA, VVD and GroenLinks were the big winners and CDA, D66, AOV/Unie55+ and CD the losers. PvdA won 8 seats (from 37 to 45 seats). PvdA did manage to remain the largest party in parliament. VVD, the third largest party during the period 1994-1998, won 7 seats (from 31 to 38 seats) and became the second party in parliament. GroenLinks gained 6 seats (from 5 to 11). CDA lost 5 seats (from 34 to 18). This was a great surprise because in the opinion polls CDA was predicted to stay the second party in parliament as it did during the period 1994-1998. D66 lost 10 seats, as foreseen in the opinions polls. D66 also was the big loser during the municipal elections on March 4, 1998. SP won 3 seats (from 2 to 5). SGP gained 1 seat (from 2 to 3). RPF and GPV remained constant at 2 seats. The party for the elderly AOV/Unie 55+ lost all of their 7 seats and had to leave the parliament just like the ethnocentric CentrumDemocraten. They lost 3 seats. All other parties that run for parliament did not receive any seats. A total 8,607,787 voters cast a vote during the 1998 Parliamentary election. This is 73% of the electorate. In 1994 78% of the electorate cast their vote: a record low since the abolition of compulsory voting.

In the 1998 Parliamentary elections 22 parties run for parliament. The valid votes were presented as follows:

Party	Absolute number of votes	Percentage of popular vote	Number of seats in Parliament after May 6 1998	Number of seats in Parliament during 1994-1998
PvdA	2,494,555	29,0	45	37
VVD	2,124,971	24,7	38	31
CDA	1,581,053	18,4	29	34
D66	773,497	9,0	14	24
GroenLinks	625,968	7,3	11	5
SP	303,703	3,5	5	2
RPF	174,593	2,0	3	3
SGP	153,583	1,8	3	2
GPV	108,724	1,3	2	2
CD	52,226	0,6	0	3
AOV/Unie 55+	45,994	0,5	0	7
Nederland Mobiel	45,219	0,5	0	-
Senioren 2000	36,157	0,4	0	-
NMP	23,512	0,3	0	-
De Groenen	16,585	0,2	0	0

Natuurwetpartij	15,746	0,2	0	0
KPP	8,233	0,1	0	-
VIP	7,225	0,1	0	0
NSOV	6,455	0,1	0	-
NCPN	5,620	0,1	0	0
IdeALISTEN/JIJ	2,500	0,0	0	-
Het Kiezers Collectief	1,668	0,0	0	-
Overige		0,0	-	0
Total		100	150	150

Explanation of acronyms:

PvdA	Partij van de Arbeid	Labor Party
VVD	Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie	People's Party for Freedom and Democracy
CDA	Christen Democratisch Appel	Christian Democratic Appeal
D66	Democraten 1966	Democrats 1966
GroenLinks	GroenLinks	GreenLeft
SP	Socialistische Partij	Socialist Party
RPF	Reformatorische Politieke Federatie	Reformed Political Federation
SGP	Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij	Political Reformed Party
GPV	Gereformeerd Politiek Verbond	Reformed Political Association
CD	CentrumDemocraten	Center Democrats
AOV/Unie 55+	Algemeen Ouderen Verbond/ Unie 55+	General Seniors Union/ Union 55 plus
Nederland Mobiel	Nederland Mobiel	Dutch mobile
Senioren 2000	Senioren 2000	Seniors Union 2000
NMP	Nederlandse Middenstands Partij	Dutch Tradespeople party
De Groenen	De Groenen	The Greens
Natuurwetpartij	Natuurwet Partij	Natural Law Party
KPP	Katholieke Politieke Partij	Catholic Political Party
VIP	Vrije Indische Partij	Free Indonesian Party
NSOV	Nieuw Solidair Ouderen Verbond	New Solidair Elderly Union
NCPN	Nieuwe Communistische Partij Nederland	New Communist Party Netherlands
IdeALISTEN/JIJ	IdeaLISTEN/JIJ	Idealists/You
Het Kiezers Collectief	Het Kiezers Collectief	The Voters Collective

REFERENCES

Anker, H., & Oppenhuis, E.V., *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1994*. Amsterdam: SWIDOC

Bakker, B., Sieben, I. Nieuwbeerta, P. Ganzeboom, H. (1997) Maten voor prestige, sociaal-economische status en sociale klasse voor de standaard beroepen classificatie 1992, in: *Sociale Wetenschappen* Vol. 40 (Issue 1), 1997, 1-22 (22)

Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (1992b). *Standaard Beroepenclassificatie 1992*. SDU, 's-Gravenhage.

Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (1992a) *Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek 1971-1989; de invloed van de herweging*. Sociaal-culturele berichten 1992-19, CBS, Voorburg/Heerlen, 1993.

Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (1995) Divisie Sociaal-economische statistieken; Sector integratie en presentatie J.A. Oud tel 070-3375704 Concept schakelschema SBC'92 - ISCO-88 24-3-1995.

Eijk, C. van der B. Niemoller, A.Th.J. Eggen (1981), *Dutch Parliamentary Election Study 1981*. Amsterdam: Department of Political Science, University of Amsterdam

Hartman, Harm (1998) "*Survey en designeffecten*" Unpublished note, ITS, Nijmegen

Mokken, R.J. (1971) *A Theory and Procedure of Scale Analysis, with Applications to Political Research*. The Hague: Mouton

MSP (1988) *MSP: A Program for Mokken Scale Analysis for Polychotomous Items, Version 1.50. User's Manual*. Groningen: IEC ProGamma

Schmeets, J.J.G. & Molin, E.J.E. (1990) *Nationaal Kiezersonderzoek 1989; constructie en evaluatie van weegmodellen in kiezersonderzoek*, CBS, Heerlen.